CHRISTIAN CATECHISM.

WHEREIN

The principal Truths of Natural

RELIGION,

AND

The Truth and Divine

AUTHORITY

OF THE

CHRISTIAN RELIGION,

Are afferted and proved, and the chief Objections considered.

By way of QUESTION and ANSWER.

The whole being adapted to the Education of Christian Your H. A JUIN

BIRMINGHAM:

Printed by T. WARREN, for the Author, and Sold by the Booksellers in London, and the Country. MDCCXLIV.

PIALMENT SEE March 1912 to 1919 to 1919 and the stem gargian A yeather a O Missis inco below the form of A the state of the s . The sale sale of the sale of the sale nuitable to the state of the st A TOO Y SHEET

THE

PREFACE.



F RELIGION, or the Fear of God and keeping his Commandments, be essential to the Happiness of Man; as it must be, unless Man be independent on God, and unless Man

can be happy without inward Rectitude, which is the Health of the Soul; then the Principle of Self-preservation obliges every Man to be Religious: And the Principle of Benevolence obliges every Man to promote Religion amongst his Fellow-creatures: And the Principle of natural Affection obliges Parents to try, above all Things, to plant and cultivate a Religious Disposition in the Minds of their Children.

Moreover, if CHRISTIANITY, or the Gospel of Jesus Christ, was designed, and is every way fitted to make Men religious,

A 2

and consequently to secure their Happinels, it must be the greatest and best of Causes; and each of the forementioned natural Principles bind Men to imbrace and

propagate it.

Inflitution, staring and inforcing all those Virtues and Duties which arise from our Relation to God and each other; and confequently the Tendency of the Gospel to improve the Mind, to perfect the Heart, to regulate the Life, to render Men amiable, useful, happy, shew it to have a divine Original; it has God's Image upon it, and must come from God; it is visibly the Word of God, delivered in God's Name, by that Prophet so long before spoken of in Deut. xviii. 15,--19.

If then true and eternal Happiness, or to acquire true and eternal Life, be the first great Dictate of Nature and Reason; Religion, so absolutely necessary to Happiness, must be a Dictate of Nature and Reason also: And if Christianity is the best Means to promote Religion, Reason dictates our imbracing it, and will con-

demn us if we reject it.

But besides this internal Evidence for Christianity, it has all the external Evidence dence

dence a Man can reasonably desire. For, as Mr. Locke observes, * the Number, Variety and Greatness of the Miracles wro't for the Confirmation of the Doctrines delivered by Jesus Christ carry with them fuch strong Marks of an extraordinary divine Power, that the Truth of his Miffion will stand firm and unquestionable, till one, rising up in Opposition to him, shall do greater Miracles than he or his Apoftles did. This is one of the most palpable. Truths and Trials, of which all Mankind are Judges; and there needs no Affiftance of Learning, no deep Thought to come to a Certainty in it; such Care has God taken that no pretended Revelation, should stand in Competition with what is truly divine, that we need but open our Eyes. to fee and be fure which came from him. And to one who is perswaded that Jesus Christ was sent to be a King and Saviour, all his Commands become Principles; that he faid it, is enough; he need but read the inspired Books to be instructed: And here I appeal, whether this be not the furest, fafeit and most effectual Way of Teacher ing; a Way which fuits all Capacities,

Locke's Works, Vol. I. p. 474, and 5751

and which reaches, inlightens and fancti-

fies the highest.

Besides the Arguments for the Divine Original of Christianity, from the Nasure and Tendency of it, from Prophecies, Miracles, and Succefs, which are confidered in the following Tract; Let me add, That the Subfiftence of the Jews as a difeince People, affords a peculiar Argument in its favour; the Circumstances of which People are fo very fingular, as to call for extraordinary Attention. All other Nasions after a Conquest, and by Captiviries, have lost their Religion, Laws, Name, distinct Being; while the Jews only preferve theirs. Had the Jews nationally received the Messiah, as the Scriptures of the Old Testament had not been fulfilled; so it would have been attended with this Consequence, that the Jews wou'd have been lost amongst the Christians, (as the Athenians, Spartans, Saxons, Normans, &c. are,) and we shou'd have wanted their difsinct Testimony to the Authority of the antient Scriptures. But as they hate Je-Jus Christ, they are the most unexceptionable Witnesses to the Truth of Books,. which contain such Predictions of Christ and Christianity. How amazing also is it,

it, that the Jews, who looked for the Messiah, and had such Marks to know him by, rejected him; while the Gentiles, who knew little of the sacred Prophecies received him!*

The Jews indeed, contrived a worthleft Greatness for their Messiah, and dressed him up in external Pomp and Pageantry,
acting with the poor Ambition of a Casar
or Alexander, laying waste Kingdoms, and
making havock of Mankind. But how
much more illustrious does he appear in
his real Character, as the Author of universal Benevolence amongst Men, as resining our Passions, exalting our Natures,
giving us vast Ideas of Immortality, and
teaching us a Contempt of that little showy
Grandeur, wherein the Jews made the
Glory of their Messiah to consist.

Further, it is an Argument (if not of the Truth and Goodness of Christianity, yet) of the Badness and Weakness of the Cause of Insidelity, that the Enemies of Christianity oppose it by Methods so unfair, and in a Manner so abusive and virulent.

Instances of their UNFAIRNESS are such as these. They constantly oppose Reve-

lation.

lation to Reason; when as Revelation is not intended to supersede, but to awake and affist Reason in all religious Matters .---They take it for granted, that God indued Man at first with Reason only, (or a Capacity of Reasoning) without communicating his Will by Revelations; tho' they never can prove this: And we can prove the contrary by Evidence they will never be able to overthrow.---They oppose the po-sitive Requirements of the Gospel, such as worshipping God thro' a Mediator, Baptism, and the Lord's-Supper, to moral and divine Virtue; whereas these are only such Mediums, Memorials and Signs as are a great Help to begin, increase, and perfect Virtue, amongst sinful Beings, dwelling in Flesh.--- They urge, that Miracles may be wrought by evil Spirits; but dare not attempt to prove that such Miracles as Jesus Christ and his Apostles wrought, infuch Circumstances, and for such Ends, ever were, or can be wrought by evil Spirits, or by any but a divine Power.--- They urge also that Miracles- are only a Proof of Power, but have no Relation to the Truth of Doctrines: But uncontrouled. Miracles, if they prove the Interpolition of divine Power, they prove enough; for they

they prove a Mission from God, or Authority to preach certain Doctrines; and consequently prove the Truth of the Doctrines; for God will send none to preach Faishood. Besides, none of Christ's Miracles are Instances of mere Power, but of Wisdom and Goodness also. *-- They suppose

I am forry to see Mea, who profess Faith in the Gospel of Jesus Christ, in the Prediction and Promise of his fecond Coming to judge the World; and who have well explain'd fome Christian Doctrines, imploy their Pen in the Service of Infidelity; by an Attempt to render suspected the Force of Evidence arising from Miracles. How much more becoming their rational, locial and christian Character wou'd it have been to illustrate and shew the Validity of that Bvidence; in regard the Goipel of Jesus Christ is, on all Hands, allowed to be an Inflitution every, way fitted to inlarge Mens Knowledge, and to advance every Branch of Religion and Virtue, and consequently to promote the Happiness of the Human Race! Wou'd it not have been a furer Mark of a generous and benevolent Mind to have taken the laudable Pains of recommending this divine Institution to the Study, and Practice of Men; than the wretched Pains of indeavouring to lessen Mens Regard for it, by trying to weaken one of the Foundations on which the Credit of its divine Original standeth? Can a Lover of God, can a Lover of Mankind, can a Friend to the Virtue and Happiness of Mankind act at this rate?

As to the Merits of the Cause; suppose Miracles a Proof or Sign only of Power; if they are Proof of Supreme, governing Power interposing, does not this amount to a divine Attestation? But moreover, the Miracles of Moses, of the Prophet, of Jesus Christ and his Apostles are Proofs of Power directed by Wisdom and Goodness; and so constitute a full

Proof of a divine Attestation.

fuppose and allow the Truth of the Scripture History, when they imagine it surnishes any Matter for Cavil or Ridicule; but call it into question where it records Facts which confound them and their Cause...Sometimes they deny or quibble away uncontestible Facts; at other times deny

And suppose evil Spirits have Power, if permitted, to work Miracles, and have wrought some (which the Author I refer to * has, upon his Princi- * Mr. Chubb. ples, no Right to suppose) yet are there any Grounds at all to suspect that the Miracles of Moses, and of Jesus Christ were wrought by evil Spirits? And ought such a Suspicion to be conceived or suggested without Grounds?

Can a wife, a just, a good Man be guilty of it?

Let me ask, Is it consistent with the Wisdom and Goodness of the great Ruler of the Universe to suffer Men from Generation to Generation to be perpetually and necessarily imposed upon in their everlasting Affairs, without any Re-

medy?

If Jesus Christ was an Impostor (tremble Reader, at the Thought) and his Works were wro't by the Agency of Satan, wou'd God give no Light by which to discover the Imposture? Wou'd Christ and his Cause, without either divine or humane Aid, have succeeded to soon, and prospered so long? Wou'd it always have been opposed by wicked Spirits and wicked Men? Is Satan thus at odds with himself? Or, is he changed into a Saint, and devoted to propagate the most holy, wise and benevolent Institution the World was ever bleffed with? Has the World thus shifted Sides. that all good Men are lifted under Satan in support of the Gospel; and all bad Men under God and Truth to oppose it? In a word, was the Life of Christ like that of an incarnate Devil? Does Satan go about doing Good? Were the Works of Christ like those Works of the Devil he came to deftroy ?

deny the Evidence arising from them .----They shut their Eyes upon the innumerable inimitable Beauties and Perfections of the Holy Scriptures; while they feed their vicious Fancies, and depraved Tastes with those Impersections which arise from wrong Translations, or from Antiquity of Language and Custom, for which their ungenerous Disposition makes no Allowances. -- They argue against Christianity from the Additions Men have made to it, and their Abuses of it; as also from the immoral Lives of false Christians. A Way of arguing allowed of in no Cause .--- It is also a notorious Instance of Unfairness, that they never agree in their Charge against Christianity; but shift from Imposture to Enthusiasm, and back again, as serves their

ftroy? Or is the Gospel, those Words of Christ, the Words

of him who hath a Devil?

Miracles serving to detect Falshood, discover Deception, to restore just Sentiments and Ideas of God's once disputed Soveraignty, to give a most conspicuous Display of his adorable Character, and of his constant, unerring and kind Providence — answer a noble End, and are worthy of God. And when we have all needful, not to say all possible, Demonstration of the Agency of a good Being; an Attempt, against positive Evidence to the contrary, to shew the bare Possibility of the Agency of an evil Being, seems injurious to the Character of God, to the Scriptures of Truth, to the best interests of Mankind, and is as unworthy and shameful a Part as a Man can well act.

Charges, and cannot be both true.

As to Falshoods, impudent Mis-repre-fentations, shameful Scurrilities, base and unmanly Language, I need only refer to those two late scandalous Writers Woolston and Morgan. The vile and infamous Methods such Men have taken is a strong Presumption of the Badness of the Cause; and of the Truth and Goodness of that

which they Oppose.

But cou'd the Enemies of Christ and Christianity possibly succeed in their wretched Cause, in opposing an Interest at the Head of which God stands, which almighty Power and Omniscience has so vilibly supported, and which will certainly out-live all Opposition: Cou'd they fucceed against an Interest which baffled and confounded their Brethren, the Jewish Priests and Doctors, Annas and Caiphas, who had better Advantages than they for detecting a Fraud in Christianity, had any one belonged to it, and as strong Inclination to it. Let me ask

1. What wou'd they themselves be Gainers by their Success? Can they hereby change the Nature of Sin, dethrone God, mortalize their Souls, defy Death,

and

or escape Judgment? Will overthrowing the Gospel render them safe in a sinful Life? On the other hand, if the Bible is the bestHelp to see theFolly and Turpitude of Sin, and to refift Temptations to it; if it be the best Help to know and serve God; to improve their own Souls, to prepare for unavoidable Death, and to appear approv'd in Judgment; then let them referve their Scurrility and Ridicule for a more proper Subject than the Bible. For unless they can find a better Means to improve their Understandings, to inoble their Minds, to govern their Passions, to perfect their Virtues, to correct and adorn their Lives, to quiet their Consciences, to cheer and support their Spirits; they will, for rejecting and aspersing the Bible, be justly condemned of God as Enemies to themselves, or as felf-destroyers. Let me ask

2. What will the World be Gainers by their Success in this dreadful Cause? When they have expelled Christianity out of the World, and banished the Gospel, were this possible; will their Children be better trained up? Will their Sons and Daughters carry it with more Obedience, Sobriety and Chastity? When set loose from the Restraints of the Gospel, will Husbands

and Wives be more true and faithful to each other? Will Mens Virtue, Reputation, Lives, Purses be more safe, by removing the Hopes and Fears of a future State, as revealed in the Gospel? By this Method will moral Truth and Righteousness more prevail? Will more exalted Degrees of Justice, Benevolence and Charity be the Glory of Societies? When Men have laid aside their Bibles, and ceased from hearing or reading the Word, will Conscience be more awake, vigilant and operative; will it more effectually check every ill Action, and be a more powerful Spring of good Actions?

On the other Hand, if the Reverse of all this be probable and Fact; and if generally the farther Men go in opposing Christ and Christianity, the more they run into Vileness and Debauchery, and spread farther the fatal Infection; then these Opposers of Christ and his Religion will be justly condemn'd by God as Enemies to

Mankind. Let me ask

3. What Glory will God gain by Success in their Opposition to Christ? Will God's, or Satan's Kingdom grow by parting with our Bibles? If Christ sought the Father's Glory; whose Glory do his Opposers

posers seek? If Christ was an Agent sent from Heaven, whose Agents are they who mal-treat, and abuse him? If Christ spoke the Words of God; whose Words do these his Adversaries speak? If his were not, theirs must be the Words of Men influenced by the Devil. Will God be better known, better worshipped, better obeyed, when Men have cast away their Bibles, deserted the Houses of God, and laid the Lord's Day level with other Days? If not, then let the Opposers of Christ and Christianity look to it, lest they be condemn'd as Enemies to God, as well as to Themselves and to Mankind.

Those who wou'd expell Christianity out of the World, wou'd, if able, I am afraid, expell all Religion out of the World; and consequently wou'd, if able, expell God out of the World, out of his own World; for if we have nothing to do with

God, he has nothing to do with us.

It is to give some Check to this dreadful Delusion; these wicked, and, upon the whole, impotent Attempts, that I have drawn up this Catechism; wherein I have collected the principal Arguments for natural and revealed, for real and instrumental Religion into as narrow a Compass, and

B 2

repre-

represented them in as clear and strong a Manner as I am able; that hereby I might sit it for the Service of young People; and render it a useful Instrument of Education in the Hands of Parents.

For I cannot but judge that the best internal Preservative of Youth from the Attacks of Infidels, is a strong, habitual, serious Belief of the Truths of natural Religion; namely, The Being of God, his Providence over the World, the Immortality of the Soul, and a future State. The Bible giveth Men so grand, affecting, and amiable Descriptions of God; is so instructive an Illustration of his Providence; leads Men to so just a Care of their Souls; and is so excellent a Preparative for a future State; that I am ready to think, no Man who believes in God, who has a stated Sense of his Presence, who believes his Soul immortal, and who wou'd fain be ready for Death and Judgment, can possibly neglect, much less despise the Bible .--- That therefore the best Method to secure young Peoples Adherence to Christ and Christianity, is to give them a clear View of the Principles of natural Religion; and strongly to impress upon their Minds a serious, lively Sense of God and his Providence,

of the Worth and Duration of their Souls, the Approach of Death, and the Awfulness

of a future Judgment.

Nor can we ever hope to see real Religion grow, and Christianity flourish, and the World hereby reformed, till Youth become more the Object of our Concern and Care. The Passion of most Parents (I fear, even amongst Christians, tho' in direct Contradiction to the Life, Spirit, and Law of Christ) is to see their Children fine and rich: In consequence of which, most Christian Children are trained up in the same poor and low Passions; and are: early taught to pursue the Riches, Gaitiesand Pleasures of the World as chief Goods By fuch an Education Parents do as effectually keep their Children from becoming. good Christians, as if they instructed them in the Principles of Irreligion, and taught them to believe they had no Souls, or none which deferved half the Caretheir Bodies do.

Even in fome better Families, where the Principles of Religion are taught; yet this is mingled with the Sight of so much Eagerness after the World, and such a Fondness for Dress, and Pleasure; that it is a great Question which will gain the Ascen-

B 3

dancy

xviii The PREFACE.

dancy in the Hearts of their Children; the Principles of Wisdom and Maxims of Piety coldly taught them; or the Principles of Worldliness, Vanity and Folly, by stated Examples, strongly impressed upon them.

So that I cannot but ascribe the little true practical Religion there is in the World, and the Prevalency of ill Principles, very much to a wrong Education; nor do I see at present much Ground to expect a general Amendment. But I venture this Piece abroad as one Help, amongst many, in the important Work of Education*; which, if well executed, in Families, in Boarding-Schools, in Colleges, and Churches, wou'd tend, perhaps, more than any one Expedient besides, to revive Religion and Virtue, and to restore the Happiness and Glory of our Land.

But while Parents, Tutors and Tutoresses train up Children and Youth almost wholly, if not altogether, in the Maxims and Fashions of this World, in contradiction to the great and only Design of the Gospel; this at once knocks down all our Sermons, and deseats the End of all Gospel Preaching.

See the Importance of a religious Education briefly represented in the Presace to a sormer set of Catechisms, intitled Lectures to Children and young People.

THE

Christian Catechism, &c.

CHAP. I.

The Existence and Perfections of God proved.

SECT. I.



Providence; if the Soul is immortal; and there will be a future Judgment, then what plainly follows?

A. That Religion is every

Man's chief Bufiness. *

Q. What is Religion?

A. Such an habitual Reverence for God, and Love to him, as powerfully incline us to worship him and keep all his Commands.

* Thy Force alone, Religion! Death difarms,
Breaks all his Darts, and every Terror charms.
We undifinay'd his awful Power obey;
Thou guides us thro' the fafe, tho' gloomy Way,
Which leads to Life, and to the bleft Abode,
Where ravish'd Minds injoy, what here they own'd, a God.

Blackmore on the Creat. B. IV.

Deut. vi. 29. O that there were such an Heart in them, that they wou'd fear me and keep all my Commandments always, &c. *

Q. Who is God?

A. God is an infinitely wife, powerful, good Being, who exists necessarily, and has all Perfections and all Dominion absolutely in and of himself, underived and independent on any.

Q. Can we prove the Being of a God from the Testimony of the Scripture? A. No.

- Q. Why can we not? A. Because the Scriptures suppose a God, but do not prove him to be; and they are wrote only for those who already believe in him; we must also be-
- * By Religion, I mean such a Sense of divine Truth as enters into a Man, and becomes the Spring of a new Nature within him, reforming his Thoughts and Designs, purifying his Heart, sanctifying and governing his whole Deportment, animating him with a Zeal to be still better and better; using Prayer and all outward Devotions, as solemn Acts testifying what he is inwardly and at Heart; and as Methods instituted of God, to be still advancing in the use of them farther and father into a more refined and spiritual Sense of divine Matters.

Burnet's Hist. of his Life. Vol. II. p. 668.

Hoc vinculo. Pietatis obstricti, Deo religati sumus; unde ipsa Religio nomen accipit.

Meo judicio Pietas est fundamentum omnium Virtutum.

Cic. pro Planc.

Pietate adversus Deos sublata, sides etiam & Societas humani generis, & una excellentissima virtus, justitia toilatur necesse est.

Cic. de Nat. Deor. L. 1.

lieve that God is, before we believe that he alls or operates.

Q. But does not the Scriptures direct us how to prove there is a God. A. Yes.

Q. How? A. From the Make and Frame of the vible World.*

* Psal. xix. 1, 2. The Heavens declare the Glory of God. Jer. x. 12. Chap. li. 15. He bath made the Earth by his Power, established the World by his Wisdom, and stretched out the Heavens by his Understanding, that is, the Power, Wisdom, and Knowledge of God are evidently seen in the Frame of the Heavens and Earth. Acts xiv. 15, 17. He bath not less himself without Witness, in that he did good. Acts xvii. 24,—29. God, who made the World.—in him we live—we are all his Off-spring. Rom. i. 20. The invisible Things of him from the Creation of the World are clearly seen, being understood by the Things which are made, earn his eternal Power and Godhead.



SECT. II.

Proofs of a God drawn from the Effects to the Cause.

I. Q. DOES the Being and Building of this World prove there is a God who built it? A. Yes.

Q. Is not this as plain and evident as that every House has a Builder? A. Yes. Heb. iii. 4. For every House is builded by some Man, but he who built all Things is God *.

Q. Are there not evident Characters of Defign, Wisdom and Goodness in the Frame of the World and all its Parts? A. Yes. +

Q. And

* The three following Propositions may be reckoned Pos-

1. That it is very defirable to every reasonable Spirit, that there shou'd be a God; that is, a great, wise and good King and Governor over the World.

2. That scoffing at Religion, or at acknowledging and

worshipping God, is very inexcusable.

3. That the Practife of Virtue is necessary to human Society, and tends to render a Man perfect and lovely. That without Truth, Justice, Charity, Kindness, Society wou'd be dissolved, and Men be degraded below Brutes. Therefore it is highly probable, that the only Causes of Atheism are Ignorance or Vitiousness, or false Philosophy and partial Reasoning.

+ There are Six Methods of proving the Existence of the

Deity.

1. That all which Exists cannot be contingent; but there must be some Being which necessarily exists of it self.

z. That

Q. And is there not an evident Incapacity in blind and dead Matter to move itself constantly in so regular a Manner, and to put on such beautiful Forms, in which it appears in the several Parts of the Universe? A. Yes

Q. Must there not then be a designing, wise and good Creator and Governor of the World, and of every Part of it? A. Yes.

Q. If we but open our Eyes, does not one Look only discover Him, who has drawn himfelf on all his Works? A. Yes.

Q. If a Book, an Organ, a Statue, a Picture expressing the Passions of the Heart, as well as the Shape and Cosour of the Face, discover an intelligent, designing Author; does not the visible World and every Creature in

2. That we cannot admit of an infinite Number of Causes mutually subordinate; and that it is absolutely necessary to acknowledge a first Cause, on which all others are dependent.

3. That Matter cannot move itself, but that a first incorporeal Mover must necessarily exist; from whom mediately

or immediately it received its moving Impulse.

4. That in those Beings which exist, there appearing several Degrees of Persection, as Goodness, Beauty, Power, &c. There must necessarily exist a Being supremely persect, with respect to which they may be said to be more or less persect in Proportion to their approaching more or less to his Persection.

5. That drawn from the Structure of the Universe, and the Manner of its Subfishence in such an excellent Order in all its Parts, and with such a constant Regularity of their Motions.

6. Cartesius's Proof from the Idea of a necessarily exist-

ing Being.

Bayle's Dict. Vo!. IV. p. 3065. Cud-worth's Intell. System. it, as plainly discover its great Author? A.Yes.

Q. When every Object offers God to our Sight, is it not ftrange any Men shou'd pretend to see him no where? A. Yes.

Q. And if we see him in all his Works, is it not highly fit we shou'd admire, love and

worship him? A. Yes.

Q. It Veneration is due and paid to Men who make Statues without Reason and Motion; is not an infinite Veneration due to Him who produceth Men indued with Reason, Speech, and self-moving Powers? A. Yes.

Q. If all Parts of the Universe, and every Creature in it are useful, and answer some End; is it not reasonable to ascribe them to a designing and good Creator, who foresaw both the End and the Means? A. Yes *.

Q. Is any thing more desirable than that there be a God to govern the World? A.No.

Q. Or, is any Meditation more delightful?
A. No +.

Q. Are

For to design an End, and to pursue
That End by Means, and have it still in View,
Demands a conscious, wise, restecting Cause,
Which freely moves, and acts by Reason's Laws:
Which can deliberate, Means select and find
Their due Connection with the End design'd.

Blackmore on the Creation B. I.

† Since Man is born to fo much Woe and Care,
Must still new Terrors dread, new Sorrows bear;
Does it not suit the State of Human kind,
There shou'd preside a good, almighty Mind?

Who,

Q. Are not then Atheists, who say there is no God, Enemies to themselves, and to the welfare and happiness of Mankind? A. Yes.

Q. What particular Branches may be comprehended under this first great plain and general Proof of the Being of God? A. The Characters of Design, Wisdom, Power, Goodness, which appear in the Earth, the Water, the Air, the Heavens, in Animals, in Man,

both in his Body and Soul.

1.) Q. What Characters of Delign, Wifdom, Power and Goodness are visible in the Make of the EARTH? A. The Earth, tho' it swims, like a Ball, in the Air, is so equally poised, that one side does not outweigh the other. Its Temperature is just; not so bard, but it opens its Bosom to the Plough; nor so foft, as not to be trod on. It is variegated with useful and ornamental Hills and Vallies. It is an inexhaustible Storebouse of Minerals; and of Seeds of Trees, Flowers and Grass. It never wears out, but grows young again every Spring, by a furprizing Refurrection of Plants of all Sorts. It affumes an infinite Variety of Forms, and is changed into a thousand fine Objects, Shapes and Colours, to charm the Eye, to regale every Sense, and to support

Who, when implored, might timely Succour give, Solace our Anguish, and our Want relieve; Father of Comfort might our Souls sustain, When prest with Grief, and micigate our rain.

P W

the Life of Man. Trees of stately, awful Height and Bulk grow out of her, which by small Pipes draw out of her Juices, which are converted into Bark, Wood, Leaves, Blossoms, Fruit, serving a Variety of Purposes in Human Life *.

Q. Cou'd this Earth make or change itself?

A. No.

Q. Must it not have an all-wise, powerful

and good Creator? A. Yes

Q. Is it possible to explain the Philosophy of its Motion and wonderful Transformations without a God? A. No.

2.) Q. What Characters of Design, Wisdom, and Goodness are visible in the Element of WATER? A. If the Water was either more rare and thin, or more dense and thick, Fish cou'd not live in it; and that noble and numerous Race of Creatures wou'd

Charms! The hidden Strata or Beds of rich Minerals, Clays, &c. the Structure and globular Form of it; its Stability and Firmnels; its Situation in a proper Point or Circle of the immense Void; the Cobesion of its Parts, by Gravitation, Magnetism, or other invisible Chain; its Motion; why it moves at all, why in a Circle, why with a certain Degree of Velocity; why it keepeth one constant Track, and loseth not a Minute in a Race of many thousand Years; why it is not endless Night, or endless Day; why not always Summer, or eternal Winter.—If we seriously consider these Wonders, we cannot but join with the sacred Writer, and say, in Amazement, How manifold are thy Works! In Wisdom bast thou made them all; The Earth is full of thy Riches. Pial. civ. 5-30.

be extinguished: Nor cou'd Ships swim in it; and there wou'd be an End of Trade and all Correspondence with distant Countries. It is fo fluid, slippery and wholesome, as to be fit for all the Uses of the Kitchen; to be Drink for Man and Reaft, and the Source of all Liquors; and is capable of being led almost wherever Men please. Tho' it is very heavy, yet it is raised insensibly over our Heads, hangs in the lighter Air in thick Clouds, and waters the Earth as by a Colander; and is the universal Principle of Vegetation. It circulates in Pipes under Ground; has Reservatories in the Mountains, whence it issues out in Springs, runs down in delightful Streams, and at last forms the vast Sea. In the Sea it has prodigious Flowings and Reflowings, or Rifings and Fallings in regular Order every Day, and in certain Bounds; which periodical Motion preserveth it from Stagnation and Corruption, and greatly facilitates Naviga-tion. Water is falt in the Sea to keep it sweet and render it heavy; but is purified in the Earth and Air to render it pleasant and healthful. It is capable of becoming hard as Stone; and is often changed into Ice, Hail, Snow, Air *.

C 2

Q. Cou'd

^{*} The Confideration of Rivers, in how strange a Manner they arise from the Sea, climb up to the Top of Mountains, pass thro' invisible Meanders, and return to the Sea again. Eccles. i. 7.—Of the Sea, the Formation of the spacious

Q. Cou'd so useful a Fluid be made and managed, except by a Being of unsearchable Wisdom, Power, and Goodness? A. No.

3.) Q. What Characters of Design, Wisdom and Goodness do we see in the AIR?

A. By its Transparency it admits Rays of Light to pass thro' it from the Sun and Stars. It is so nicely purified, as to be fit to breathe in; and is the great Instrument of Life to all Animals: Whereas were it either thicker or thinner, all living Creatures wou'd soon die. It is impregnated with Particles which promote Vegetation and the Fruitfulness of the Earth. It is a chief Instrument in the Digestion of Food. And is absolutely necessary for making and hearing of Sounds *.

Q. Muft

cious Gulph, the Proportioning the Water and Land, its Situation, Contexture, Saltness, Tides, innumerable Inhabisants; serious Consideration about these Objects, must lead Men up to God, the supreme Creator and Governor of

all. Pfal. civ. 5, &c.

How great is the Power and Goodness of God, who keeps us from being crushed to pieces by the external Air, whose Weight on a Man of fix Foot is reckoned equal to 22680 Pounds; and from being tore to pieces by the Spring of the internal Air! Who but God can thus preserve the Ballance between them! How good is God, to compass the whole Globe with this necessary Fluid, of which if deprived a few Minutes we die! And to preserve it sit to breathe in! How wise and how kind to indue the Air with Invisibility and Insipibility, that we might not have our Eyes or Taste offended by the various filthy Particles with which it is filled, arising from Carrion, Puddles, and other disagreeable Objects.

Q. Are

Q. Must not a Being of infinite Understanding and Goodness be the Contriver and Preserver of so necessary, useful and delightful an Element? A. Yes.

Q. Are there not plain Marks of Designand Kindness in the Creation of FIRE, which is applicable to so many and so needful Purposes; and which yet lies so peaceably in Flints and other Bodies, till Men force it out? A. Yes.

4) Q. What Characters of Design, Wisdom and Goodness are to be seen in the Heamens? A. The magnificent Arch raised over our Heads, and filled with rolling Worlds of Light, declare a Builder infinitely more magnificent. The Succession of Day and Night, so fit and useful for the successive Labours and Repose of Men; the Quantity of Light and Heat poured down every Day from the Sun, that glorious Father of the Day; that Candle of the Lord, the Moon, so useful in reflecting Light borrowed from the Sun, when

Q. Are Storms and Thunder any Objections to the Wisdom and Goodness of the Plan of the World? A. No; Winds and Storms are necessary to clear the Air, to dry the Earth, to transport Clouds, to winnow Corn, to navigate Ships, &c.: Thunder and Lightning are the Artillery of Heaven, the Almighty's Fireworks, proper to keep Men in awe, and serviceable to purge the Air, and burn up the noxious Ingredients in it. So Thistles and Moles, besides other Uses; oblige Men to good Husbandry; Lice tie Men to Cleanliness in their Bodies; Spiders—in their Houses; Moths—in their Clothes; Nettles have Stings, but useful to guard so good a Medicine from the Rapes of Children and Cattle.

out of our Sight; and for raising the Tides in the Sea; the Stars, which add such a Glory to the Heavens, all declare their Maker God.

Q. Cou'd

- The Sun is a most wonderful Being, as it is an inexhaustible Fountain of Light and Heat; by its Light it refresheth us with a thousand fine Prospect; every Day; by its Heat it is the Life of the Earth, of Plants and Animals. Its Magnitude and Situation are wonderful; for was it greater, or nearer to us, we shou'd all be burnt up; was it less, or at a greater Distance, we shou'd be froze to Death. aftonishing is it, that Light is poured out from the Sun in so vast a Quantity as to fill all the immeasurable Space between us and the Firmament, even as far as Saturn! And that it comes with a Swiftness unconceivable; for did it move no faster than a Ball shot from the Mouth of a Cannon, it wou'd, according to the best Judges, be twenty-four or thirty Years in coming from the Sun to us; whereas now it performs its prodigions Journey in seven or eight Minutes. Supposing the Sun moves round the Earth (tho' if the Earth moves round the Sun it is the fame) how furprizing it never forgets its Duty, never makes one Excursion beyond the Tropicks, or wanders into the immente Void; but by a confined and most regular Motion, occasions the successive Returns of Spring, Summer, Autumn, Winter, for many thousands of Years together! How wonderful that none of the fixed Stars or Planets, those moving Globes, are overturned, crush our Ball, set it on Fire, or jottle it out of its Place!
- Q. Cou'd fuch an immense Globe of Fire as the Sun be contrived, created, sed, governed by any but a God of infinite Wisdom and Power? A. No.

Q. Had the Sun eternally existed, wou'd it not have been

exhausted long before now? A. Yes.

Q. If a Candle does not come into a Student's Chamber by Chance; is it not the highest Instance of Folly and Stupidity, to suppose that the Sun, the Candle of the Universe came into the Firmament by Chance? A. Yes.

Q. If

Q. Cou'd any make such a Frame of Things, so vast, so coherent, so beautiful, so beneficial, but a Being of infinite Understanding, Power and Goodness? A. No.

Q. What Characters of Design, Wisdom and Goodnets appear in the Make and Actions of ANIMALS? A. All the inferior Claffes are visibly defigned for Man's Advantage: The Horse is fitted to supply Man's Weakness; Cows are moving Kitchens to boil the Herbs and Grass of the Field, and strain the Juices into pleasant and wholesome Milk; Sheep and Goats yield a superfluous Fleece of Wool and Hair every Year, as well as Skins and Flesh when killed, to cloath and feed Man. Silk-worms Spin for us; Bees prepare us Honey and Wax with great Labour, and unfearchable Ingenuity; and are an exemplary Commonwealth before our Eyes: Dogs are our Guards, and give us an agreeable Image of Society, Friendship, Fidelity and Courage. The Inflinets, or natural Sagacity of Animals discover a superior Intelligence which governs them: while Men commit Blunders, other Creatures act by infallible Dictates, according to the exacteft Rules of Mechanism and Mathematicks; as

Bees,

Q. If a Planetarium, which is only an imperfect Model or Imitation of the Planetary System, lead us to admire the Artist who framed it; ought not the Knowledge of the grand and noble System it self cause us to admire and adore the infinite Builder and Supporter of it? A. Yes.

Bees, in forming their Combs; Birds, in building their Nests; Hounds, in hunting, &c.

Q. Seeing they act without Deliberation, and without Freedom or Choice, and yet act according to perfect Art, and above the Reach and Skill of Man, does not this shew the perfect Art and Reason of their Maker, the unerring Skill of the great Artificer? A. Yes. *

6.) Q.

To the Usefulness and Subserviency of the inferior Amimals to Man; and to the Instances of their natural Sagacity may be added, as a farther Proof of a wise, designing good Creator, "That tho' no Animal is immortal, yet by Generation every Kind continues; and both the Kinds and Sexes are multiplied in fit Proportions. That an infinite variety of Food is prepared for the infinite Sorts and Num-

bers of Creatures before they exist.

That Infects and other Animals lay their Eggs where there is proper Repast for their Young: And every Creature is furnished with Instruments proper for getting its Livelihood; Birds and Beafts of Prey are terribly armed for this End. That all Animals have an invincible Affection to their Young ; and Females are furnished with Teats in proportion to the Number of their Young. Those Creatures which have Teeth in both Jaws have but one Stomach; but those which have no upper Teeth, or none at all, have three Stomachs; as Beafts and graniverous Animals. That the feveral Species of Creatures are preserved by a seeming Contradiction, preying one upon another; and while they have all their Enemies which devour many Individuals, yet they have all Means of Self defence, sufficient to preserve the Species; for Instance, Plants feed on the Juices of the Earth, Caterpillars on Plants, Birds on Caterpillars, Men on Birds. Nor have Men any Reason to object to this, since the Birds and 6.) Q. What Characters of Design, Wisdom and Goodness are visible in the Structure of Man's Body? A. That so fine a Structure shou'd be raised out of so coarse and unapt Matter as Clay, shews the unsearchable Skill of the Creator. The Posture of Man is majestick, and strikes the Inserior Creatures with Awe; his erest Countenance in-

Worms have as good a Right to their Food as they, name-

ly, the Grant of God.

Moreover, Birds build with inimitable Art, they build high, to be out of the reach; and in thick Bushes, to be out of the Sight of Enemies. They have Wings (as Fifnes have Fins) which serve as Oars to cut the Air; and Tails, which serve as Rudders to steer the floating Bedy, or to affift their Ascent and Descent. The Shafts of their Feathers are strong and smooth, yet Light; the Vanes are nicely gauged on either Side to refift the Air and turn off Water. Migrating Birds miss not their Way thro' the trackless Air in passing from Country to Country. Bees work in the Dark their odoriferous Cells, in Figures of all others the most capacious and strong, in the utmost Perfection; and are an instructive Pattern of prudent OEconomy, Industry, a publick Spirit, Neatness, Temperance and all social Virtue. Cranes have long Necks in proportion to their Feet. Ducks have large Skins on their Feet, to keep them from finking on miry Banks, and to affift them in Swimming. Chickens have Part of the Yolk of the Egg inclosed in their Belly for Nourishment, till able to pick. Male Crows will almost starve themselves to feed the Females, during Incubation.

Besides the Wonders apparent to the naked Eye in every Animal; by the help of Glasses Men have discovered new Worlds of Animals, before invisible, being infinitely little; and new Wonders in the Structure of their Parts. These, and thousands, yea millions more Instances demonstrate to every attentive Mind an infinitely wise and good Creator.

dicates

dicates he was defigned to look towards and contemplate Heaven. The Skin giveth a fweet and delightful Colour, defends all the other Parts; and, tho' fo fine, will wear out many Suits of Glothes; it is full of Holes, to let out the Sweat, but not fo large as to let out the Blood. The Limbs are all in proportion to one another, and all to his Size. The Bones of the Legs and Thighs are like equal Pillars supporting the whole Fabrick, yet folding into one another in bending; and are fastned in the Feet as in their Pedestals. The noble Bowels (the Heart, Lungs, Liver, Stomach, Intestines, &c.) are guarded by hooped Ribs, which open and dilate for Respiratien. The Head stands uppermost to adorn and govern the whole; it is graced with various Senses, placed on high for quick Intelligence, and near the Brain to receive immediate Orders +.

O. Does

To the Instances given, may be added, the wonderful System of Blood-Vessels, which convey the vital Fluid to and from every Part of the Body. The incessant, yet unwearied Labour of the Heart. Respiration, performed by the concurring Aid of a thousand Muscles. Nutrition, in order to which the Food passeth thro' several Kinds and Degrees of Digestion in the Mouth, the Stomach, and the Intestines; which last are about fix times the Length of Man, in order to retard the Food till the Chyle is pressed out; and then the dreggy Contents are discharged in a Part of the Body, where they are the least Offensive to the Senses. How wisely are two large Muscles planted in the Part we set on, to render that Posture easy to us! The Back-hone

Q. Does not all this Apparatus shew Art and Wisdom in the Creator, and that every

bone is jointed by furprizing Art, to fit it for bending or turning; and is bored in various Places for the passing of the Nerves and Blood vessels thro' it. The Arms and Hands are of a wonderful Form and Contexture, adapted to a thouland Purposes in Life. The Neck either stands stiff, or is flexible, just as there is Occasion. The Head is of a fit Size; the Brain is guarded by a strong, yet light Skull, and covered with comly Hair. The most useful Organs are double. The Eyes are full of Life, and are of a most curious Make, fitted to convey to the Soul a Sight of all furrounding Objects; and are well guarded by the Lids, Brows, and Fringes of Hair. The Nofe is planted just over the Mouth to judge of Meats by the Smell, and to add Comliness to the Face. The Ears are placed on high, and are formed with Art inimitable for collecting Sounds; and lie near the Soul for quick Intelligence. The Teeth are inameiled or glazed, as far as exposed to the Air, to render them durable, and better to answer the End of a Mill; and thro' Springs and Puilies they act on one another with a Force equal to many thousand pound Weight. The Tongue, by its Form and Suppleness, is fitted to be a chief Infrument in Speaking, Tafting and Swallowing. The Mouth is furnished with numerous Glands, to be Fountains of Spittle to moisten the Food, and lubricate all the Organs of Speech and Eating. I shall only add, that Infants turn to the Breast as foon as born, and are indued with the Art of Sucking; which Action causeth a Vacuum before the Mouth of the Nipple, which being closed up on all Sides by the Mouth, no Air can get in to refift the circumambient Air, which presset h the Milk into the Vacuum; the Infant having a Way contrived for it to breathe thro' the Note, while the Mouth is skut. But the Infant knows nothing of this wonderful Philosophy and Mechanism. God only is the Contriver of all, and the fole Directer of the Child how to obtain the appointed Means of Life. Job x. 11, 12. Thou haft cloathed me with Skin and Flesh, and fenced me with Bones and Sinegus.

thing is contrived for Man's Advantage? A. Yes.

7.) Q. What Characters of Design, Wisdom and Goodness are there in the Soul of Man? A. The Union of the Soul and Body is an unsearchable Secret. The mental Powers of Thought, Perception, Reslection, Abstraction, Interring, lead us to God as the Author. The Memory is a strange, but useful Repositary of past Ideas. Liberty, or a self-moving and determining Power, which the Soul seels and is inwardly conscious of, and which is quite above the Capacity of inactive Matter. Its Power of correcting and restraining bodily Propensions shew it to be of a Nature distinct from Body, above its Capacity, and designed to rule over it *. Q. Must

What is the Shape which from the Body flies? What moves, what propagates, what multiplies And paints one Image in a thousand Eyes? When to the Eye the crowding Figures pass, How in a Point can all possess a Place, And lie distinguish'd in such narrow space?

Blackmores Creat. B. VII.

33

mand of the Soul, and governed by its Will; yet the Soul knows not the Number of animal Spirits necessary to every Motion, nor the Road they go, or how they return. Nay, the Peasant can move his Body as well as the Philosopher, and touch the right Springs, without knowing them. It is therefore a blind Power, and under the immediate Influence of a Being of Intelligence, every where present. Images are convey'd thro' the Eye; impressed on the Brain, and seen by the Soul, without any Art or Labour of ours; which must be done by the Hand of an invisible Agent of infinite Art.

Q. Must not rational, active Souls be created by a Being of Understanding, Freedom and Power? A. Yes.

Q. Does not every Creature, and particularly Man, bear visible Prints of an invisible Deity, and lead us up to a Supreme, intelligent Cause? A. Yes.

Q. Can a worthy End be designed, and fit Means be chose to attain that End, except by an Understanding Being, who fore-saw both End and Means? A. No.

Q. Is not this one Argument for the Existence of God, drawn from the Frame of the visible World, sufficient to convince every Person who will consider it? A. Yes.

II. Q. Does not the general Consent of Mankind in all Ages furnish a strong (moral) Argument for the Being of a God? A. Yes.

Q. Whence must this general Consent or Belief arise? A. Either (1) from the Exercise of pure Reason; which proveth the Belief of a Deity a rational Belief. Or (2) from a divine Impression, which supposeth

The exact Resemblance Children bear to Parents can be no possible Way accounted for, no more than their first Existence, but by the Agency of a supreme, understanding Being. The infinite Variety of Human Faces, Voices, and Hand-avriting, so useful and necessary in social Life, is an Instance of wise and kind Contrivance. Final Causes lead to a great efficient Cause. Symmetry, Beauty, Order are Proofs of Understanding, and can never rise out of Chance and Consusion.

See Cambray of the Existence of God.

A.

Vif-L of lody

Ab-Au-Re-

-mo Soul th is

Mat-

and Just

Soul to eeturn. ilofonem. e Inefent.

Brain, ours; nt of

3

God to exist. Or (3) from Tradition; which Tradition must have one of the two former Causes, and so leadeth up to God.

Q. In case the general Belief arose from original Tradition, is it supposable, that the first Parents of Mankind wou'd deliver a Falshood of so great Moment? A. No.

III. Q. Is not every Man's Conscience a Witness to the Being of God? A. Yes.

Q. What is Conscience? A. It is the Judgment a Man makes of himfelf and his Actions, with reference to God and his Law.

Q. Ought not every Man to attend to the Voice of Conscience, speaking clearly and loudly, that verily there is a God? A. Yes.

SECT. III. An Objection answered.

Q. I F it shou'd be objected, "That this World was eternal, or had no Beginning;" by what Arguments are you able to overthrow this Objection, and prove that the World had a Beginning? A. By five thort and plain ones.

Q. Which is the first Argument? A. All the Parts of the visible World plainly shew

Coutrivance and Defign.

Q. Must it not then have a Beginning? A. Yes *.

* The Testimonies of Gentile, Writers agree with the Testimony of Moses concerning the Beginning and Formation of the World. Grot. de Verie. L. 1. S. 15.

Q. Which

Man, the most excellent Part of the visible

World, is not eternal, it is less likely that

O. Which is the fecond Argument? A. If

n; wo od.

Q. But do we not fee that every Man hasa Beginning, and came into Being but a while:

ago? A. Yes.

O. And do we not feel that we ourfelves:

but lately begun to exist? A. Yes.

the other Parts are eternal.

Q. Must not then the Parts of the World. inferior in Excellence to Man, have a Begin-

ning also? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the third Argument? A. If the World had no Beginning, it had no Cause, and so wou'd be independent and unchangeable.

Q. But do we not see it is dependent and

changeable? A. Yes.

Q. Then must there not be a Being on whom it depends, and who caufeth Changes in it? A. Yes.

Q. Moreover, if it had no Cause, must it

not exist necessarily? A. Yes.

Q. And if it existed necessarily, must it not be necessarily the same in all Respects and: at all Times? A. Yes.

Q. But is it fo? A. No.

Q. Which is the fourth Argument? A. That the Earth wou'd not have held its. Inhabitants, had it been Eternal. Gen. vi. chap. x. 5, 32.

Q. Notwithstanding Diseases and Wars, does

not

om the ·a a

the his aw. the and

Tes.

this Beable that

All hew

five

ing.?

h the orma-S. 15. hich not the Earth gradually fill with Inhabitants? A. Yes.

2. Since it is not yet filled, must it not have a late, or a temporary Beginning? A.

Yes *.

2. Which is the fifth Argument that this World is not Eternal, but had a Beginning? A. Men, and other Animals, cou'd not be eternally begetting one another, without any Beginning.

2. Can there possibly exist a System of Beings all dependent, and yet the Whole (made up of dependent Parts) be independent? A.

No.

Q. Is not this as absurd, as to suppose a Chain of unknown Length to exist, thro' the whole of which one Link hung upon another, and yet that the whole Chain hung upon Nothing? A. Yes +.

SECT.

Had the World been eternal, Learning, Arts and Sci-

ences must have been in Perfection long ago.

† If we go ever to long or to far back in the Course of Propagation, the Understanding cannot be at Rest till we come to a first Man, Animal, Plant or Seed, which cou'd not make it felf.

Since then 'tis plain, I have not always been, I ask from whence my Being cou'd begin? I did not to my felf Existence give, Nor from my felf the fecret Power receive By which I reason, and by which I live. Nor cou'd my Being from my Parents flow, Who neither did the Parts, nor Structure know.

(1

Si

SECT. IV.

The Perfections of God infinite and abfolutely necessary.

Q. I AVING, in the most easy, clear and strong Manner, proved the Existence of God, from the Things which do appear; how may be proved the Degree of

If to my felf I did not Being give, Nor from immediate Parents did receive; It cou'd not from my Predecessors slow, They, than my Parents, cou'd not more bestow. Shou'd we the long depending Scale ascend, Of Sons and Pathers, will it never end? If 'ewil', then must we thro' the Order run To some one Man, whose Being ne'er beguny, If that one Man, was Sempiternal, why Did He, since independent, even die? If from himself his own Existence came, The Caule, which cou'd destroy his Being name. To keek my Maker, thus in vain I trace The whole successive Chain of human Race; Bewilder'd, I my Author cannot find, Till some first Caule, some self-existent Mind. Who form'd, and rules all Nature, is affign'd. Blackmore on Creat. B. VI.

Tho' we shou'd grant that the World, was eternal either (1:) As a necessary Essect slowing from the essential, immutable Energy of the divine Nature; according to Aristotle. Or, (2.) As a voluntary Emanation from the All-wise and Supreme Cause; according to Plato's Followers; yet this wou'd not make it to be the original, self-subsisting Being,. Nor indeed did the Espousers of these Opinions design to exclude Supreme Mind or Intelligence out of the Universe.

Dr. Clark on the Being of God. p. 31..

D 3

God'ss

is

e

y

of

te

ne

r,

nc

T.

ci-

rfe

till

ich

God's Perfections, or that they are all absolutely necessary? A. From the internal or

effential Nature of the first Being.

Q. What are these Persections which may be thus proved? A. The Eternity, Immutability, necessary Existence, Immateriality, Unity, and infinite Intelligence of God.

Q. In what Way may we proceed in this

Proof? A. By Six Propositions.

Q. Which is the first Proposition, by which may be proved God's absolute ETERNITY?

A. Something has existed from Eternity.

Q. Are there not fome Beings now existing?

A. Yes.

Q. Therefore must not some Beings or Be-

ing always have existed? A. Yes.

Q. For, had there been a Time when Nothing at all was, cou'd any thing have come into Being from absolute Nothing? A. No.

Q. Or, cou'd any thing flart into Being

of it felf, without any Caufe? A. No.

Q. Since then, there are some Beings which have a Beginning, and which cou'd not make themselves, nor have their Beginning from Nothing, must we not go back to a Being who had no Beginning? A. Yes.

Q. And is not a Being who has no Begin-

ning absolutely Eternal? A. Yes ..

O Which

an

A

Y

illy

ep

Ori Exi

vit

Has Beir

Part ible

elli

Whatever exists has a Cause of its Existence, either, The In the Necessity of its own Nature, and so is of it self Eternal, Or, 2 In the Will of another, who must in the Order of Nature exist before it.

Q. Which is the fecond Proposition conterning the Independency and Immutable LITY of God? A. There has existed from all Eternity some one unchangeable, independent Being.

Q. Can every Being, absolutely speaking, or without any Exception, depend on another?

A. No.

0-

or

y

a-

J-

113

h

5

e-

0-

ne

0.

ng.

ch

ke

m

ng

n-

ch

er,

it uft

Q

Q. For, wou'd they not then depend upon no Being, that is, on Nothing; that is, they wou'd not depend? A. Yes.

2. And is not this a Contradiction? A.

Yes.

2. If all Being cannot depend, must there not be an Independent Being? A. Yes.

2. And if he depend on no Being, is there any Being who can make any Change in him?
A. No.

2. Must he not then be Unchangeable? A. Yes *.

Q. Can we comprehend an eternal Duration now actu-

Q. Yet is this an undeniable Truth? A. Yes.

There has not been an infinite Succession of changeable, dependent Beings produced from one another without any Original: for then Nothing in the Universe is necessarily Existing. Moreover, this series of Beings, 1. Has no Cause without it, for it is supposed to include all Things. 2. Has no Reason of Existence within it self; because no one Being is supposed Self-existent, or necessary, neither any Part, nor the whole; which is a Contradiction and Impossible; for it supposes something to be caused (as every Succession is granted to be) without any Cause.

O. Which is the third Proposition, proving the absolute Necessity of God's Existence? A. This unchangeable and independent Being, who has existed from Eternity, without any external Cause of his Existence, must be Self-existent, that is, necessarily existtrig.

1

ch

(

nd

(

Q. Must not whatever is in Being exist one of these three Ways; either 1. absolutely th without any Caufe. Or, 2. have been produced by some external Cause, Or, 3, be of

Self-existent? A. Yes.

1. Q. Can any Being arise absolutely out th of Noching, without a Caufe? A. No.

2. Q. Can every Being, absolutely speak. ing, or without Exception, be produced by fome external Caufe? A. No.

3. Q. Must not then there be some eternal, independent Being, who is Self-existent? A. Yes.

Q. Will not that Being which has existed necessarily from Eternity, necessarily exist to

Eternity? A. Yes.

Q. For, is it not a clear Truth, "That what could never but be, can never but be? A. Yes . Q. What

All Beings are of two Sorts, 1. What was of it felf 2. What was not of it felf.

Q. Does Self-existent mean, what produc'd it self? A. No.

Q. For, wou'd not that imply this Contradiction, To be, and not to be, at the same Time? A. Yes. Q. What

Q. What is the fourth Proposition, provg that the material World cannot be God? . This visible, material World cannot possibly e the first, original Being, uncaused, indenee, pendent, and of it self eternal; either as to its ORM, MOTION or MATTER.

Q. Why cannot the Form or Figure of the one World have existed necessarily? A. Because

tely then it cou'd never be changed.

-010

xif-

ben-

sty,

rift-

oro-

ak-

by

er-

nt?

ted

to

hat

e?

hat

felf

A.

be,

hat

Q. Why cou'd not the Motion of the Parts be of the material World have existed necessariand independently? A. Because then out there cou'd be no possible Rest; nor any other Degree of Motion than what exists.

Q. Why cou'd not the Matter of the World be eternal and necessarily exist?

A. Because then it wou'd be necessarily every

Q. What does Self-existent mean? A. What cou'd not offibly but be; or, what exists by an absolute Necessity the Nature of the Thing it felf.

Q. What means a Necessary Being? A. What does not

kist either by its own Choice, or of any other.

Q. Is absolute Necessity equal in all Duration or Time, nd in all Space or Place; or always and every where? . Yes.

Q Therefore whatever belongs to a necessary, Self existht Being, must it belong to it all at once, at all Times, and all Degrees? A. Yes.

Q. And cannot it possibly not belong to it? A. No.

Necessity, view'd with attentive Tho't, Does plain Impossibility denote That Things shou'd not exist, which actually are, Or, in another Shape, or different Modes appear. Blackmore B. V.

where

where, fo that no Place cou'd be empty *.

Q. What is the fifth Proposition, in order to prove the Unity of God? A. This felf-existent Being must of necessity be but One

Q. Is not the Idea of Necessity a plain, simple, uniform Idea, as to Place, Duration and

all Perfection? A. Yes.

Gravitation and Motion prove an actual Vacuum. And that a Vacuum is conceivable, and carrieth no Contradiction in it, proveth the Possibility of one; and if of one, of many

Q. Is not Matter a dead, inactive Substance, which stand in need of a constant Support, that it may exist any Way

A. Yes.

Q. Must it not then stand in need of the Power of the same Cause to give it its sirst Existence? A. Yes.

Baxter's Matho. V. I. p. 242

Q. Can Matter, which in its Nature carrieth in it a Relatifistance to perform any one Effect, be made the Mean of performing an infinite Number of Effects, but by a Immaterial Being? A. No.

Q. If Matter can do Nothing, must not all material Nature be constantly influenced and acted upon by an immate

rial Cause? A. Yes.

Q. And must not this Cause be a living, active Cause A. Yes.

Q. And must it not be every where present, acting upon

all Matter, at all Times? A. Yes.

Q. But can Matter, which is not One Being; but an infinite Number of distinct Beings, be present in more that

one Point of Space at one Time? A. No.

Q. And fince every Particle of Matter is a distinct Being and has a separate Existence, may it not be conceived no to exist, or to be annihilated, without affecting the Rest A. Yes.

Q. Can it then have a necessary Existence? A. No.

Q. And must not the Agency of an immaterial Being be necessary to the Union and Cohesion of the several Particle of Matter? A. Yes.

To

Q. To suppose two such necessary, indendent Beings, is it not a Contradiction, as supposes neither of them to be necessary?

Q. For may we not (without a Contraction) suppose one of the two not to ex-; and so not to have a necessary Exist-

ce? A. Yes.

*

or-

This

One, fim-

and

And

diction

Stand

Way

of the

242

a Re

Mean a by ar

al Na

mmate

Caufe

g upon

an in

e that

Being

red no

Reft

No.

eing b

article

To

Q. Is not our Idea of God, the Idea of e Person, or of one intelligent Agent? Yes.

Q. Is another Person included in this

ea? A. No.

Q. Is all Multiplicity and Variety of Begs and Persons excluded from the Idea of necessary Being? A. Yes *.

Q. Farther,

A more easy Way of proving the Unity of God.

r. Q. Have we any Reasons for believing there are more preme Gods than one? A. No, none.

Q. For is not an Infinity of Perfections all that is either

nceivable or defirable in God? A. Yes.

Q. And are not all these in the One seis-existent Being? Yes.

Q And necessarily in him? A. Yes.

Q. Then is not the Supposition of more infinite Beings unnecessary and unreasonable Supposition? A. Yes.

Q. Cou'd any thing be done by two, or more Gods, which

anot be done by one? A. No.

Q Do we then need more than One? A. No.

Q. Shou'd more than one be supposed, without any Rea-

is for fuch a Supposition? A. No.

2. Q. But have we many good Reasons to believe there but one Supreme God, who made and governs the orld? A. Yes.

Q. Whence

Q. Farther, must not infinite Perfection be undivided? A. Yes.

Q. For does not D vision imply Limita. tion, or want of Infinity? A. Yes.

Q. Cou'd

a

t

0

m

N

DC

ac

bf

Tb

Th.

by

Bei

Q

o i

rate

ng 1

our.

Q. Whence may the first Reason be drawn? A: From the Nature of an infinite Being.

Q Is not one infinite, Being commensurate to the whole

Possibility of Being? A. Yes

Q. For, can there be more Perfection than is comprehend ed in the Idea of Infinite or all possible Perfection? A. No.

Q. Therefore cou'd we possibly conceive two infinite Be ings, wou'd there be any more Knowledge or Power in two than in one? A. No.

Q. Whence may we draw a fecond Reason? A. From

the Effects, in the visible Creation.

Q. Is the Universe one whole Work, carrying in it vife ble Marks of Unity, of Design and of Power? A. Yes.

Q. Can there be two Total Causes of the same Effect? A

No.

Q. Fer, if one is the whole Cause, must the other not be the Gaute? A. Yes.

Q And if one Cause was sufficient, was not the other

both in part and in whole, needless? A. Yes.

Q. Moreover in case there were two Supreme Causes acting freely, might they not will contrary Things (in Marters of indifferency, as in creating a Globe in such a Par of Space or Duration)? A. Yes.

· · Q. But does not a Possibility of being bindred contradid

our Idea of God? A. Yes.

Q. Whence may be drawn a third Reason for the Unity of God? A. From our Idea of Goodness in God.

Q. If there were two God's concerned in creating and governing the World, both of infinite Goodness, would they not, out of Affection to each other, and to Men, ac quaint us with the Being of their Fellow God's? A. Yes

Q Why wou'd their Affection to each other cause them to do it? A. That they might receive the Veneration du

to them from Men.

Q. Why

Q. Cou'd infinite Power or Knowledge divide it felf? A. No.

Q. Why not? A. Because it is necessari-

ly what it is.

Q. Cou'd it be divided by any other? A.

O. Why not? A. Because nothing else

existed originally but it self.

Q. If the Perfections of the divine Being are indivisible, must not the Being in whom they subsist be indivisible, or be necessarily one? A. Yes.

Q. If there be no Natural Necessity of more Gods than one; can there be a Moral

Necessity of more than one? A. No.

Q. Is not one supreme Being, who has all possible Persection, and Fulness, sufficient to account for the Creation and Government of the World? A. Yes.

Q. What is the fixth Proposition? A. This Self-existent and original Cause of all Things must be an Intelligent Being.

Q. Is not this Proposition best demonstrated

by the Effects? A. Yes.

Q. For Instance, 1. If there are intelligent Beings in the World, which proceeded from

Q. Why wou'd their Affection to Men cause them to lo it? A. That Men might have Pleasure in paying their trateful Aeknowledgments to their Benefactors; and by doing their Duty be qualified for farther Marks of their Farour.

See Grove's Posth. Tracts. Tr. 1.

E

the

rom

101

ita.

u'd

ron

hel

end-No.

Be

A ot be

ther, ules Mat-

Part adia

Uni.

1.

and
ou'd

yes then

du

Wh

the First, must not that First Being be intelligent? A. Yes.

Q. For must not the Cause be more excel-

lent than the Effects? A. Yes.

Q. 2. Does not the Order, Beauty and Usefulness of the several Parts of the Universe

prove an intelligent Cause? A. Yes.

Q. Since every thing is fitted and ordered to an End, does not this prove a foreseeing, designing Cause, who ordered both End and Means? A. Yes *.

Q. 3.

in

ec

(

ute

nd

1.

Q

ictic

Q.

Q.

g e

4.

C

Beings which have Life. Understanding, Power, Goodness, are more perfect than those without them; therefore all these are in God, the most perfect Being, and the Cause of all Persection.

They are in God infinite, or without Limits; for no Being without God cou'd limit them; nor is there any thing

in God to limit them.

All Perfection either had a Beginning, or had not; what had no Beginning is the Perfection of God; what had a Beginning, must have it from another; which leads us to a First Cause, which must have all the Perfections which are in the Effects.

A Chain of Arguments proving the Intelligence and other Perfections of God from the Confideration of the Nature

of God, the first Cause.

1. Q. Are not Knowledge and Power visible in the Nature of Things? A. Yes.

Q. Must there not then be an intelligent, powerful Be-

ing in whom they fubfitt? A. Yes.

Q. For can these Persections belong to, or be the Proper-

Q. Must they not also belong to One and the same Being? A. Yes.

Q. For

Q. 3. Cou'd Motion ever begin in the World of it felf? A. No.

Q. Must it not then have an intelligent, free, immaterial Cause to be its Original, and its Directer? A. Yes.

Q. Can

Q. For, wou'd not Knowledge without Power be as Use-

Q. And wou'd not Power without Knowledge be hurtful,

or be ungovern'd Fury? A. Yes.

2. Q. Cou'd these Perfections ever be produced, or have any external Cause? A. No.

Q For, had they once not existed, cou'd they ever have

existed? A. No.

Q. Cou'd they arise from absolute Nothing? A. No.

Q. Therefore is not their Existence absolutely necessary ?

3. Q. If there be a B-ing then who necessarily exists, must not all possible Persections, and in all possible Decrees, necessarily exist in him? A. Yes.

Q. Must not then the Perfections of God be infinite, or

inlimited? A. Yes.

Q. For is it not Physical and Morally impossible that necessary Knowledge and Power shou'd limit themselves? A. Yes.

Q. And was there nothing else to limit them? A. No.

Q. Farther, must not infinite Persections be either absoutely impossible, or absolutely necessary? A. Yes.

Q. Cou'd they be absolutely impossible, when we have, and cannot but have Ideas of Infinity, Eternity, Necessity?

A. No.

Q. Is not an infinite, eternal, necessary Nothing a Contraiction? A. Yes.

Q. Must these be Properties of Something? A. Yes.

Q. Therefore does not an infinite, eternal, necessary Be-

4. 2. Does not infinite Intelligence or Knowledge in-

efe

1-

rend

3.

fore

Be-

what Beto a are

other

Na-

oper-

fame

For

- 2. Can the least Bowl begin or determine its own Motion, without the Hand of Man? A. No.
 - 2. Wou'd it not then be unreasonable and

clude Wisdom, and the moral Perfections of Justice and Goodness? A. Yes.

2. Must not infinite Knowledge SEE what is best to be done in all possible Cases and kelations; and what Means are fittest to produce every End? A. Yes.

2. And is not this infinite Wisdom? A. Yes.

Must not infinite Wisdom incline and determine God to CHOOSE what is best, and to AcT after the best Manner? A. Yes.

2. And is not this the very Idea of infinite Justice and Goodness, always to act after the best Manner? A. Yes.

Q. Again, does not infinite Perfection, infinite Knowledge

and Power, imply infinite Happiness? A. Yes.

2. Can a Being infinitely happy, or raised above all Posfibility of Want, have any Reason or Motive to be unjust to his own Creatures? A. No.

2. And will a wife Being ever act without Reason or

Motive? A. No.

2. Farther, when Knowledge and Power are manifested to, or for the fake of intelligent Beings, does not this constitute Goodness? A. Yes.

2. Does Goodness suppose and include Justice? A. Yes.

2. For can He, who, from an inward and effential Principle, feeks the good of another Being, be capable of deing it Injury or Wrong? A. No.

2 Are not Malice and Envy, Cruelty and Tyranny as contrary to Goodness and Justice, as Ignorance and Impo-

tence are to Knowledge and Power? A. Yes.

2. Can they then be ascrib'd to God? A. No.

5. 2. If these Persections of Knowledge, Power, Goodness include each other, can any thing disjoin them? A. No.

2. Must they not then subsist in One Being? A. Yes. See Matho. Vol. I.

abfurd

t

t

0

N

fu

fu.

ca

Ma

proc

Que

ver

Succ

with that

one

I

absurd in the last Degree, to ascribe the Motion and Direction of the Bowl of the Earth or Sun to any but that intelligent Being we call God? A. Yes.

be

n-

nd

ge

ofuft

estthis

Yes. rin-

do-

y as

mpo-

ood-

Yes.

ol. I. urd

Q. To conclude; Is it not a Prospect full of Comfort and Security, that a Being of infinite Power, under the Direction of infinite Wisdom and Goodness, rules the Universe? A. Yes.

Q. Cou'd there be any Pleasure or Tranquility in Life without this Prospect? A. No.

Q. Can it then be any Man's true Interest to live in an ungovern'd World? A. No.

Q. Are not they Enemies to themselves, to Mankind, and to the King of the Universe, who attempt to perswade themselves or others, that there is no God? A. Yes.

Q. Does not leeing the whole Frame of Nature contrived by infinite Wisdom, and supported by infinite Power, give Man the fullest and strongest View of a Deity his Heart: can wish for? A. Yes.

* If Metion begun in Time, the Point is granted; for

Matter could never put it self into Motion.

If Motion is affirmed to be Eternal, then 1. Either it proceeded from an intelligent Being; which also grants these Question. Or, 2. It is Self-existent; and then it cou'd never alter or ccase. Or, 3, It must have existed by endiciss Succession; which is a Contradiction, as being an Effect: without a Cause, either from without, or within it self; that is, here's a necessary Existence of the Whole, when no one Part is necessary, but communicated.

Dr. Clark, &c.

CHAP. II.

Proofs of a Providence.

SECT. I.

Q. WHAT do you mean by a Providence? A. The world's being infpected, upheld and governed by the God who made it.

Q. Whence may the first Sort of Arguments be drawn to prove a Providence? A. From the Cause to the Effects; or, from the Be-

ing, Nature and Perfections of God.

Q. Which is the first Argument? A. That it is unreasonable to suppose an infinitely wise God wou'd make such a World as this, and then leave it to it self, and take no farther Care of it. Or, that a God of infinite Under-

Providence is no other than the unlearchable Wisdom, the unalterable Rectitude, the impartial Justice, the immense Goodness and Benignity of the divine Nature displayed in the Government of created Beings.

Q. Does Nature produce any Effects? A. No; but the

great Author, of Nature.

Q What is Nature then? A. It is nothing else but the universal and perpetual Efficaciousness of God's Will and haw.

Or, Nature is only the Works of God, with the Order in which they subsit, and the Dependance they have on upon another.

standing

ho

bro

Q

he

erf

Wn

aca

es.

anding wou'd form fuch a Creature as Man, and not observe his whole Behaviour.

Q. Which is the fecond Argument? A. In Omniscient and Omnipresent Being must now every Creature he has made, with e-ery thing that is done, in every Part of the Iniverse; and therefore with persect Ease able to take Notice of and govern all Afairs, great and small.

i-

1-

bo

ts

m

e-

at

ife

nd

er

er-

the

the

98:

the

the

and

rder one

ling

Q. Which is the third Argument? A. Being of infinite Power must be able to ver-rule and direct every thing in such a sanner, and to such Ends, as he knows to e best and fittest in the whole; yet so as to eave rational Beings their effential Liberty.

Q. Which is the fourth Argument? A. just and good God will take exact Notice of all the free moral Actions of his Creatures, and observe how far they are conformable the Rules he has given them.

Q. Thus do all the Perfections of God aford a strong Argument for a Providence? Yes.

Q. Is there any Reason to suppose, that he wise, powerful, good Parent of the Unierse shou'd ever throw up the Care of his wn Works? A. No.

Q. Is it not then as unreasonable, as it is not not then as unreasonable, as it is not fortable to deny a Providence? A.

SECT. II.

Q. WHENCE may the fecond Sort of Arguments be drawn to prove a Providence? A. From the visible World, both material and moral; which is so managed and governed, as clearly proveth a great, wife and good Governor.

Q. Are these Arguments of the plainest and most convincing Nature? A. Yes.

Q. For, must not all Effects have a propor-

tionable Caufe? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the first Branch of this Argument? A. The whole World is preserved and upheld, tho' the Parts of it are continually changing. Psal. cxix. 89, 90, 91. Forever, O Jehovah, thy Word is settled in Heaven, Thy Faithfulness is unto all Generations: Thou hast established the Earth, and it abideth; They continue this Day according to thine Ordinances; for all are thy Servants.

Q. Since

bi

t

fu I

uı

nell

hi

iel

ed

er ori

th

ar

Niewentit's Relig. Phil. Vol. III. p. 777, 848.

The Individuals of all Creatures die, yet the whole Species never dies; but every Sort is preserved by the Creation of new Individuals. The Sun and Mass of Water spend continually, yet the Stock seems not to be diminished. But this Wonder may diminish in our Eye by attending to a yet greater Wonder, namely, that all the Particles of Light which fill the whole Orb inlightned by the Sun at once, may not amount to the Quantity of one grain of Sand.—And that a single drop of Water is divisible into 26,000,000 Parts.

Cherries.

Q. Since, as has been proved, the mateial World cannot put it self into Motion, nor continue in regular Motion of it self; t of must not a great invisible Deity be continully acting in us, and all around us? A. Yes. Q. And

e a rld,

ag.

eat,

neft

or.

Ar-

ved

nti-

For-

ns:

bid.

bine

ince

hole

Cre

Vater

nish

tend-

arti

the

grain

into

848

Th

The flated Transmutation of the Elements, or the seve-Sorts of Matter, as of Earth, Air, Water, (Fire) into rais and Corn; of these into Milk and the Flesh of easts; of these into Men's Bodies; and these going off ain in Perspiration, Siege, Urine, and returning into the orms of Grass and Corn, &c. cannot be accounted for. at by the continued Agency of an infinitely wife and powful Creator and Governor.

If a Man throw the same lucky Cast at Dice a hundred mes together, no one will deny it proceeds from Defign and rt. How monstrously absurd then to deny the Design and rt of the great Governor of the World, when thro' all e Classes of Creatures every Individual rises up in the orm and with the Parts proper to its own Sort!

Sow, for instance, different Sorts of Seed by one another the same Earth; they will stand in the same Air, be oistned by the same Water, be warmed by the same Sun; d yet acquire from all these similar Elements an infinite umber of different Particles? A clear Proof of a Province, or that certain Laws obtain, or that there is a certain ell guided Influence in all these numerous Kinds of Matter; hich is the Cause that every Particle of Matter necessary to e Growth of every individual Seed does unite it self thereith, and never mistakes its Road, and joins it self to a ed of different Properties. This is the Cause that ery Seed produceth its own Plant, of the same Kind, orm, Colour, Virtue, every Year, without once failing, that wherever there are such poysonous Herbs as Aconim or Hemlock scattered and mingled in the same Earth th Wheat, Rye, Barley and other Grain, so useful to ankind, there are joined only to the first fatal and deadly rticles; and to the latter only wholsome ones. And thro' e same Cause an Apple-Tree never bears Pears, or a Vine

Q. And must not He be always and every where present, whenever and wherever he acts? A. Yes.

Q. Wou'd the Sun, the Earth, and other Planets keep their Stations and Courfes with perfect, unerring Regularity and Exactnel for Ages together? Wou'd the Vapors con tinually rife and circulate in Clouds, Rain and Rivers? Wou'd the Juices of the Earth rife up thro' fmall Tubes and be formed in to firong Timber and Bark, into Leaves Bloffoms, Fruit? Wou'd the Meat take into our Bodies turn into Neurishment, e very Particle be carried to its similar Pan and the vital Fluid keep in a never ceasing Circulation? Cou'd these, and other Effect be produced but by the Influence and Ope ration of an intelligent, powerful God ever where present? A. No.

Q. When new Beings, vegetative, Ani

Cherries. A Proof of an understanding and benevolent Bein influencing and guiding all.

The Body of an Animal, particularly of a Man, is fingle System of Previdence, which lies in a short Compass—When we see such a Similitude and Resemblance in the Arm, the Hand, the Fingers; when we see one Half of the Body intirely correspond with the other in all those minus Strokes, without which a Man might very well have substitted,—a Man must have a very strange Cast of Understanding, who does not discover the Finger of God in wonderful a Work.—These Duplicates in the Body are plain Demonstration of an All-wise Contriver.

Spect. V. VII. No. 549

7

n

ver I

he

the with

tnel

COn

Rain

art

d in

aves

ake

Part

afin

ffect

Ope

ver

Ani

t Bein

, is

mpal

in the

minu

ve ful

Under

d in

y are

0. 540

ma

al, Rational are continually produced, and e up in the World, cou'd they exist, grow, d be maintained but by an intelligent, werful Agent every where acting? A.

Q. When all the Parts of the visible, maial and animal World are contributing to omote the Good and Happiness of Men, es not this prove, that the Author of the liverse, and Parent of Mankind is a bevolent Being, continually exercising his odness towards the Human Race? A.

Q. And shou'd not these Resections cause ery human Heart to overslow with Veneion, Gratitude, Obedience, Love and Joy?
Yes.

Q. Which is the fecond Branch of this gument for a divine Providence? A. In Political World, Kingdoms and States are en so remarkably changed and over-ruled, plainly proves a Hand superior to Man's. an. ii. 20, 21. Wisdom and Might are his; e changeth the Times and Seasons: He reveth Kings, and setteth up Kings*.

Q. Which

A Bill of Morta'lity is, in my Opinion, an unanswer-Argument for a Providence; how can we, without supng our selves under the constant Care of a supreme Being, any possible Account for that nice Proportion which we in every great City between the Birth and Deaths of Inhabitant; and between the Number of Males and that semales, who are brought into the World? What else cou'd Q. Which is a third Branch of this Argument for a divine Providence? A. God's frequent manifesting his Favour towards the Righteous, and his Displeasure against the Wicked. 2 Sam. xxii. 21, 25, 26, 27. Pfal. xviii. The Lord rewarded me according to my Righteousness,—With the Merciful thou will shew thy self Merciful, and with the upright Man thou wilt shew thy self upright,—And with the froward thou wilt shew thy self froward. Ezra, viii. 22. The Hand of God is upon all them for good who seek him; but his

cou'd adjust in so exact a Manner the Recruits of every Nation to its Losses, and divide their new Supplies of People, into such an equal Number of both Sexe?

Spect. V. IV. No. 289

e

eı

Cr

u

lw

(

d

rh

aı

uc

(

By a Table of the Numbers christned yearly in London in 40 Years, from 1670 to 1710, it appears that there are constantly more Men than Women born; which answers the great Waste of Men by Sea and Land-Fights, and by an inregular Way of Living. How wonderful the Providence That there are so many more Men, as still to remain so every Woman a Man of her own Age, in her own Country, and of equal Condition to her! An Argument against Polygamy. This Table was made by Dr. Arbutbnot, Physician to Queen Anne, and sent to Dr. Newentit by Milburnet, Son to the late Bishop.

The Proportion of 14 Males to 13 Females is, I imagine

Instances of Providence over-ruling the Wisdom and Power of Man in national Revolutions are Israel's Deliverance out of Egypt. The taking of Babylon by Cyrus. England's Reformation from Popery. The coming in of King William. The Accession of King George, on the seasonable Death of Queen Anne, &c.

Power

Power and his Wrath is against all who for-

ake bim. Prov. xi. 31.

gu.

od's

the

the

Sal.

·my

will

ight

And

fro-

d is

bis

Na.

ople

280

on it

coh-

the

n ir

for

oun

ain! Pby

Mi

351 gine

heal

and

live

Eng.

nable

Wes

Q. As there are most evident Proofs of Fod's natural Providence over the material nd animal World; is not also a moral Proidence, or God's Regard to the free Actions f his Creatures very evident? A. Yes.

Q. For is there not an effential Difference etween Actions, as plain and great as beween Light and Darkness, Sweet and Bitter,

Pleafure and Pain? A. Yes.

Q. Are not Ingratitude, Treachery, Cruely, Intemperance effentially and unalterably Evil? A. Yes.

Q. And are not Gratitude, Fidelity, Hunanity, Temperance effentially and unaltera-

ly Good? A. Yes.

Q. Must not the great Father of the Unierse, and Parent of Mankind be displeased with the Former, and pleased with the Later? A. Yes.

Q. Is it conceivable, that a wife and good Creator shou'd be indifferent as to the Conluct and Actions of his Creatures, which are

Iways under his Eye? A. No.

Q. Is not every wife and good Father pleafd with those duriful, well behaved Children, who promote the Peace and welfare of the family; and displeased with those lazy, imudent and spiteful Children who disturb it? A. Yes.

Q. Ought we not then to think, that the wife

wise and good Father of Mankind is best pleased with those his Off spring whom he observes sall in with his Design of procuring the welfare of the World; and is offended with those who counteract him? A. Yes.

Q. And, fince his Power is equal to his Wisdom, will he fail of acting towards his Creatures and Children suitably to their dis

terent Behaviour? A. No.

Q. Does God often in this World fignifichis Pleasure or Displeasure at Men and Nations according to their Behaviour? A. Yes

Q. Where he does not, shou'd this only carry our Thoughts forward into a future State, where the Plan of Providence will be finished, and all Difficulties cleared up? A Yes.

Q. Which is a fourth Branch of this Argument for a divine Providence? A Interrupting the Course of visible, second Causes or the natural, established Order of Things by Miracles; and foretelling these, by the Spirit of Prophecy, prove a great, invisible foreseeing Ruler. Dan. ii. 22, 23. He revealed the deep and secret Things; He knowed what is in the Darkness, and the Light dwelleth with him *.

Q. Wh

in

(

en

nf

g

Cah

mfe

A

By

15

A Miracle, in the Theological Sense, taketh in the End for which it is wrought; and is a Work effected in Manner unusual, or different from the common and regulated of Providence, by the Interposition either of Ghims

Q. What Proof have we of these Facts, amely, Predictions and Miracles? A. Unuestionable History.

Q. Is the Mosaick and Evangelick History redible? A. Yes, as credible as any History

the World.

beft

ob-

ing

ded

his

hi

dif

nif

Na

Yes

onl-

tur

A

Ar

rer

ıses

ing

th

ible

veal

wet

well

Vh

in t

regul

aim

Q. Must not an Almighty Agent be acknowdged in drowning the World; dividing the ea; opening the Earth; raising the Dead? . Yes.

Q. When such Miracles are wrought to mish bold, presumptuous Sinners, to give a neck to Sin, to deliver oppressed Innocence and Virtue, to attest and spread useful Truth, to they not prove a moral Providence, or nat the World is governed by an intelligent eing, who regards the Behaviour of Manind, and seeks their welfare? A. Yes.

Q. Which is a fifth Branch of this Arguent for a divine Providence? A. The Dendance of great Things on small, even on a foreseen Circumstances, prove an over-rul-

g Mind and Hand.

Q. What Scripture Instances are there of the a Providence? A. The History of Jo-ph's Captivity and Glory. Gen. xxxvii. 14,

mself, or of some other intelligent Agent superior to Man, the Proof or Evidence of some particular Doctrine, or Attestation to the Authority of some particular Person. Dr. Clark of Rev. Rel. p. 220.

By Natural is meant stated, fixed, settled. Supernaturis over-ruling the settled Order of Things.

F 2

₿6.

&c. The Prefervation of Moses. Exod. ii. 2, &c. The Death of the Philistines by Sam. son. Judges xvi. The Victory of David over Goliath. 1 Sam. xvii. 17, &c. The Fall of Haman, the Rise of Mordecai, and the Deliver

ance of the Jews. Efth. vi. &c.

Q. Upon the whole, is it not Ground of Comfort and Joy to good Men, that while they are furrounded with Enemies, and observious to innumerable Accidents, they are under the Care of ONE who over-rules Heart and Hands, and has in his Power the Management of every thing capable of annoying them? A. Yes.

Q. Is not the want of our own Forefight abundantly made up by the Omniscience of him who is the Support of all virtuous Souls?

Yes.

Q. And need a good Man's Sensibility of hi want of Strength be any Affliction, while he knows the Almighty is his Helper? A. No

O. Where is this Reliance of a pious Son on God most beautifully represented? In the twenty-third Psalm.

SECT. III.

BJECTIONS against a Providence answered.

W Hich are the Properties of the divine Providence? A. It is Soveign, Wife, Holy, Just, Good.

Q. Can it be otherwise, if it be the Prodence of a God infinitely great, wife, holy,

ft and good? A. No.

ver

ver

d o

while

do b

an

eart

Ma

noy

esigh

him

of hi

ileh

No

So

E C

O. For must not the Work partake of the roperties of its Author and Caufe? A. Yes.

Q. What mean you by the Wisdom of Prodence? A. That God knows how, in all offible Circumstances, throughout the whole niverse, and thro' all Duration, to order

ery thing for the best.

Q. What mean you by the Holiness of Prodence? A. That God requires Holiness om Men, and forbids Sin; and fo orders e World, that they who will be wicked, all be miserable.

Q. What mean you by the Justice of Prodence? A. That God deals with Men fuitay to their Behaviour in his Rewards and

inishments.

Q. What mean you by the Goodness of ovidence? A. That, by all fit Means, God omores the Perfection and Happiness of the niverse; and neglects Nothing proper to

Dro-

promote the Welfare of the human Race.

Q. Tho' these are so obvious Properties of the Providence of God, yet are Objections raised by Men against each of these Properties? A. Yes.

I. Objections to the Soveraignty of Providence.

Q. Which is the first Objection to this Property of divine Providence? A. That Creatures are not made in the utmost Perfection; which they wou'd be, if infinite Power, under the Conduct of infinite Understanding

produced them.

A. Every Creature is perfectly fitted for the End for which it was made. And if ever Class of Creatures is not in the utmost possible Perfection (which yet we do not grant this only shews a free, intelligent Agency is their Production; since unconscious Cause always act to the utmost of their Powers.

Q. Besides, do not the differing Classes of Beings, and their differing Degrees of Perfection make up, in a most glorious Manner the Perfection of the whole? A. Yes.

Q. And may not every Rank and Order of Creatures be as perfect as it possibly coube, as a Part necessary to compose the whole System? A. Yes.

Q. Moreover, may there not exist as man Beings of the most perfect Order, as would

ha

n F

Go

m nif

ma

n

do

we existed, if only that Order had been eated? A. Yes, there may.

Q. What is the ferond Objection? A. If od be absolute Soveraign, he has his Will, hich can never be resisted, and he has no

eason to complain. Rom. ix. 19.

s of

tions

per-

Pro-

Pro

rea-

ion

un

ling

on!

the

ver

poff

rant

cy i

ause

ers.

es o

Per

nner

Orde

cou

whol

man

WOU

ha

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. he absolute Will of God, as the Almighty reator and Governor of the natural World, ever can be resisted. But his Will as a Model Governor may be disobeyed, and Actions ommitted by free Agents disagreeable to is Nature, and which he will punish with a irresistible Hand.

Q. While God is just and kind to all Men, ave any Reason to object and complain, for wise Reasons, he confer Privileges on ne Nation or Family, which he does not

pon another. A. No.

Q. Or, if he reject one Nation for habiual and incurable Wickedness, while he exends Favours to another, not deserving them, s this any Ground of Complaint? A. No.

Q. What is the third Objection? A. If God be a Soveraign Ruler, he may require mpossible Tasks from his Creatures, and punish them for not performing them; or, may decree to save one Man, and to damn another, for no Reason, but because he will do it.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. Tho' God is a Soveraign Ruler, yet he is

not an Arbitrary, Unreasonable, Tyrannic Ruler: Tho' he doth what he will, yet be ing necessarily wise, just, holy, good, he never willeth any thing but what is perfects Right; but to require impossible Tasks, and then punish for not doing them; or to do cree to save or damn without any Reason is the differing Qualities and Behaviour of Men wou'd be absolutely wrong; and therefor can never be done by God.

Q. If God was not obliged by the Rectitude of his Nature to observe the immutable Laws of Justice and Truth, (or if Gowas guided by mere will, without Reason Truth and Justice) wou'd there be any Different truth and Justice of the second truth and Justic

ficulties in Providence? A. No.

Q. Then might the Just be turned into the Hell, and the Wicked be taken to Heaven? In A. Yes.

Q. For can any thing be unaccountable to in a Being who observes no Rules? A. No. 10

Q. But wou'd not this give a most unlove the law, yea a frightful Idea of the good and in blessed God? A. Yes.

Q. Must it not then be certainly false!

A. Yes.

II. Objections to the Wisdom of Providence

2. Which is the first Objection? A. That
many Creatures, inanimate, Plants and Animals are made without Design, and seem
to answer no End.

2. How

Ma or

et

Th

vit

hh

O. How is this Objection answered? A. hat the Ends for which most Creatures, and e Parts of every Creature are made, are ry visible: and the Design of the Creator, the Ends and Uses of all Creatures, and their feveral Organs, appear more and ore every Day, as Men apply themselves fludy the works of God.

Q. If the Ends and Uses of many Creatures not appear, is this to be wonder'd at? A.

0.

nnic

et be

e ne

fecti

, an

o de

on i

Men

efor

lecti

nuta

God ason

Dif

inte ren!

ove and

fe!

nce.

hat

eem

TOW

Q. Must this necessarily happen? A. Yes. Q. Cou'd the Wifdom of God have orderit otherwise? A. No.

Q. For in an Infinity of Things, all related each other, and each to the whole, can y but an infinite Mind fee every thing

illy? A. No.

Q. And fince each Particular has Relation able All in General, can we have any perfect No and true Knowledge of the Relation of any hing, in a World not perfectly and fully nown? A. No.

Q. But fince in all Parts of the Creation, far as it is understood, there are plain Marks of Wisdom and Defign, is it not reapnable to think the fame as to the Parts not et understood? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the second Objection? A. Init That the Earth, in some Places, is over-run vith Thorns, others are wild Defarts, or unnhabited Woods; that Crops of Corn are often

often spoiled by unseasonable Weather, nor

ous Animals, &c.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A It is an Instance of Wisdom to create such an Earth, and so to govern it as to find Work for Men, to whom Idleness wou'd be many ways hurtful. The Produces of Labour are also more pleasant than such as cost no Pains or Art. Thorns and Briars are useful Fences; Rocks and Hills are the Strength or Bones of the Earth, the Repositories of Water and Treasuries of Mines; the Desarts are Habitations of wild Beasts, as the Woods are for Birds. Blasted Crops teach Men their Dependance on God.

Q. Had the Earth been one fine, uniform fruitful Meadow, without Sea, Rocks, of Woods, shou'd we not have suffered a thou-fand Inconveniences from it? A. Yes.

Q. Cou'd we then have had Rain and Rivers, a pure Air, building Timber, Fish, or the Advantages of Navigation? A. No.

Q. Besides, can infinite Wisdom do wrong?

A. No.

Q. And if every Thing is ordered for the best in the whole, and in the final Issue; ought not particular and short Evils, which contribute to the good of the whole, be reckoned real Good? A. Yes.

Q. And ought we not with Patience and Humility wait till we see the Issue of the Plan of Providence, before we judge of the

wifdom

h

no

h a

ef

th

ha

e e

he

6

rd

Cre

nor

Cau

whi

may

hatu

er

ion

inle

ure

100

2

6

risdom and beauty of its Parts? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the third Objection? A. That there are Monsters in Nature, or Fools and Madmen amongst rational Creatures.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. When we see the Power of God operating hall his Works with the utmost Regularity and Exactness; we ought to conclude hat where there is any Obliquity, the weakers, sin and folly of Men, or the Actions of ther spontaneous Agents have interrupted hat Regularity; which Interruption cannot be charged on the Deity.

2. Are not all Actions and Motions where he Deity is fole Agent and Mover regular?

A. Yes.

2n orl

iny

are

ins

es;

0

ind bi-

for

De-

m

0

ou.

01

he

ht

ri.

on.

nd

he

he

om

Q. But where there is a concurring or subordinate Agency of Man, or any self-moving Creature, may not the Effect be irregular or nonstrous thro' the Error of the subordinate Cause? A. Yes.

Q. So that, taking in the external Violences which the Laws of Nature have suffered, may not Distortions and Monstrosities be as natural and agreeable to the Laws of Materians and Motion, as the most regular Productions? A. Yes.

2. Must not such Irregularities happen, inless Providence suspend the Course of Naure to prevent them? A. Yes.

2. Are they not then Arguments for, and

not against a Providence? A. Yes.

2. May

be

ho

10 DC

W

0

Re

er

ile

g

C

ot

Q

ing

ece

Q

auf

nii

ew.

Q.

he

th

Q.

t ti

Q.

2. May it not be wife in God to permi fuch Effects? A. Yes.

2. What Ends may be hereby answered A. These Monsters are so great an Entertainment and Amusement to Mankind, that the slock in Crowds, and will give Money to seem. They are Monitors to Mankind to be thankful for their own Perfection in Min and Body, and to use well their Reason and Senses. They are also a Rebuke to the soolish and sinful Passions, that Pride, Intemperance, Wrath, and extravagant Lust, which often occasion Distractions, Madness and Folly.

2. Had some Fools more Wit, might the

not become Knaves? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not better to be a Fool by M

ture than by Choice? A. Yes.

2. Are not wicked Men, who abuse the rational Faculties, the most odious Monster and the worst Sort of Fools and Madmen A. Yes.

Q. And are not moral Incongruities as Monstrofities as easily discerned in our selve and others, to a rectified and awakened Sens as Natural and Civil ones are? A. Yes.

III. Objections to the HOLINESS of Prividence.

Q. Which is the first Objection? A. God has forbid Sin and hates it, why did not prevent it, since he foresaw it?

Q. Ho

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. God's foreseeing it implies that it cou'd not be prevented, unless he had resolved to make no rational and free Agents.

Q. Since God's Prescience or Foresight puts no Reality into the Objects of it, does not occasion their Existence, nor operates any Way, can it be irreconcileable to his Holiness o foresee Sin? A. No.

Q. And fince it is a direct Confequence of Reason and free Agency given to Men, can ermitting it be irreconcileable to his Holines?

I. No.

m

ed

aix

he

- le

1in

21

ho

em

hic

Fo

the

No

the

fter

1en

an

elv

enl

es.

Pr

A.

lid

Ho

Q. But tho' the Prescience of Sin is reconileable to the Holiness of God, is his Decreeg Sin reconcileable to it? A. No.

Q. For, if God decreed the End, must he

ot also decree the Means? A. Yes.

Q. And wou'd not Men be excusable in Sining, if they finned under the Influence of a

ecessitating Decree? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the second Objection? A. The ausality of Sin is ascrib'd to God, as in harening Pharaob's Heart, and blinding the ews, that they shou'd not see, &c.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. he plain meaning of those Passages is, that od left them to act up to the Inclination

their own wicked Hearts.

Q. Were not all God's Methods with them t to convince and soften them? A. Yes. Q. But when Men love not the Truth, is

it

it not just in God to suffer 'em to believe a Lie? A. Yes.

Q. And when they are incurably bad, and fit to be deftroy'd, is it unbecoming a Holy God to leave them to go on in Courses which will ruin them? A. No.

Q. But to harden Men positively by me ral Efficacy, is it not contrary to God's Perfections, to his Providence, to his Word, and to the Nature of Man? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not also as needless, as it would be unjust, when Men are incurably blind and

hard already? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the third Objection? A That the Prevalency of Sin in the World over throws the Doctrine of Providence, as i feems not to confift with God's Holiness.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A It is not fit God shou'd use any Means to prevent the Commission and Spreading of Si which wou'd destroy Men's Liberty of thinking and acting.

Q. If we take in the whole Universe, is likely that Sin is, or ever will be more pro

valent than Virtue? A. No.

Q. And is it not probable, that before the End of Time, even in this World, Rights outness will prevail against Sin? A. Yes.

Q. But ought we from this mean and political Part of the Creation to form a Judgment of other Regions, or of the whole A. No.

Q. Whi

DI

e

16

0

ari

no

f

h

em

ut

, ?

re

2

eli

ver

ern

2.

ild,

2.

olily

ere,

ne?

2.

reat

be

2.

uine

irtue

Ye

Q. Which is a fourth Objection? A. That ome Men's Religion inspires them with Bitteress, blind Zeal, persecuting Sentiments, and factious dividing Temper; so that good Men (whom the World most needs) must o out of the World, or retire into Dearts and Woods to serve God in safety; and are hereby shut out from the Pleasures of Society, and Opportunities of doing Good.

nd

oly

710-

er

rd,

u'd

rer

A

ink

is

pre

for

hte

es.

po

udg

ole

V hi

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. hat it is not Religion, (which is a real Remblance of God and Obedience to him,) ut the want of it, mistaken Notions about, and a Spirit quite opposite to it, which re the Sources of Hatred, Cruelty and Rage.

Q. Do Minds inlightned and fanctified by teligion, and hereby made great and good ver admit such Sentiments, or are they go-

erned by fuch Paffions? A. No.

2. Is the Spirit of true Religion a wife, ild, candid, charitable Spirit? A. Yes.

Q. Yet may not Persecution be wisely and olily permitted for distinguishing the Sinere, and for the Improvement of their Virge? A. Yes.

2. Is it also a noble Pleasure to a good and reat Mind, in the worst of Times, to try bear up a sinking World? A. Yes.

2. And if he fink in the Ruines, will those uines be a Monument honourable to his irtue, and intitle him to a glorious Reward?
Yes. G 2

IV. Objections to the Justice of Providence

Q. Which is the first Objection? A. That righteous and good Men bear an equal Share with the wicked in the Judgments inflicted on Mankind; the good and bad being often promiscuously cut off, without any Distinction.

e

n

ic

he Th

(

oc

(

luc

ras

C

ro

gai

le

Infi

rof

Q.

is :

y is,

dN

is]

n th

urb'

ur'd.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A That we know little of the Counsels of God, and the Reasons and Ends of his Proceedings; so that every Man may at present be deal with according to the Right of the Case, the we know it not.

Q. Do we know perfectly what Men are!
A. No.

Q. May we not then wrongfully judge fome to be bad Men, and ignorantly take fome to be good Men, who are not so? A. Yes.

Q. And when Nations are punished, may not righteous Men suffer on a focial Account as Parts of a wicked Community? A. Yes

Q. Tho' they are not distinguished in dying, will they be distinguished after Death! A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fecond Objection? A That bold and mischievous Men are often suffered to go great Lengths in Wickedness, and live long as Plagues to the World, while in Notice is taken of 'em. Eccl. viii. 11.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A That if Thunderbolts fell on the Heads all notorious Sinners, such a terrible Providence

ence would dispeople the World; and wou'd eave little room for God's Patience, or Man's epentance: Such immediate Punishments rou'd also put too great a Force on free Aents, exclude in a great measure moral Choice, nd consequently destroy Virtue.

Q. Is it fit that God shou'd thus obtrude imself on Men? A. No.

Q. Did God take these Measures, wou'd here not be almost perpetual Ratlings of hunder over some great Cities? A. Yes.

Q. Wou'd not those who escaped be ready o think themselves Thunder-proof, or In-

ocent? A. Yes.

Te

0

od,

gs

ho

re

m

to

may

int

Yes

dy-

th

A

ful

and

e n

ds o

rov

ence

Q. And wou'd not others be ready to conlude against a suture Judgment, if Vengeance ras frequently executed here? A. Yes.

Q. Yet has not God, in the Course of his rovidence, often manifested his Displeasure gainst Sin and Wickedness, in a remarka-

le Manner? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the third Objection? A. The Infuccessfulness of the Righteous, and the Prosperities of the wicked, even in bad Causes.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. That is no more easy to determine what Prosperiy is, than who are good or wicked. A wickd Man's Goods are all guilded Misfortunes; is Injoyments may be all infipid to him; or the midst of them his Mind may be difurb'd, his Heart rack'd, his Conscience torur'd.

G 3

Q. If

Q. If a wicked Man's Injoyments lead him into more Sin, are they real Bleffings to him?

O. Are they not rather the Beginning of

his Punishment? A. Yes.

O. Suppose him capable of being reclaimed by Favours, may his Injoyments be a Bles. fing? A. Yes.

h

(

h

ft

0

70

ef

00

Q

ile

bm

Q.

th

eat Q.

ern

Q.

at

em u W

m, 8

en b

Q. But in Case he is incapable of Amend. ment by fuch Favours, does he, or can he

prosper? A. No.

Q. And when good Men profper not, is it not often in Cases where they are scrambling for the Happiness of the wicked? A. Yes.

Q. And may it not be a Kindness to them, when in these Cases, God denies them Suc.

cefs? A. Yes.

Q. For, may not want of Success, even in a just Cause, do a righteous Man more ou' good than Success wou'd; and so be a more real Prosperity? A. Yes.

Q. If the Cause only was to be considered, wou'd not Providence always favour a right hich

teous Cause? A. Yes.

Q. But fince Persons also come into the Account, have just Men any Claim to Protect s th tion and Success any farther than will turn to me their real good? A. No.

Q. Can they wifely defire Success any fur

ther? A. No.

Q. May not also some vicious Men perform fome

me laudable Actions, which God may see to reward with some temporal Prospeties? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fourth Objection? A. ich disproportionate and terrible Calamities drowning the whole World; burning es hole Cities, Men, Women, Children &c.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. he Incorrigibleness of Sinners sufficiently he Aftifies God: And the Necessity of new Meods to deter Men from Sin, to reform the is Vorld, and to keep Religion alive in it, shews in these to be Dispensations of Wisdom and A Goodness, as well as of Justice.

Q. But why do Children fuffer with their em, wilty Parents? A. To deter future Parents

ouc som the like Iniquities.

m

1

of

ed

fur

me

Q. Besides, had the Children been spared ven without any Parents, or with wicked ones, or pu'd not their Infelicity in Living have been ore eater than a quick and fuddenDeath? A.Yes. Q. Which is the fifth Objection? A. The red, ternity of the Punishments of the wicked, ight hich feem disproportionate to temporal Sin. Q. How is this Objection answered? A. Ac hat there is no Ground to blame God, unteo is that he made immortal Spirits; which are n to s most noble Works: Or, that he puts

em upon Tryal; which is furely becoming Wisdom; or, that he does not annihilate m, and fo destroy his own Work, when orm en become incurably wicked; which wou'd

be a Reproach to his Wisdom in making them; and to his Justice, by subjecting Menunequally wicked to equal Punishments: On that he does not prevent their Misery, by curing and reclaiming them irresistibly against their Will; which is inconsistent with their Nature, and wou'd render their Reason is moral Cases useless, destroy their Liberty and leave no Room for Virtue.

Q. If Sinners suffer no more than the matural and necessary Consequences of the own wilful Sins; is it God who makes Me miserable, or they destroy themselves?

They certainly destroy themselves.

Q. Does not God also give Men fair wan ing of their Danger? A. Yes.

Q. And also allow them all needful Hel

to escape? A. Yes.

Q. Do not earthly Kings and Magistrate frequently imprison Criminals for the whole remaining Part of Life, without any Disparagement to their Justice? A. Yes.

V. Objections to the GOODNESS of Providence.

Q. Which is the first and general Object on? A. The real Evils which are in the World, and the Defect of Good in it.

Q. How is this Objection answered? If That particular Evils, conducing to the good of the whole, change their Nature, and some a real Good. Nor can there be a December 2 of the conduction of the whole, change their Nature, and some a real Good. Nor can there be a December 2 of the conduction of the

ficient

ie

SX t

Q

ir

to

ril Q

a

fe Q

DW

rs

s. Q. th

tj

me

nce

nat

re

otii

Q.

de

mo

ey Q.

in

king

Mer

.Or

CU-

ain

hei

n i

erty

e na

hei

Me

rarn

He

rate

hol

ifpa

rov

ea

th

300 1 b

end

iency of Good, when every Part is contriting to the Perfection of the whole.

2. Are not little Evils and Infelicities onthe Object of Diversion and Laughter? Yes.

Q. And when one ludicrous Misfortune gives irth and Entertainment to a thousand Spectors, does not the Good over-ballance the ril? A. Yes.

Q. Do they not also render Men cautious avoid Missortunes which wou'd only exfe them to Laughter? A. Yes.

Q. And do not greater Infelicities in one own or Family excite Compassion in Numrs of adjacent Towns and Families? A.

Q. Since God has so exquisitely indued Menth Sensations and Passions, corresponding both these Sorts or Degrees of Evils, is t permitting the Objects of them an Arment for a soreseeing and a kind Province. A. Yes.

2. Which is the fecond Objection? A. nat the Earth has so many Evils in it, as render Life not worth wishing for, or acting.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. denying the Truth of it; in regard Life, most Men, is so sweet and desirable, that ey will give up every Thing to save it.

So then the Earth be comparatively imperfect Habitation, is it not, upon the whole.

whole, desirable and delightful? A. Yes.

2. Is it not an Instance therefore of Good ness to plant Men upon it? A. Yes.

Q. Does the Goodness of Providence require this Earth to be a perfect Region A. No.

Q. What does absolute Goodness require A. Promoting the good and Persection of the

whole Universe in general.

Q. But what is that Relative Goodness which hath for its Object Men upon Trial, Sinner corrigible and capable of Happiness? A. The

Goodness of Discipline.

Q. What does this Sort of providents Goodness require towards Men in the form mentioned Circumstances? A. 1. Only at lerable Habitation, to make Life a Man Choice. 2. So much Distinction between the who behave well or ill, as to shew the Former to be the Objects of God's Favour, and the latter of his Displeasure. 3. Patients while they are upon Trial. 4. Preventionly such Evils as will not any way, or any time promote Good. 5. That the Good upon the whole exceed the Evil*.

Q. Which is the third Objection? In That there are so many poor miserable Wretch es in the World, Slaves under and oppress by the Rich, who yet have often as little

Virtue and Merit as the Poor.

Q. Ho

at

or

e]

L

ed

WE

e :

ep

en

te:

e,

gg Q. oy

fe st

Q. at

g:

ey

Si

Q.

at

rtu

11 j

y 1

ove

hay ppy

U

^{*} See Sherlock on Providence.

On

re

th

110

ner

Th

nti

OT

a to

Ian

hol

For

an

enc

atio

or i

000

etch

refle

litt

Ho

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. nat the Difference between the Rich and or is not so mighty as Men imagine; for e Poor injoy the same common Blessings Light, Air, Earth, Water; they are reled with Colours, and the Musick of Birds, well as the Rich; their Hunger and Thirst re a Relish to their Meat and Drink; they ep soundly; and are free from the Anxieties ending the Pursuits of Ambition; and from the Temptations of a high and plentiful Ete: And when a Prince is used to his Pate, he has no more Pleasure in it than a ggar in his Cottage.

Q. But are not all these the lowest Sort of oyments and Pleasures? A. Yes; yet they so grateful, that for the sake of them of Men think it worth their while to live. Q. What may be surther answered? A. at the worst Evils are of Mens own mag: That tho' God make Men poor, yet by usually make themselves miserable, and

Sin bring on their greatest Distresses.

Q. What is a full and final Answer? A. at poor Men are capable of Religion and tue; which if they practise, and behave II in this State of Trial, they will not y be put upon a Level with, but raised ove their richest Neighbours, who have haved ill; and will remain possessed of a ppy Immortality, when wicked rich Men under the Power of the second Death.

Q. Which

Q. Which is the fourth Objection? The various Afflictions and Calamities who befall good Men, the Friends and Servan of God, who ought to protect and ble

his own Servants.

Q. How is this Objection answered? That the best of Men have Faults enow justify Providence in any Afflictions who befall them: And that the wise and kn Designs of God in permitting or sending a flictions leave good Men no Ground of Designer against God; nor any thing sewhich to be angry at Men, but their Single-

Q. What other Ends do the Afflictions good Men answer? A. They give them Occasion to exercise and improve Submission and other Virtues, which will render the

still more acceptable to the Deity.

Q. What does Submission include? A. A probation of what God does, rational Gos fent to it, and waiting in Hope for a go

Iffue.

Q. Tho' nothing can change the Nam of Pain, and make it easy and pleasant; will not the Persuasion of a good Provides ordering all for the best, fortify the Missof good Men, and inable them better bear Pain? A. Yes.

Q. And if the Mind grow stronger, do it not proportionably make the Afflict and Pain grow less? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fifth Objection?

Th

ar

n

Qd

o'

isc

en un: 1 h

In

Q. ple

ject

le T

rion Q. F

e H

the

ofe o

feot

dea

am

nat often God does not hear and answer

od Men's Prayers.

hid

an

W

hie

kin A Di fi

ns

m

A

Co go

att

; ?

Aio.

er

do

icti

T

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. ble he Prayers of the Upright are always God's elight; and in Cases where he does not ant their particular Requests, it is in greater ndness, and for their greater Good, that denies them.

Q. As every wife and good Man defires d to give him what is truly good for him, o' he shou'd be so blind or impersect in isdom as not to see or ask it: So is it not e habitual and general Desire of all good en that God wou'd deny them whatever unsit for them, and wou'd tend to their I hurt, tho' they shou'd be so weak and the ort-sighted as to wish and pray for it? A.

Q. To deny then those Petitions, is it not Instance of God's Goodness? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fixth Objection? A. The pless State Infants are in, and their being ject to Pain and Crying; and that yet they amused and pleased with Rattles and such le Things, as feem below the Notice of erior Creatures.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. e Helplessness of Infants is a proper Object the Compassion and Care of Parents; ofe constant Converse with them, and Exse of tender Pains about them render them dearer to their Parents, and is a Founda-

tion

)ii

re

Лe

(

(

erv

f F

nd

ori

he

yin

mp

ut

Q

rea

nine

epre

Q

War

[ua]

Lusts

cou

enti

y uf

ity s

Com

Navi

Q.

Q.

tion of their future Welfare.

Q. Besides, is not a fine and tender Body, which must be liable to the Impressions of Pain, the fittest Habitation for a rational Soul. A. Yes.

Q. Are not the Cries of Children a pro

per Way of begging Help? A. Yes.

Q. And does not their being pleafed with Toys shew a Capacity above the Brutes; and is it not a Specimen of Admiration and Curio sity, which are the Mother of all Philosoph and Improvements in Knowledge? A. Ye

Q. Which is the feventh Objection? A The Permission or inslicting of Death, which fills every Place with Grief and Tears, and tares assumder the strongest and tenderest Bank

of Friendship and Love.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A That Death, tho' brought into the Worldby Sin, turns to Mens Advantage, as it is the Soul's Release from a Prison; as it shews Me the Evil of Sin; as it is a Monitor to Ment prepare for a coming World; as it puts a Souto the Progress of Sin, and prevents immortal Enmities.

Q. What other Advantage flows from Death? A. It makes room for successive Generations of Men to come upon Trial: Anothis Rising up of a new Set of Actors on the Stage of the World, more beautifies the Scene of Providence than if the first Set never went of

Q. Which is the eighth Objection?

he numberless, painful, noisom and lingring lifeases which are spreading in the World.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. rovidence is not to be taxed for these; but he Intemperance and irregular Passions of sen.

Q. Yet have not those Diseases their Use?

. Yes.

dy,

uli

TO

it

and

rio

Phy Tea A nich

an

ind

orl

Me

n

Sco

100

101

G

An

th

to

TH

Q. To what good Purposes are they subervient? A. They inhance the Pleasure f Health, and occasion the Joy of Recovery, nd the Congratulation of Friends: They orrect the Vices of bad Men, and improve he Virtues of good Men: They are an ediying Spectacle to those in Health; and also mploy the Skill of great Numbers to find ut and administer proper Remedies.

Q. Which is the ninth Objection? A. The readful Calamities arising from Wars, Fanines, Pestilences, Earthquakes, &c. seem to

eproach the Goodness of God.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. Wars are Men's Doings, not God's. Famines sually arise from Wars, as wars from Mens Lusts. These and other Calamities are a fit courge of Pride, Idleness, Luxury and Contention.

Q. Wou'd not Frugality in a Time of Pleny usually prevent Famine in Times of Scar-

ity? A. Yes.

Q. And what helps much to abate it? A. Commerce with other Countries opened by Navigation. H 2 Q.

ur

Te:

are

eel

(

gai iol

nd

ure

cno

Tice

0

ure

ug

en,

vhic

Q

ake

he

vhe

enci

Q

n

ony

tuit

Q. What Advantage to Men attends Wan Pestulence, Earthquakes, &c? A. They dipatch Life quick, and with less Pain than ding Piece-meal by Distempers.

Q. Does not Providence also provide Supplies to answer this extraordinary waste

human Lives? A. Yes.

Q. What other Ends do these great and dreadful Events answer? A. 1. They kee the World awake and attentive, and preven universal Dulness. 2. The Reports and Records of them tend to inspire Men with Reverence, Fear and Gratitude. 3. They give a Relish for the Blessings of Peace, Plent Health and Sasety.

Q. Which is the tenth Objection? In The Calamities falling upon innocent And mals; and the Cruelty of some in devouring Others; a Cruelty planted in their Natural

by the Creator.

Q. How is this Objection answered? If The Destruction of some Animals is the Profervation of others; and Providence has provided a Supply of Super-numeraries for the End.

Q. In case Fish and Land-Animals did no prey one upon another, what wou'd be the Consequence? A. Both Water and Land wou'd soon be over-stock'd, Provisions wou'd fail, and All wou'd die.

Q. Have not Creatures of Prey more Planure in Hunting and Victory, than the Creature

ures prey'd upon have Pain in dying? les.

Q. And has not every Creature more Pleaare in Life, when all is summ'd up, than it els Pain in either Life or Death? A. Yes.

Q. Does not this take off the Objection gainst the Goodness of God in suffering their

iolent Deaths? A. Yes.

di

dy

3

an

ee

ren

Re

R

ziy

nty

An

rin

tur

Pre

pro tha

no

thi and

ou

rea uit

O. Can it be called Cruelty in Birds, Beafts nd Fishes of Prey, when they devour Creaures of other Species? A. No, but only a rinciple of Self-preservation.

Q. What does Cruelty imply? A. Injustice.

Q. What does Injustice suppose? A. A. nowledge of right and wrong.

Q. Can Cruelty then be the Deformity and lice of any but rational Beings? A. No.

O. As to accidental Hurts of innocent Creaures; if a Man shoot at a Flock of Pigeons, ught the Laws of Motion to be interruped, lest any one Pigeon shou'd be maimed, which is not killed outright? A. No.

Q. Or, ought the Liberty of Action to be aken from every Boy, who attempts to break

he Leg of an innoce t Bird? A. No. Q. Or, ought a Judge to be ftruck Dumb, when He offers to pronounce an unjust Sen-

ence? A. No.

Q. Wau'd not interrupting the fettled Laws n the material and moral World have Inonveniences which wou'd far over-ballance

any particular Mischiess which can flow from their regular Progress? A. Yes.

Q. Are then these particular Mischiess an Ground of Impeachment of the Goodne

of Providence? A. No.

Q. Which is the eleventh Objection? In That the Clouds are govern'd to Mens Diadvantage; the High Roads being often diaged by Rain and Snow to the Detrime of Travellers, and the great Inconvenient of Man and Beast.

aı

ed

he

f

Dro

hi

nly

one

Q. How is this Objection answered? Roads wou'd be insufferably dusty if never we tered: And when made bad by Rain, they so poor Men Imployment for their Time, a rich Men for their Money. If Travelle were never wet, what Occasion wou'd the be for Coats and Boots!

Q. Does not then what are called the B rors of Providence, when examin'd, shew the Wisdom, Goodness and Persection of it?

Yes.

Q. Beside, shou'd the Agency of the G vernor of the World always interpose guide material Beings with minute Exactne what wou'd be the Consequence? A. There wou'd be an End of all Natural Pl losophy, that delightful Study. 2. Then blest Faculty of Man's Mind wou'd want 0 jects and Exercise. 3. The Plan of the m terial World wou'd seem very impersect, con pared with the unsearchable Contrivances nfinite Art which now appear in it.

Q. As God does not need to mend his own Work; so wou'd not Men quite mar it, if

hey attempt to mend it? A. Yes.

Q. If therefore there be any Evil in these nd fuch like Events, are they not the neces-Di ary Consequence of the wisest and most per-dect Scheme? A. Yes.

ne Q. And by their conducing to the good of en he whole, do they really become good?

1. Yes.

D

e E

fe Ind A.

nen to e m COR

cea

Q. Is it then fit that the great Machine wof the material World shou'd be put out of of Drder, and the Springs of Motion stopped, at hit, or receive new and irregular Directions, elle nly to gratify the Humour and Caprice of the onceited and Atheistical Men? A. No.

CHAP.

CHAP. III.

Proofs of the Immortality of the Soul.

SECT. I.

ty, Incorruptibility, Sc. fully e press the Capacity and Character of the Soul A. No.

Q. What does Immortality import, who applied to the Soul? A. An endless Progre

fion in conscious Life.

Q. Is our not feeing Men after Death an Argument they do not exist? A. No, so the Soul is, in its Nature, invisible to a bod ly Eye.

Q. Was it visible, must it not be corpored

A. Yes.

Yes. Is the Soul properly the Man?

Q. What is the Definition of Man? A reasonable Being, living in, and acting a Body.

Q. If then the Soul is immortal, or eve living, may not Man be called an immortant

Being? A. Ye.

mortality of the Soul sufficient to convine every reasonable, fair and upright Inquire A. Yes.

O. Al

or

10

om

Q

atu;

.3

Q.

2

end

al,

n

ife

Q.

oul's

eing

Q.

Tays

Q.

ive

bdy,

hich

Q. And is it reasonable to desire more?

Q. What fort of Arguments are made use f to prove the Soul's Immortality? A. Two orts.

Q. Which are they? A. NATURAL and

IORAL.

I.

ul

he

Iĉ

an

od

eal

orti

In

7in(

rei

-SECT. II.

WHAT means NATURAL Arguments? A. Such as are drawn om the Nature of the Soul.

Q. To what Number may we reduce the atural Arguments for the Soul's Immortali-

? A. To three.

Q. Which are they? A. r. That the Soul a distinct Being from the Body, and deends not upon it. 2. That it is not materal, and therefore not perishable. 3. That is natural Faculties carry Existence and ife in them.

Q. Which is the first natural Proof of the bul's Immortality? A. That it is a distinct eing from the Body, and does not depend

ever on it for Existence or Operation.

Q. May this be proved by a Variety of

lays? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the first way? A. We perive a Principle in us which considers the
ody, consults and provides for its Welfare;
hich considers it always as something diftinct

tinct from it felf the Considerer; and which confiders it as the Property, or Habitation of the Confiderer.

Q. Does not this support the Propriety of that Language, My Body? A. Yes.

O. Which is a fecond way of proving the Soul a diffinct Being from the Body? A. There is fomething in us which moves the Body directs, determines and over-rules its Motions which moving, directing Cause must be dil tinct from the Body moved and directed.

2. Is not this as plain, as that the Go vernor of a Ship's Motions is a Being distind

from the Ship? A. Yes.

Q. Which is a third way? A. There as fensibly two distinct Interests in Man, on fleshly and sensual; the other rational an spiritual; which must be the Interests of di tinct Beings or Substances.

2. Does not the rational Principle often crof check and controul the Dictates and Inclin

tions of the Body? A. Yes.

2. Can it then be the same with the B

dy? A. No.

Q. Which is the fourth way? A. The Box does not fee, hear, taste, smell all over; all sensible Objects are by certain Organs Pipes convey'd to one common Seat in the culty Head; which shews there is one Being who all, or dwells there, and which attends to all 01 e Cor jects convey'd to it; distinguishes them, a mor forms a Variety of Judgments about them pende

y

ee

ny nd

ron eiv 200

ha ot

Q. he he

raw ncc

end erati

* 0 d, w

ay the

Q. Is it not then the same Being which ees by the Eyes, hears by the Ears, feels y the Touch, &c. A. Yes.

Q. Is any Part of the Body capable of this?

A. No.

ch

ety

the

ere

ly:

ns; dil

Go

ind

an

on

and dil

Boo

; bo

ans

2.

Q. Are the Eyes, Ears and other Organs ny more than Canals to admit Light, Sound, nd other small Bodies thro' them? A. No.

2. Are they not then of a distinct Nature rom and far inferior to the Being which per-

eives and judges of all thefe. A. Yes.

2 Which is a fifth way of proving the Soul be a distinct Being from the Body? A. That cutting off a Limb from the Body does or diminish the Faculties of the Soul.

2. But wou'd it not have that Effect, if he Soul was not quite a distinct Being from

he Body? A. Yes.

2. Now what is the great Inference to be rawn hence? A. That if the Soul be a difnce Being from the Body, it does not de-Bend upon the Body for its Existence or O-eration; but can subsist without it.

2. If

^{*} Objection. Q. When the Eyes are Shut, the Ears flopd, when a Man is in a Swoon, or in old Age, and the which a way, does not this shew that the Faculty is corpothe away, does not this shew that the Faculty is corpoal, or does depend on the Body? A. No, it rather shews
to Contrary; for it is not the Power or Faculty which is
to a lost, but only the Ast of Seeing, Hearing, &c. is
them pended or weakened by an Imp diment in the Body. Take
ay the outward Impediment, open the Eye-Lids, repair the Eyes,

2. If it can sublist without the Body, multiple it not be intended by the Creator that it

shall? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fecond natural or metaphical Proof of the Soul's Immortality? A That the Soul is not material, therefore not divisible, therefore not liable to Dissolution, perishing or dying; but will always live.

2. How can you prove that the Soulis not mere Matter, or Immaterial? A. In noble Powers and excellent Operations; in thinking, reasoning, reflecting, willing, remembring, acting, shew it to be of a differing Nature, and of higher Excellency that Body or Matter?.

2. Which

Eyes, clear the Avenues to the Brain, and the Soul sees, hen &c. as well as ever. So that the Faculty remains, only the Operation is impeded; which is an additional Proof that the Soul is a distinct Being from the Body, and the limited by a does not depend upon it. As a Man in a Room made dark is not able to see Objects from without; but open the Windows, clear the Roads, and he sees all Things clearly. It dily Organs bring the Ideas in, but when brought in, Resection can be carried on without them; as a Building stand when the Scaffolds are taken down.

* If Matter be capable of Thought, either

1. All Matter must think. Or.

2. Thought must arise from the peculiar Modes or Poperties of some Matter. Or,

3. It must be a Faculty superadded to Matter. A which are absurd and salfe.

1. All Matter does not think. It is contrary to our Know ledge to suppose it does; for if a Stone, a Tree, a Hand think all our Senses and Faculties deceive us, and we know he think

ning oint g; land

A.

abo

on

mi

(

100

nmoi 2. Proj are

ive t

tason dense to m th

erefor Q. C. Q. M. uter,

Q. W. and Q. Bu

Q. Do State

L Mi

Q. Which is the first Branch of this Proof? A. That the Soul has a Power of ascending above all material Objects, and of forming ome Conceptions of God, Angels and other mmaterial Beings.

Q. Must it not then be it self a Being 4-

ove Matter? A. Yes.

Q. What

hing; which as it wou'd reproach our Maker, so it is a oint no one will affirm. It is also contrary to our Feelg; for we all feel ourselves think in the Head, not in the lands or Heels. Moreover, if all Matter cou'd think and ason, it must be effential to it; and then we must always we thought in Time past, and must always think in Time come; and io we shou'd stumble unawares upon the Soul's

nmortality.

古一一

hi.

not

on,

It

in

re-

fer

har

110

hear

y th

by it

dark

Win

Bo

Ro

r Pro

Know

hinks v No thing

2. Thought and Reasoning does not arise from any Mode Property of Matter. All the known Properties of Matare Solidity, Figure, Colour, Mobility. Thought and assoning cannot arise from any of them. The only Es-The only Efat the state of they can produce are to make Matter greater or less, rare dense, to be of one Shape or another, to appear red, green, to be moved or rest; which are Ideas quite distinct m thinking. They are also the Effects of Thought, and refore cannot be the Cause of it.

Q. Can Matter do any thing at all? A. No.

Q. Must not all Action then performed in, by, or thro tter, be performed by an immaterial Cause? A. Yes.

When a Man moves and acts, is it not for some Reaand with some End? A. Yes.

R. But is Matter capable of the Ideas of Reasons and 1? A. No.

R. Does not Matter also refift all Motion or any Change State? A. Yes.

Must-it not then be an immaterial Cause which overes that Resistance, essential to all Matter? A: Yes.

Q. What further Power has the Soul? A Power of abstracting from Matter, or se parating what is peculiar to Individuals, and conceiving general Ideas, and Ideas of Relations, Agreements and Disagreements, of Lines, Figures, and their Properties.

Q. Can Matter thus abstract from Mat-

ter? A. No.

Q. Which

Pi

ar

ex

tra

ter

mat

fon,

ing

Part

of t

A. I

Q

Q

Q.

Brain

think

of ur

perpe

the f

No.

ber hi

be re

not b

were

Q.

Q.

Q.

Q.

Q

C

Q. For can any thing produce an Effect which it refifts!

Q. Yet is not the very Resistance of Matter a Ground and Means of all the Effects produced by it? A. Yes.

Q. Is not this a farther Proof of its being governed by

a living, immaterial Agent? A. Yes.

Q Again, when Men move themselves in a certain determined Manner upon Words spoke, or Writings sent by another, can these Motions be mechanical, or by material Agency? A. No.

Q. Do not Words and Actions sometimes raise Laughte or Anger, when they have nothing pleasant or rude in then

thro' being misapprehended? A. Yes.

Q. Must not this arise then from some immaterial Idea the Object of the Understanding? A. Yes.

Q. Are not all Thoughts, Ideas, Notions, whatever

the Objects of them, immaterial? A. Yes.

Q. Must not then the Soul, in which they reside, being material, and consequently immortal? A. Yes.

Q. Moreover, can any Moment be fixed, at what De

gree of Fineness Matter shall begin to think? A. No. Q. Is Bread, Flesh, Beer, when chewed, digested, and ed into Chyle, Blood, Spirits, united to the Body, in a more Capacity to think and reason, than when in their former State? A. No.

Q. Can Flame any more think than Lead? A. No.

. T

Q. Which is the fecond Branch of this Proof? A. That tho' the Ideas of the Soul are so innumerably many, and so infinitely extended, yet it is able to keep its Ideas diftinct and unmingled, both of similar and contrary Things.

Q. Is not this a Capacity quite above Mat-

ter? A. Yes.

e-

nd

la-

of

at.

ch

fts!

und

3.

lb

de by

eria

bte

hem

deas

er b

e im

No.

tur

r fo

No.

T

I 2

Q. Has

3 The superadding a Faculty of thinking to a System of Matter, is either to suppose it not Matter, or that an immaterial Soul is united to it.

Q. Farther, if the Body or Matter cou'd think and reafon, wou'd not every Part be capable of it; or if Thinking be essential to Matter, must it not be essential to every Part? A. Yes.

Q. Then wou'd not the Brain, the chief Part or Organ of the Body be divisible into a thousand thinking Beings?

A. Yes.

Q. But is this absurd and false? A. Yes.

Q. Must not the Soul then be a Being distinct from the

Brain, and from all Matter? A. Yes.

Q. Again, if no one Particle of Matter by it felf can think and reason, will putting together a thousand Particles of unthinking Matter produce a thinking Being? A. No.

Q. Again, is not the Brain and the whole Body in a

perpetual Flux, Waste and Change? A. Yes.

Q. In case then it cou'd think and restect, wou'd it be the same thinking Being one Year it was the former? A. No.

Q. Then, cou'd any Man, being not the Same, remember his past Actions, or be chargeable with past Guilt, or be rewardable for former Virtues? A. No, For he wou'd not be the same Man.

Q. But do we not feel ourselves the same Persons we

were in Years past? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not then evident, that the Soul, which hath

100 The Christian Catechism.

2. Has the Soul also a Power of perusing material Impressions of Images brought to the Brain? A. Yes.

Q. Must not what peruses Matter be both distinct from and superior to Matter? A.

Yes.

Q. Is not this as clear as that the Compofer or Reader must be a Being distinct from and above the Book he composes or reads? A. Yes.

2. Which

A

an

As

sta

tru

A.

lec

not Soul But

ies

3.

from

is n

know

and i

cerpi

Matt

by it

4.

of all

terial

of the

and c

and the

Rance

But t

the L

the T

Mo

T

this Sense of Sameness, is not in a constant Change of Substance? A. Yes.

Q. Can it then be Body, or any Part of the Body? A

No.

Q. If it be not Body or Matter, but immaterial, must it

not be indiffoluble or immortal? A. Yes.

Q. And if the Soul, the living Agent, remain the fame, in all the Changes of the System of Matter, to which it is united; may it not also remain the same, when this System is in great Part or wholly gone? A. Yes,

Q. And in case the Soul has Occasion for any fine Vehicle to reside and act in, as its Shechinah, or sacred Habitations is it not probable That never wastes, but goes off with it a Death, and is still its Habitation or Organ? A. Yes.

The foregoing Arguments put into Form.

1. Argument. The Being which is not material is immortal; but the Soul is a Being not material, therefore it is immortal.

That the Soul is not material is thus proved. The Being, which is indifcerpible, is not material. But the Soul is indifcerpible, therefore not material.

That the Soul is indiscerpible, or indivisible thus proved. The Being which has one individual Consciousness is

indiscerpible; but the Soul has; Therefore, &c.

2. 17.

Q. Which is a third Branch of this Proof?'
A. That the Soul corrects material Appearances, or the Errors of Sense and Imagination:
As when a distant Object, a Tower for Instance, appears little or round, the Soul more truly Judges it to be great or Square.

Q. Which is a fourth Branch of this Proof?

A. That the Soul has an Ability to recollect past Things, at the greatest Distance,

2. Arg. If Consciousness (a Property of the Soul) cannot arise from any of the Properties of Matter, the conscious Soul is not a material Being, and therefore not mortal. But Consciousness cannot be the Result of any of the Properties of Matter; therefore it is not a material Being.

3. Arg. If the Soul have specifically different Properties from Matter, it is not Matter; but it has such; therefore it

is not Matter.

to

th

A.

0-

m

Sì

e of

A

ł i

me,

it is

tem

nide

on i

t at

im-

Be

Soul

fs is

179.

That it has such differing Properties proved. If the known Properties of Matter prove it a discerpible Substance; and the known Properties of Souls prove them to be indiscerpible, then the Nature and Substance of the Soul and Matter are specifically different. For the Substance is known by its Properties.

4. Arg. If we have distinct Ideas of Thinking, and of all the Modes of Thinking, from those we have of material Substances, then they are not the same; but our Ideas

of these are diftinct; therefore, &c.

The Connection of the first Proposition proved. If clear and distinct Ideas are the Foundation of all Knowledge, and the Criterion of all Truth; then where we have clear and distinct Ideas of differing Properties and differing Substances, those Substances differ, and are not of the same Kind. But the Former is an allowed Maxim of Truth, therefore the Latter is true also.

More Arguments of like Nature may be formed from

the Text and Notes.

See An Inquiry into the Nature of the Soul, &c. .

1 3

to

to compare them with Things present, and thence to make Conjectures about what is future.

Q. Does not this exceed all Capacity of

Matter? A. Yes.

Q. Which is a fifth Branch of this Proof?
A. That the Soul giveth Life, Motion, Activity, to lifeless, motionless, inactive Matter.

Q. Can it then be itself Matter? A. No. Q. Which is a fixth Branch of this Proof!
A. That the Soul has a Power to invent Arts and Sciences, to dive into and improve In.

ventions.

Q. Does not this exceed the Capacity of Matter? A. Yes.

Q. Tho' we man ot know all which is effential to Body or Matter; yet do we not know that these Powers are not effential to it? A. Yes.

Q. Which is a feventh Branch of this Proof?

A. That when the Soul proposeth to think feriously and closely, she abstracts her self as

far as possible from the Body.

Q. Does not this shew both that She is distinct from the Body, and that probably She will be able, after Separation from the Body, and without the Use of it, to perform these Operations so peculiar to her Nature? A Yes.

Q. Which is the third natural Proof of the Soul's

or of e

he

ife

ein Q ite

Q. tur Q. ne

ge, cov

n si

Q. Sould pering a Sould sense what the sould sense wing,

my of Is not Must e ind

oul's Immortality? A. The Life and Unity f the Soul.

9. Is the Soul the Principle of Life to

ne Body? A. Yes.

d

B

of

C-

11-

To.

f?

rts

ln.

of

is

of? ink

f as

dif

She

ody,

nese

A.

the

oul's

Q. Is it likely that the Being which gives ife, and therefore has Life in its Essence, ou'd ever die? A. No.

2. Are not all our Faculties and Actions e Faculties and Actions of one felf same

eing? A. Yes *.

Q. Does not this shew it to be perfectly nited in itself? A. Yes.

2. Must it not then be indivisible by any

tural Caufe? A. Yes.

2. Moreover, fince the Soul remains the ne conscious Being in Youth and in Old ge, afleep and awake, in a Swoon and when covered; and its Capacities of thinking the tial me, is not this a strong Argument that it a fubfist and injoy its thinking Capacity, en the Body is dissolved? A. Yes +.

2. And

Q. Is it not one Power which fees thro' the Eyes, as Soul's Glasses; which hears thro' the Ears, as the Soul's pering Room; and which moves by the Feet, as the 's Staff, to walk by? A. Yes.

What is Consciousness? A. In the most strict and exense of the Word, it signifies (neither a Capacity of king, nor actual thinking, but) the reflex Act by which ow that I think; and that my Thoughts and Actions my own and not anothers.

Is not Consciousness a single, indivisible Power? A. Yes. Must not then the Soul in which this Power subsists,

e indiviable Being? A. Yes.

Q. Do

Q. And if all intermediate Changes of the Body, even its constant Essux, make no Change in the Soul; is it not probable that Death or a Failure of the whole Body, will make no Change in it? A. Yes.

SECT. III.

Q. HOW many are the Moral Prod of the Soul's Immortality, or a existing after the Death of the Body? A They are such as are drawn from, 1. The Nature of God. 2. The Office of Conscience 3. Mens Desires and Expectations of Immortality. 4. Mens Hopes and Fears of Future ty. 5. Its Necessity and Importance to Markind. 6. Universal Belief of it. 7. Scripture Testimony. 8. The Absurdities of the Doctrine of Annihilation.

Q. Which is the first moral Proof of a Soul's Immortality, or its future and etem

Q. Do we not even feel ourselves to be one conscious

Q. But if the Soul was material, wou'd it not confit innumerable confcious Beings, as Matter does of innumable Parts? A. Yes.

Q. Since then the Soul is naturally One, and abfold ly individule, does not this prove its natural Immorals A. Yes.

Butler's Anal. p. # Existence

Q

e, Q

efi

t

na

Q.

f

Q.

fec

atic

Q. Ti

be

W

Q.

mei

irits

em

b'u'd

the

ppes

Q.

Ca

ang ath

nak

Th

ena

mor

turi

Man

otur

Do

fd

ern

ous I

fif

fold

talin

erfo

re-fi

g d

na

xistence? A. That drawn from the Nature d Persections of God.

Q. What is the first Form of this Arguent? A. It is unreasonable to suppose that od will utterly cut off and suffer to be exaguished such rational Beings for whose sake created the material Universe.

Q. Must not the material Universe be made the sake of rational Creatures, who can e, use, and delight in it? A. Yes.

Q. For can we conceive any other confishent elign why God rear'd the material Frame, it for the Use and Improvement of his ranal Creatures? A. No.

Q. Is it not impious to suppose he did it solace Himself? A. Yes.

Q. And is it not abfurd to suppose he exsed all his Works to the View and Inforation of None? A. Yes.

Q. What Conclusion may we then draw? That the whole material World shall soon-be extinguished, than rational Souls die, whose sake it was erected.

Q. What is the fecond Form of this Arment? A. That if God design'd rational irits to see and contemplate his Works, cannot suppose he only designed to shew em the Outside of Things. Or, that he ou'd raise in them a Longing to see more, their seeing a little, and then mock the opes he had raised by a Disappointment.

Q. But must not Souls be continued in Be-

Beauty of God's Works? A. Yes.

for ever to see the whole Frame, and sthro' the infinite Prospect? A. Yes.

Q. What is the fourth Form of the Arg ment? A. That God has fitted the Soulh an endless Life, and has therefore intended for such a Life.

Q. Wou'd God have given a Capacity living forever, if he intended it shou'd n

ver take Place? A. No.

Q. And have we not already shewed the Soul to be a Life-giving Spirit, distinct from independent upon and quite above Matter A. Yes.

Q. What is the fifth Form of this Arg ment? A. That all the moral Perfections God require Souls to exist hereafter.

Q. How does the Wisdom of God requite? A. As it wou'd feem unworthy of a All-wise Creator to keep up in a long & cession a Race of Beings only to committed fame Follies over again, one Generation and another, and never call them to Imployment suitable to their great Capacities *

* Q. Is not the Life of most Men only a Circular of little Actions, Eating, Drinking, Sleeping, Dres bodily Working? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not then likely there will be another We where (if they behave well in this) they will have an portunity of imploying their nobler Powers? A. Yes.

Q Ho

Q

t

irt

d

Q.

ffer

d

3

Q.

th

ed

nt

d t

* A

alw

ness d Ju

n of

es;

iers Q. Y

ourin

vant

qui sit

Q. M. re& Q. B

frong

More

mak

a ri

coni

fancy;

bours

Q. How does the Justice of God require A. As it wou'd be unjust in God to suftent the Lovers and Practisers of Religion and irtue to fare worse than others in the Issue dupon the whole.

Q. Wou'd it not be more unjust still to fer the most innocent to be most oppressed, if the most eminent to be least rewarded?

Yes.

ons

qui of s

icd

aft

men

culai Drefi

W

an (Yes.

Q. Yet wou'd not this often be the Case, there was no future State where Souls exted? A. Yes, for in this State, the Innomit have often the Portion of the Guilty; d the Guilty the Lot of the Innocent *.

O. How

* As it does not confift with Justice, cloathed with Powalways to suffer Innocence to be oppressed, and Wickness to triumph; so it seems not to consist with Wisdom Justice to bring into Being any Creatures, whose Pornof Misery shall be greater than their Portion of Haples; and this without their own Fault; or to let any be seen merely by Existence.

Q. Yet does not this seem to be the Case of many Slaves, ouring thro' Life under barbarous Masters; and of the wants of God, who have been thrown into the bloody

quisition, and tortured to Death there? A. Yes.

Q. Must not then the Soul exist hereaster in order to rectifying these Disorders? A Yes.

Q. But are not these Disorders wisely permitted here, as trong Argument of a great Hereaster? A. Yes.

Moreover, the general State of Mankind is such as seems make a suture State necessary under the Government a righteous God. In regard many Lives are almost e continued Scene of Inselicities: Pain and Crying attend sancy; Trisles spend Childhood; Vanity sills up Youth; bours and Cares to support Life, Caution to avoid Mis-

takes,

ei

cie

ei

101

ear usi:

Voi

Q

ne

ent

egi

tui

hn

od 1

7 9

en

tvii.

Q.

ve,

is P

ex

Sent

ictat

Q.

eafor

dt

Q. How does the Goodness of God require it? A. As it is inconsistent with governing Goodness to extinguish Men in Death, or a duce them to their primitive Nothing, whose prevailing Aim it is to please him; the do not arrive at perfect Obedience *.

Q. How does the Holiness of God required: A. As it seems incongruous to this Character of God always to suffer such a Provalency of Sin and Unrighteousness over Pier and Virtue, as appears in the great and man Tyrannies erected in the World; as well a in numberless private Impieties and Injuries.

takes, Frauds and other Abuses, Disappointments, Solid tude for a Family, and other Anxieties wear away Man bood; a Load of Infirmities and Sorrows fink the Old Matto the Grave.

This feems to require a future State; fince many a Ma at the Close of Life, if it was offered him over agains the Terms of going thro' all the Evil as well as Good

Life, wou'd scarce accept it.

Q. Will not then a wife and reasonable Governor the World bring on a better State, where virtuous Souls shave a Share of Good far out-ballancing all their Evil A. Yes.

Q, Will any good Father or King suffer their Chidren and Subjects to go off without any Reward for fail ful Service and patient Suffering for his Sake? A. No.

† Q. Is it not inconsistent for a wise, just, good a holy God always to suffer Reason, Truth, Virtue and Rip to truckle under Unrighteousness, Error and Sin? A. Yo

Q. Must there not then be a future State, where Ro fon, Truth and Goodness will recover their rightful Espire? A. Yes.

Q. Which

Q. Which is the second moral Proof of he Immortality of the Soul? A. That taen from the Office and Power of Conscience,

T TO Q. Is not every Man indued with Con-ience, or a Power to Judge within himself Quin Being convicted in their own Consciences they Chapent out. Rom. ii. 14. 15. Their Constitutions earing them Witness, and their Thoughts acusing or excusing.

Q. Does Conscience produce Hope or Fear both an invisible God, and an invisible

luin

nin

hole they

Pier

nan ella

Solid

Man Me

Ma

aind ood

100

Evil

Chi fait

No.

Vorld? A. Yes.

Q. By its acquitting or condemning, and by ne Satisfaction or Unealiness attending its entence, does Conscience carry in it the very eginnings (as well as the Fore bodings) of ture Happiness or Misery? A. Yes. 1 hn iii. 20, 21. If our Heart condemn us, od is greater than our Heart, and knoweth I Things. If our Heart condemn us not, en have we Confidence towards God. Mat. tvii. 3. 2 Cor. 1. 12.

Q. Is it not then highly reasonable to beve, that God, who has indued Man with is Power, Conscience, will cause the Soul exist in a future State, where it shall have Sentence and Portion conformable to the

ictates of Conscience? A. Yes.

Q. And is not the Credibility only, or the easonableness of expecting a future State d the Immortality of the Soul, without K

ftrict Demonstration, sufficient to determine every Man's Practife, and to answer all the

OV

he

(

ol

or A.

line

ure

er

C

re,

ifie

ern

Q

ut

hew

igh

lace

ltin

f h

Q.

nost

Defit

Q.

f tr

f V

prin

deas

elf.

Auth

ninal

Purposes of Religion? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the third moral Proof of the Soul's Immortality? A. The great Define and strong Expectations Men have to live at the Death.

Q. Is it not certain Fact that most Menan possessed of these Desires and Expectations, and are under a necessary, unavoidable Concern about what is to come to pass hereaster? A. Yes.

Q. Nay, is not continued Existence the Chief Desire of the Soul, and the Foundation of all other Desires and Indeavours? A Yes; in regard loving, desiring and seeking after any thing else is a Consequence of our

Love and Defire of Existing.

Q. Do not Men's ordering things to be donafter they are dead, their erecting Montments, their Solicitude about Posterity, Eliminate they desire and expect to be something and somewhere after Death? A. Yes; somere Nothing cannot have a Concern about any thing.

Q. Is it in any one's Power to wish to a total Death, but theirs who dread the Confequences of an ill-spent Life? A. No.

Q. Did any other Men ever conquer to Defire and Love of Existing? A. No.

Q. Does not this shew it to be a Natural and a Noble Desire? A. Yes.

Q. If it be a Natural Defire, what for

ows? A. That it was planted in Men by

he great Author of Nature.

Q. And wou'd He plant such a Desire and Solicitude in Men, if there was no Ground for it, and he intended never to satisfy it?

A. No; for it is repugnant to his Wisdom, sincerity and Goodness to delude his Creatures with a View of a State which will never be.

Q. If it be a just, reasonable and noble Daire, what follows? A. That it will be graissed under a reasonable and most perfect Go-

ernmemt.

ine

the

the

and

oou

the da-

OUT

OM

nu

छ।

ing, for

OU

110

15/

Q. Or, if it be not natural and necessary, but ariseth from Reasoning, what does this hew? A. That it is a Constitution of Things ight and fit, and what will certainly take lace; since God, who is supreme Reason will stimately answer all the reasonable Defires if his Creatures.

Q. Farther, Do not the most virtuous Souls nost defire Immortality; or do not these Desires grow strongest in good Men? A. Yes.

Q. And can a good God defeat the Defires of true Virtue and Goodness? A. No; for Virtue and Goodness (the Image and Off-pring of the Deity) beget the most raised deas and Defires of Immortality; God himelf, the Original of all Virtue, must be the Author of those Ideas and Defires.

Q. Again, Have we not necessarily interninable Views: Or, does not the Mind of

K 2

Man

Man aspire after intellectual Improvements with.

our End? A. Yes.

Q. What does this shew? A. That the Soul is designed for Eternity; for it is unreafonable to suppose that a rational Nature, a pable of Life, and endless Improvement shou'd ever die: And it is a Reproach to the Goodness of God, to suppose that a Soul thirs. ing after Knowledge, but who wants Health, Company, Books, Leisure, &c. shou'd never have Opportunity and Means to quench that noble Thirft.

O. After we have obtained a Tafte of Trus and Knowledge, and fee a few of the Wonders of the Universe, wou'd it not be a killing Thought, to have all our Views dashed at once, and we must bid an everlasting A. dieu to all the unsearchable Works of God!

A. Yes.

Q. But is this reconcileable to the Rectitude of God's Government? A. No.

Q. Again, Is not Man always gaining, a well as feeking, more Knowledge? A. You

Q. Is not this a Mark of Eternity? A Yes; for as the Boundleffness of God's Work is a proper and adequate Object for its eternal Progress; so it is contrary to eternal Rea fon, that the more a Soul advances in Know. ledge, and the more perfect it becomes, the nearer it shou'd be to Nothing.

Q. Yet wou'd not this Absurdity take place,

If the Soul was ever to die? A. Yes.

Q. II

pal

fut

Me

. (

0]

hi

(

Goo

ree

rove

ey o ction

10

futu

the , ar

pábl

Afte

Re th th

aying f for

der 1

fect dfink

Per

Nay,

Ets, i

their

unea

ich C

mu erior Q. Is it not then certain that the longer it lives, and the wifer it grows, the less capable it is of Dying? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fourth moral Proof of a future State, or the Soul's Immortality? A.

Mens Hopes and Fears of fuch a State.

f.

120

uth on-

ill.

hed

A.

bd ?

ei.

25

Yes.

orks

cer.

Rez

OW.

the

ace

Q. Are not such Hopes and Fears necessary to Mens sublisting with Comfort and Safety in his present State? A. Yes.

Q. Are they not then an Instrument of God's moral Government, and a Means of

reeping the World in Order? A. Yes.

* The Argument appears as strong in the Case of immoved Virtue, as improved Knowledge. For if Mens Capaty of apprehending, pursuing and attaining a greater Perction of both Knowledge and Goodness than is attained,
, or is attainable, in the present Life, is a clear Proof of
structure State; then actual Improvements in both adds Strength
the Proof of such a State, where the Soul survives the Boy, and where it will reach that Perfection God has made it

pable of, and which it is in pursuit of.

After a Soul has indured many Hardships in the Course Religion and Virtue, has been cultivating Acquaintance th the Deity, practifing his Laws, lamenting its Desects, aying for Help, has hoped for a better State, denied it for Religion and Virtue's sake, often appeal'd to God der Injuries, and even felt it self approach towards a more self Manner of Existence, then to have all dashed at once. In dink into eternal Nothing; this is utterly inconsistent with Persections of God, and therefore can never be.

Nay, if the Soul was not immortal, but our whole Be-

must turn to Dust, and seed Trees, Plants and other erior Creatures; the State of Beasts wou'd, in some Rects, if not upon the whole, be preserable to that of Men; their Pleasures are more free, and their Pains clear of uneasy Respections. But this is a Degradation of Menich God will never Suffer.

K 3.

Q Builton

Q. But wou'd a wife and good God so conflitute the Frame of Man, that the Belief of a Lie, or Hope and Fear of imaginary Good and Evil shou'd be necessary to Mens living in Society as reasonable Beings? A. No.

Q. Which is the fifth moral Proof of a future State, or the Soul's Immortality? A. That this Doctrine of a future State and the fioul's Existence in it is of the greatest Neces. Sty and Importance to the Interest of Mankind.

bu

ce

est,

ura

ils,

ot v Q,

caus

ign. Q V

ious

utab

Q. V

them Q. W

Rig

d of Q. W

Vice ?

what

Q. W

ce. Bi

Q: WI

ce?
y do,

Q. For wou'd not Man's Happiness be less, if he had no future Prospects? A. Yes.

Q. If in a prosperous Condition, wou'd not Reason be his Torment, to see Death like a dark Cloud approaching to put an utter End to it? A. Yes.

Q. If in an afflitted Condition, wou'd not Reason be equally his Torment, to think it wou'd never be exchanged for a better? A Yes.

Q. Wou'd there not also be less Religion and Virtue amongst Men, if the Hopes and Fears of a suture State were taken away? A. Yes.

Q. Since Religion and Virtue preserve the

As the Passions of Hope and Fear arise directly from the Operation of Conscience; as they are consequent up Expectation, and Hope implies Desire; and as Hope in Fear are the great Spring of Virtue, and Restraint on Via this Article may be reduced to the second, third or start and requires no farther Inlargement.

Order

rder of the moral World, and are so necesry to the Welfare of Mankind; must not e Belief of a suture State (so great a Suprt to Religion and Virtue) be a necessary d important Principle? A. Yes.

Q. And must not so necessary and impornt a Principle have a real Object for its

oundation? A. Yes *.

Q. More-

There are other Principles of Virtue, or Checks to ce besides the Doctrine of Immortality; as temporal Iness, Credit, Decency, Honour, the Beauty of Virtue, the tural Conf queuces of Virtue and Vice, Fear of present ils, Benevolence and natural Conscience. But all insuffint without the Belief of a suture State.

Q, Why is not temporal Interest a sufficient Principle? A: cause Unrighteousness hath often a long and prosperous

ign.

n-

bo

ng

.

u-

A.

he

es.

Son

100

ark

ic?

not

it

1

108

and

y

the

from

upo an ice

加

Q Why is not Reputation? A. Because in a Circle of ious Acquaintance, and in a debauched Age, Vice grows outable.

Q. Why is not Decency? A. Because this can only supt the Shews of Virtue and Picty, but not the Reality them,

Q. Why not Honour? A. Because not always Truthd Right, but Custom, Fashion and Opinion is the Stan-

d of that.

Q. Why is not the Beauty of Virtue, and the Deformity. Vice? A. Because Men do not always choose and practively what they see lovely and approve; nor always shun what soul.

Q. Why is not the natural Consequences of Virtue and ce? A. Because these do not always follow; and when y do, not always in a Degree proportioned to Virtue and ce. Besides, not only Reason, but the Passions, Hope and ar, ought to be listed on the side of Virtue.

Q Why is not Fear of prefest Evils? A. Because this,

pros.

Q. Moreover, does not the first Principle in Nature, that of Self-prefervation, in ever reflecting Being, extend to Immortality? Yes.

Q. Is not then the Doctrine of Immortality friendly to the first Principle of Natural and a Man's greatest personal Interest?

Yes.

Q. Farther, does not Benevolence and Sympathy (the first great social Principle) came in it a Desire to continue the Existence of Others? A. Yes.

Q. Does not Sympathy or fellow-feeling (loving others as ourselves) imply a feeling in

our selves? A. Yes.

Q. Is not then this Doctrine of Immortality, so consonant to our own feeling of a Desire of the continued Existence of our selve and others, a Foundation of both social and personal Virtue? A. Yes.

Q. Can he be concerned about the Exitence of another, who is indifferent about his

own? A. No.

Q. And in case we did not love our selves and defire continued Being, cou'd there be

produceth but a negative Virtue, or shuning of great Crims Q. Why is not Benevolence? A. Because Self-love is stronger, whose Object is Self-interest; and oft a missake Interest.

Q. Why is not natural Conscience? A. Because God feldom rewards and punishes in this Life.

See Grove's, on a future State, &c

ny

Vo,

ha

0

OVO

wn

Q.

ien

uen

ind

Q.

ho

oct

0.

ul's

f o

ans

Q.

re S

Thoff

th

her

Q.E

m

PI

7. E

ny Virtue in dying for our Country? A. Vo, for this wou'd only be a parting with that we fet no value on.

Q. Does not then Benevolence, Sympathy, ove to our Country suppose a Love to our

wn Existence? A. Yes.

1

re,

A

ym-

ITTY

0

ling

gin

rta-

De. Ive

tif.

his

ves, be

mes

re a

God

any

Q. Is not therefore the Doctrine of Immorality and personal Existence in a suture State iendly to every social Virtue, and conseuently to the Order and Happiness of Manind? A. Yes.

Q. And are not they Enemies to Religion, Virtue, and to the Happiness of Mankind, ho attempt to bring Man to disbelieve this

octrine? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the fixth moral Proof of the oul's Immortality? A. The universal Before it, amongst Gentiles, Jews and Chrians.

Q. What proves that they believed a fure State, and the Immortality of the Soul? Their Deifications of Men; the Stories of hosts and Apparitions *; burning Domesticks the their dead Master, to wait on him in the her World; the Doctrine of the pagan ysium, Styx, Acheron, Manes, Furia, &c.

Q. Whence must this universal Belief arise? Either from an Anticipation of Nature, or

m Reasoning, or from Tradition.

Pliny believed the Apparition of Ghosts. See Epist. 7. Ep. 27.

(

he

eing e u

ma

ord

The

e Bo

hich

g bu

Bala

Thi.

. the

oses, The

ity v

ion 1

rth;

r'd h

y w

re ali

Futur

istenc

l. xv

satisf

eway

m Hel

Il brin

ng evi

er inte

2. A

Q. If it arose from an Anticipation of No. ture, what does this shew? A. That it was from God, the Author of Nature, and is a divine Sentiment.

Q. If it arose from Reasoning, what does this shew? A. That the Evidence of a suture State lieth in the Nature of things, and

is a reasonable and right Belief.

Q. If it arose from antient and university Tradition, what does this shew? A. The it cou'd have no other original Cause but one of the two forementioned; because the Tradition cou'd have no other Original.

Q. Does not then universal Belief lead up to God as the Author of this Doctrine!

A. Yes.

Q. And can the God of Truth deliver any thing but Truth? A. No.

The Gentiles not only believed a future State; but Belief of it afforded the wife and virtuous amongst the great Pleasure and Satisfaction. It supported them in Statisfaction, was a great Incouragement to Virtue, and suthern with great Hopes and Expectations.

For Testimonies, See Grot, de Verit. L. 1. S.

Dr. Clark on Rev. Rel. p. 145, and Non ego is sum qui animum cum Homine interire put tantumque mentis lumen posse extingui; sed potius, at tempore emenso, ad immortalitatem redire.

Cic. de Confol.

Me nemo de immortalitate depellet. Cic. Tusc. Li

Quod si in hoc erro, quod animas hominum immoral
este credam, libenter erro; nec mihi hunc errorem, quo a
lector, dum vivo, extorqueri volo.

Id. de Sent

Q. Which

Q. Which is the feventh moral Proof of he Soul's Immortality? A. The Test imony f the holy Scriptures *.

Q. Does

* The Spiritual Nature of the Soul; that it is a distinct eing from the Body, is strongly imp'ied in the Account of e Creation of the first Man. Gen. i. 26. chap. ii. 7. Lz make Man in our own Image, after our Likenefs. The and God breathed into his Notrils the Breath of Life; and an became a living Sout.

The Immortality of the Soul is implied in that Phrase in e Books of Moses, of Mens being gathered to their People; hich must be understood of their Souls; their Bodies be

g buried in different Countries.

183

11.

nd

hat

but

hat

U ie!

any

the Su

file

S. 44

L

OTAL

10 m

Sent

rhid

Balaam defired to die the Death of the Righteous, which

This being a Doctrine of Nature, and universally believ-, there was no need expressly to teach it in the Law of

ofes, which was National and Political.

The Doctrine of future Rewards and the Soul's Immority was the Faith in which Abel died. Enoch's Transion must confirm it. God's being a God to good Men plies more than what he did for many good Men on ith; where Jacob's Days were few and Evil. God der'd himself the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob after y were dead. Ex. iii. 5, 16. Which implies their Souls re alive. Mat. xxii. 32.

Future Rewards and Punishments, which imply the Soul's istence, are expressly declared in the Oid Testament. As, I. xvi. 11. In thy Presence is fulness of Joy, at thy right nd are Pleasures for evermore. Pfal. xvii. 15. I juals Satisfied, when I arvake in thy Likeness. Prov. xv. 24. e way of Life is above to the wie, that he may depart m Hell beneath. Eccl. xi. 9 - For all thefe bings God Il bring thee into Judgment. Chap. xii. 14. God will ng every Work into Judgment. 112. xxxiii. 14. Who can ell with everlafting Burnings? Chap Ivii. 2. He Shall. er into peace,—each one walking in his uprightness. Dan. 2. Many who sleep in the Dust shall awake; some to

Q. Does this Argument suppose the Truth of the holy Scriptures? A. Yes, and well it may, for they have all the Characters of Truth which any antient Books in the World have.

Q. Moreover, did not Jesus Christ and his Apostles give all desirable Evidence of their

divine Mission? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not highly reasonable then the we give Credit to the concuring Testimon of Christ and his Apostles? A. Yes.

Q. Besides numerous plain Texts, what is a Demonstration of a Life after this, cleared and more level to the Capacities of all Mankind, than the finest and most subtle Arguments which can be drawn from the immaterial Nature of the Soul, its Powers of Residential Nature of the Soul, its Powers of R

The Writings of the New Testament are more expensibility for a suture State, and the Immortality of the Soul As Mat. x. 28. Men are not able to kill the Soul, Juyan Luke xvi. z2, z3. Lazarus was carried by Angels. In the the rich Man lift up his Eyes. Luke xxiii. 46. Acts vii 5 Into thy Hands I commend my Spirit. The una. Luke xxiii. 2. Whether in the Body, I cannot tell, or subether up of the Body. Phil. i. 23. Having a desire to depart, and with Christ. 1 Pet. iv. 19. Let them subo suffer according to the Will of God, commit the keeping of their Souli well-doing unto a faithful Creator.

Re

ti

ch

at

p

no

Dea

ou

he

rine

Q

on

Q

he .

neq

tate · Q.

ife,

. N

Q. What is a farther admirable Demontration of the Soul's Immortality? A. The Redemption of the Soul, and its Reconcilition to God by the Undertaking of Jesus hrist. Rom. viii. 10. The Spirit is Life, be-ause of righteousness. Heb. xii. 23. To the spirits of the Just made perfect.

Q. Must not the Soul be nobler than all nortal Beings, which was redeemed by the

Death of God's dear Son? A. Yes.

Q. Which is the eighth moral Proof of the oul's Immortality? A. That taken from he Absurdities attending the contrary Docine of Annihilation.

Q. May not numerous Abfurdities be draws

rom the foregoing Proofs? A. Yes.

Q. But which one may fuffice? A. That he Doctrine of Annihilation wou'd put the nequally virtuous or vicious into an equal! tate of Non-existence.

Q. But can this never happen under the ife, righteous and holy Government of God?

No.

ell

of

he

his

eit

hat

yac

is

rer,

lan

30.

ma-

Re

dy

100

en.

temp

x pre

U XiII

ii. 59 XXII Co er a

ouls i

CHAP. IV.

Proofs of a future JUDGMENT.

SECT. I.

Q. WHICH is the first Argument for a future Judgment? A. That Man is plainly an accountable Being, capable of being judged, rewarded or punished.

Q. How does it appear that Man is accountable for his Actions? A. It appears from the Consideration of both his Nature

and his Condition.

Q. How does the Consideration of the Nature of Man prove him accountable for his Actions? A. Because there is in him Understanding, or a Faculty of distinguishing good and evil, virtue and vice; and Liberty, or a Power of determining his own Actions, upon moral Motives.

Q. Is it not then highly reasonable to be lieve, that the great God, who gave Man Understanding, and all his Powers, will sometime or other make a grand Inquiry; how these Powers have been imploy'd, and how far their Conduct has been according to the Law they were under? A. Yes *.

Q. How

Co

the are

var

tho Bor

fit :

Ma

rity

pro

whi

ng ai

Deme

Q.

ion fo

A. Y

Q.

t is a

ood !

iffect

n So

unishe

elf-co

e Di

roduci

Q. A

it f

nels;

Q. Is God a no al Governor over the World? A. Ya. Q. Whorein conf. st. moral Government? A. In reward.

O. How does the Confideration of the Condition and Circumstances Men are in shew them to be accountable? A. Because they are depending Subjects and intrusted Ser-

vants.

10

an

of

C-

TS

ire

a.

his

er.

bo

ra

ip-

be-

lan

ne-

OW

OW

che

OW

Yes

and. IDE

O. Since then Men live under God's Authority, as supreme Lord; and live upon his Bounties, as their chief Benefactor; is it not fit and becoming, that he examine in what Manner they have acknowledged his Authority, and how they have imploy'd and improv'd his Bounties and the Talents with which they have been trufted? A. Yes.

L Binstabilio Q. Do

ng and punishing in exact Proportion to (Mens) Merit or Demerit; or, as they have followed or departed from the law they are under.

Q. Is there not the Beginning of this righteous Administraion found in this World, in the natural Course of things?

A. Yes.

Q. For how is Virtue, as fuch, rewarded at present? A. t is rewarded at present in the Security and Self-approation which follows virtuous Actions; in the Favour of all ood Men, and their concurring Approbation; in the good ffect it has on our outward Affairs, and its good Influence n Society.

Q. How is Vice, as such, punished at present? A. It is unished in the Remorse which follows vicious Actions; (4 elf-condemnation, distinct from the Uneafiness at a Lois) in e Disapprobation of all just Beings; and in the ill Effects it

roduceth in Society.

Q. Are not these inquard Feelings, whether delightful or inful, the natural Effects of Virtue or Vice, on the Mind it felf? A. Yes.

Q By this natural Connection between Virtue and Hap. nels; and between Vice and Misery, what Declaration does 2. Do not all wife Kings and Masters thus reckon with their Subjects and Servants?

A. Yes.

2. Are Men generally called to a strict Ac.

count in this World? A. No.

2. Will they not therefore in another?

2. Which is the fecond Argument for a future Judgment? A. The Being and Powen of Conscience.

2. Is not Conscience a Judge, which keeps a Court of Equity, or erects a Tribunal is every Man's own Breast? A. Yes.

2. Has not this Power in Man an effential Relation to an higher Tribunal, or to

fupreme Judge? A. Yes.

2. Is not Conscience a Faculty Man is indued with by God as Creator; and common to Gentiles, Jews and Christians? A. Yes,

God, as supreme Governor, and as Author of this Committee, make? A. He declares himself on the fide of Viewe.

Dere, is it not highly probable it will be carried to Pula tion hereafter, by a future, general Judgment? A. Yes.

Q. As an Effect of God's natural Government over the World, is what we either injoy or suffer put very muchin our own Power, as Pleasure and Pain are the Consequents of our own Actions? A. Yes.

Q That therefore future Pleasure or Pain shou'd be to Consequences of our Conduct here is not this uniform at analogous to God's present natural Government? A la

Q. Therefore is it not likely to take place? A. Yes. See Butler's Anal. p. 1. c.

Q. Dos

th

hav

Sin

Rea Q

Und

Q

orm A. I

Q

ciou ion ?

Q.

n A ot r

Defer

A. Y

Q.

lain,

Q.

tiona

teir

the

Q. ad V

ccord

No

rn'd.

ble, f

2. Does God create any Faculty or Power in vain? A. No.

Q. Wou'd not Conscience be in vain, if there was no future Judgment? A. Yes *...

L 3

Q. Is

or of Government by Law and Judgment? A. That they have a moral Nature, or Faculties of Perception between Sin and Duty, and of Action.

Q What is this Faculty called? A. Conscience, moral!

Reason, or moral Sense.

Q. What doth it include? A. Both the Sentiments of the

Understanding, and the Perceptions of the Heart.

Q Is not all our Language and Behaviour with Mentered upon a Supposition of such a moral Faculty in Man?

A. Yes.

Q. Is not every Man who thinks and reflects at all, concious of such a Faculty, and of a Power of Choice and Ac-

ion? A. Yes.

43

5

C.

. ?

fu-

ers

eps

111

nti-

0 1

in-

moa

es,

Vis-

begui

erfu

Yes.

er in

uchi

eence

ne thi

n and

Ya

es.

. C.J.

300

Q. Do we not find in our felves a Capacity of thinking: in Actions, Habits, and Characters; on doing which do we tot naturally and unavoidably approve some Actions, &c. inder the peculiar View of their being virtuous, or of good Desert; and condemn others as vicious, or of ill desert? A. Yes.

Q Is it not hence apparent, that there is an essential, lain, and important Distinction between Virtue and Vice?

. Yes.

Q. And is it not becoming God, as Governor of the tional and moral World, to diffinguish Men at last by heir moral Characters, and to reward and punish according their Behaviour? A. Yes.

Q. Wou'd not this effential Difference between Virtue; and Vice, and Men's Capacity of feeing it, and of acting;

cording to it, be otherwise in vain? A. Yes.

No sooner are Actions view'd, and human Passions discern'd, than an inward Eye distinguishes the fair and aimitole, from the foul, odious and despicable. These Distinctions:

Q. Is not Conscience also a Judge which keeps a Court of Record, and acts as God's Vicegerent, not only to direct the Actions of Life, but to keep a Register of Mens Actions, and gather in Evidences? A. Yes.

ro

ite

p

ra

nei

utu

y,

or wit

mit

ho

aw

nis

ure

ore

The

who

i. 2

-G

be

by th

Miser

where

tance

6

2. But wou'd there be any need to record Mens Actions, unless in order to produce them

again in Judgment? A. No.

2. Has not Conscience also an essential Relation to a Law and Rule of Action? A. Yes.

Q. And will not the Law or Rule of Mens moral Actions be the Rule of Judgment? A. Yes.

Q. Farther, does not Conscience, as it ap.

therefore are founded in Nature; and the dilinguishing Fa-

culty is natural alto.

There are two things which to a rational Creature must be horridly offensive and grievous; namely, to have the Reslection in his Mind of any unjust Action and Behavious, which he knows to be naturally odious and ill-deserving; or; of any soolish Action and Behaviour, which he knows to be prejudicial to his own Interest and Happiness. The Former of these is alone properly called Conscience, in a moral or religious Sense. The Fear of Hell, or the Terrors of the Deity do not imply Conscience, unless there is an Apprehension of what is wrong, odious, deformed, and ill-deserving Characterist. Vol. 11.

The Voice of Conscience, when it is truly natural Conscience (that is, a right judging, and uncorrupted Conscience) is the soft, but Authoritative Voice of God.—It is that Principle in Man which Judges of the Morality of Actions. It is called the Heart, the Spirit, the Law wrote in the Heart.—It is a natural Principle, for it results from our very Frame,

we feel it in ourselves, and discern it in others.

See Argu. 2d, for the Immortality of the Soul.

Droves

roves or disapproves a Man's Actions, exite Hope or Fear of Futurity? A. Yes.

Q. By these Hopes and Fears does God apport and incourage good Men, and re-

rain wicked Men? A. Yes.

Q. But will a just God rule the World by Lie, or by imaginary Hopes and Fears?

No.

Q. Will there not then be a future Judg-

nent? A. Yes.

ich

od's

ons

ens

es.

ord

em

Re-

es.

ens

it?

ap.

Fa-

flui

tie

out,

ng; OWI

The

no-

of

ing.

IĬ.

ce)

in-It

rt.

18,

ol.

1

Q. Which is the third Argument for a uture Judgment? A. That a wife, just, hoy, and good God will fome way or ocher, or some time or other shew himself pleased with shofe intelligent Beings who strive to mitate and obey him; and displeased with hole who act contrary to his Nature and aw. That God doth not constantly fignify his Favour to the Former, nor his Difpleaure against the Latter in this World; thereore he will do it in another. I Sam. ii. 30. They who honour me, I will honour; and they who despise me shall be lightly esteemed. Rom. i. 2,-16. Chap. iii. 5, 6. Is God unrighteous? -God forbid; for then how shall God judge be World #.

2. Do

Holines, and Justice require the exactly proportioning, by the moral Governor, Happiness to good Desert, and Misery to ill Desert; This is not done in the present State, where healthy Constitutions, external advantageous Circumsances, &c. are often the Portion of bad Men; but sickly Constitutions,

2. Do not all the-moral Perfections of Got carry in them the Idea of an Obligation upon

him to judge the World? A. Yes.

2. For Instance; wou'd it not be highly unbecoming the Wisdom of God to make world of Intelligent Creatures, subject to his Dominion, and under Obligations of Duty to himself, and to each other, and never reckon with them, but suffer them to go in endless Rebellion? A. Yes.

Q. Does not the Justice of God oblige him to treat his Creatures agreeably to their different moral Behaviour; to make the god happy and the vicious miserable, in proportion to their Deserts? A. Yes. Eph. vi. &

Constitutions, ill Treatment, &c. the Portion of the good. This prevents this exact Proportion from taking place bere; there must therefore be a suture State to make room for it, and a suture righteous Judgment. For the same Reason that God at all approves and delights in Holinds and Goodness, he must approve and delight most in the highest Degrees of them, and make the Best the most happy; and on the contrary make the most vicious the most paiserable. The Righteousness of the Righteous shall be upon him, and the Wickedness of the Wicked shall be upon him. Ezek. xviii. 20.

From God's infinite Wisdom, by which he must see what is fittest to be done at all times; and his infinite Power is abling him with Ease to effect it; and consequently his consummate and immutable Happiness, we may certainly infer his moral Perfections; nay that he is a Being of absolute and necessary moral Perfection, who can have no Temptation to violate any of the eternal Rules of Righteousesh.

Truth and Goodness.

Foster, Vol. I. p. 236

Col.

loth

whet

Maf

for

s. no

him hie

hel

ern

ness

har

A. I

vill

Xii

Exp

.

rom

priz

In

reate

r fat

tead

orme

or t

Good of T

nent

ber

Hoi.

God

pon

h

ke i

t to

Du.

ever

o oa

him

dif-

ood

10c

. 8.

good.

oom oom

ame

inell the most

noit upu

bim.

vha

ib-

his

in-

line

els,

301

01.

Col. iii. 25. What soever good Things any Mandoth, the same shall be receive of the Lord, whether he be Slave or Freeman (Servant or Master) but he who doth wrong shall receive for the wrong which he hath done, for there is no Respect of Persons.

2. Does not the Goodness of God oblige him to confine or consume the incurably mishievous, that they may not always afflist he Innocent and injure the Good? A. Yes.

Q. Can then the Reputation of God's governing Wisdom, Righteousness and Goodness be sufficiently vindicated any other way han by punishing the Transgressors of his Laws, and savouring the Observers of them? A. No.

Q. If this be not statedly done in this World, will it not be done in another? A. Yes. Prov. will it not be done in another? A. Yes. Prov. will it not be cut off.

* Divine Goodness may not be a bare fingle Disposition to romote Happiness; but a Disposition to make the good, pright faithful Man happy .. Butler's Anal. p. 23. In reality Goodne's is the natural and just Object of the teatest Fear to an ill Man. For Malice may be appealed r fatiated; Humour may change; but Goodness is a fixed, leady, immoveable Principle of Action. If either of the ormer holds the Sword of Justice, there is plainly Ground or the greatest Crimes to hope for Impunity; but if it be soodness, there can be no possible Hope, while the Reason f Things, and the Ends of Government call for Punish ment. Butler's Serm. Pret. ber shall fear the Lord and bis Goodness in the lutter Days. dol. iii. 5. Jer. xxxiii. 9.

2. Which

he

eir

ore

3, I I)

2.

tent

Visid

afor

2.

re P

stice

on (

hich Q. aft e la

cret .

Crue

After

Cri

a Sci

Tud

drin

e the

r ha

Co

age

This

lich,

A Sin

da

Q. Which is the fourth Argument for future Judgment? A. That if there was no future Judgment, these wou'd be the necessary Consequences. 1. The great Tyrants of the World, who have reigned in Villany and Blood, wou'd never be reckoned with an punished. Psal. ii. 2.—The Kings of the Earth—and Rulers take Counsel together against so the steeth in Heavens will—deride,—and was them. 2. The inward Wickedness of the Mind and Heart, the ill Conduct of the Spirit, in its invisible Sphere, wou'd escape unpunished. Rom. ii. 16.—In the Day who God will Judge the Secrets of Men †. 3

+ The Detection and Punishment of Secret Sins is the

represented by an ingenious Gentile Writer.

Megapenthes, a wicked Gentleman, being, after Death arraigned before one of the infernal Judges; at his Tra " These Murders and these Rapines, Jays be, I confess." But as to his Secret Crimes, he denied them all, and stood up on his Defence. The Judge commanded his LAMP to be produced as Evidence; The LAMP appeared, and the Judg demanded what it knew of the Prisoner at the Bar; It as fwered with a Sigh, "Wou'd I had been conscious of No " thing; for even the Remembrance of his Villanies make " me tremble; I wish my Light had been extinguished " that the Oil which maintained it, had quenched it; " burnt with Disdain, and sent out some Sparks to fire hi " impure Bed, and was grieved that my little Flame wa " fo weak as not to confume it; I faid within my fell " If the Sun faw this Wickedness, it wou'd be Eclipsed, and leave the World in Darkness: But now I perceive will "LI was constrained to give Light to him; that being a/

he Servants of God, who have fuffered for 1 eir Fidelity, wou'd be finally Lofers, and ore miserable than other Men. 1 Cor. zv. 3, 19. Then they who are fullen asleep in (or t) Christ are perished, &c.

2. Are not all these Consequences incontent with our Ideas of the divine Rectitude, Je lifdom and Goodness; and therefore un-

ino Fary

the 200

and

Ver

the the

eath,

Crist,

" But

d op-

udge

t an-

No-

naka

fhed

it; I

re his

WI

felf,

and

TAPA

2/1

cre

2. Which is the fifth Argument for a fure Jadgment? A. It is necessary to clear Providence, or to vindicate the Wisdom, fice and Goodness of God in the Administraon of the Affairs of the World; many of thich are perplexed and seem very unequal.

The Q. Have not the best Men sometimes the aft Share of the Good of this World, and the largest Share of its Evils? A. Yes.

cret Spy of his Uncleannels, his Thefts, his Avarice, his

Cruelties, his Pride, I might reveal them all."

After the LAMP had given its Evidence, the Judge orders Criminal to lay afide his Robe, and stand naked. Upa Scrutiny, he finds his Ghost all over spotted, livid, black blue (every Sin having left a Wound or Stain). Then Judge decreed his Punishment; " That he shou'd never drink of the River Lethe." that is, that he shou'd never the fad Remembrance of his past Condition; but be for r haunted with the Ideas of his patt Wealth and Luxury, Coveteousness, Filthiness and Cruelty; and that these ages shou'd be his perpetual Torment.

Lucian's Dial. Cataplus, seu Tyrannus. This is a lively Representation of the Power of Conscience, lich, in the future State, will bring to remembrance all It Sine, and be in the Breasts of Sinners a never dying Worm,

da Fire unquenchable.

9. And have not the worft Men sometime the largest Share of the good things of this World; and the least Share of its evil things? A. Yes +.

Q. Is it not highly necessary these Diff. culties be cleared up, and that Men be put more upon a Level, by being treated according to their Qualities and Works? A. Yes

Q. Can this be any other way done, but

by a future Judgment? A. No.

Q. Is it not then as certain there will be a future Judgment, as that God is a wife righteous, and good Governor of the World? A. Yes.

To illustrate the Mysterionshifs of God's Providence the Jews have this Tradition; " That Mofes, during the Time he was in the Mount, conferred with God about his governing Wisdom and Justice; and proposing some Doubt God order'd him to look down; where he faw a Soldia alighting to drink at a Well; and going off he left a by of Money behind him. A Boy comes next to the Founting found the Money, and carried it away. Then an Old Mu comes; while he was at the Well, the Soldier returns in demands the Bag of Money; the old Man denied that he either had it, or faw it; upon which the Soldier, in a Reg stabs him dead and rode off. Moses thood amazed, that Pro vidence shou'd suffer the innocent old Man to fall a & crifice, while the Boy went off fafe with the Money." What presently a Voice is heard, telling him, " That the of Man had murdered the Father of the Boy who was god off with the Money.

See Spectat. Vol. III. 294 See Dr. More's divine Dial. Vol. I. p. 321. The Part

ble of the Augel and the Eremite.

Q.

PUB

s no

tate

Q

be p

mor his

vern

pate mig

. 8,

Q

causi

Med

he .

Kno

n ju

tude

inef

quen

the

Ang

nes his

gr!

iff. put

ord.

Tes.

be

ife,

95

ence,

the at his

ubts, Idie Bag

tain,

Maa and t he

age, Pro

Se

Vhen

old gone

94

211

1

SECT. II.

Q. A S it is revealed, that there will be one great solemn Day for the PUBLICK JUDGMENT of the WHOLE WORLD. s not this probable, or agreeable to the Dicbut tates of Reason? A. Yes.

Q. What is the first Reason shewing it to be probable? A. Because such a Day will be more glorious to all God's Attributes; to his Heart-fearching Knowledge, to his governing Wisdom and Righteousness, to his paternal Love and Goodness, and to his almighty Power. Rom. ii. 5, 12, 16. 2 Thes. . 8, 10.

Q. Which is the fecond Reason? A. Beause such a Day will be more glorious to the Mediator and Judge, the Son of God; when he Perfections with which he is indued, his Knowledge, Justice and Grace will shine forth n judging the World, and in faving Multitudes of Souls: And when the Wisdom, Hoiness and Goodness of his Law, and consequently the Reasonableness of Religion, and the Unreasonableness of Sin, will appear to Angels and Men. Acts xvii. 31.

. 134 The Christian Catechism.

Q. Which is the third Reason? A. Be cause such a Day will be more honourable and joyful to the faithful Servants of God; and tend to the greater Shame and Consussion of Sinners. Rom. ii. 3—9. 2 Thes. i. 6, 7.

Q. Which is the fourth Reason? A. Be cause the fore-notice of such a Day granted to the Word, is proper to keep in awe in Inhabitants, and to quicken and incourage the Servants of God. 2 Pet. ii. 4.

CHAR

T

Q.

tan

wh kift

furc

Korai

Revel natura

Provid

The Old 7

ligion !

able od;

ifu-

5,7

Be.

e in

CHAP. V.

The Truth and Divine Authority of the Christian Revelation.

SECT. I.

Q. I TOW many Sorts of Religion are there in the World? A. Principally four *.

Q. Which are they? A. The Mahometan, Jewish, Pugan, and Christian Religion.

Q. Why are you not a MAHOMETAN; or why do you not believe the Koran (the Turkish Bible) to be a Revelation from God?

A. 1. Because the Koran is a Heap of abfurd Doctrines, sensual and srifling Laws. And M 2 the

* Q. What is it that constitutes a different Religion? A. A different Rule or Revelation.

Q. What is the Rule of the Mahometan Religion? A. The Koran. Note, in the Word Alcoran, Al fignifies the; Koran fignifies Lection; so we may say, the Christian Koran.

Q. What is the Rule of the Jewish Religion? A. The Revelation from God in the Old Testament, superadded to natural Revelation.

Q. What is the Rule of the Pagan Religion? A. The Will of God as revealed in the Works of the Creation and Providence, as far as discovered by human Reason.

Q. What is the Rule of the Christian Religion? A. The New Testament, superadded to (the moral Part of the Old Testament, and to) natural Revelation.

Q. Who is the Author of the Mahometan or Turkish Re-

Q. Who

136 The Christian Catechism.

the Religion it requires is an inconsistent Mix. ture of Paganism, Judaism and Christianity.

- 2. Because the Author, or Founder of it, was a deceitful, cruel, vicious Man.
- 3. Because no real, open, uncontestible Miracles were wrought to confirm the Revelation of Mahomet, by proving the divine Mission of the Revealer.
- 4. Because it was propagated by vile Arts and Delusions, by sensual Incouragements, by forbidding Learning and Knowledge, by Arms and Violence.
- Q. Can any abfurd Doctrines or unrighteous and fenfual Laws come from God? A. No.

Q. Is it not highly improbable God would imploy an immoral Man to plant a new and good Religion in the World? A. Yes.

Q. If God fend a Messenger, will he not in able him to prove his Mission by sufficient E-vidence? A. Yes.

Q. Is it consistent with the Wisdom, Holiness and Goodness of God, or with the Na

Q. Who is the Author of the Jewish Religion? A. God by the Ministry of Moses.

Q. Who is the Author of the Pagan Religion? A. As M

as it is true, God is the Author of it.

Q. Who is the Author of the Christian Religion? A God, by the Ministry of Jesus Christ.

ture

tu

lig In

arif

of i

Exe

the

Fro

end to b

(

I

JEST

Imp fulpe

2 in ledge

taat

3.

and knew

his S

on'y

Prop

fenti

7. 1

faal

and of bition ridical

are f

flave

mour

requi

ture and Happiness of Man, to promote Religion by Fraud, Force, Ignorance or fleshly Indulgences? A. No *.

* Q. When did Mahometanism, or the Turkish Religion,.

arife in the World? A. About the Year 600.

Q. When the Caristian Religion, which consists in Purity of Mind, and a new divine Life, degenerated into bodily Exercises, or external Rites, did God then suffer Mahamet and the Papal Antichrist to arise? A. Yes.

Q. Whence are the Mahometans called Saracenes? A. From Sarack, Furari, Excurrere; because they ran abroad and ived upon Robbery. Or, from Sarab, for they pretend

to be of her Potterity.

X.

it,

Mi-

non

ion

Its

by

by

ite.

A.

u'd

and

in

E.

oli-

Na-

God,

Is far

ure

Q. Whence are they called Hagarenes? A. From Hagar. Weems's Christ. Synag. p 296.

In every Respect Mahomet differed in his Methods from Jesus Christ; and his Religion hath all the Marks of Importure. For, 1. The Character of the Author is too suspected to support the Henour of a Messenger from God. 2 His diclaiming Miracles and Prophecies is an Acknowledgment of the Vanity and Falshood of his Pretentions; and that he was conicious of his not having a divine Warrant. 3. The few wonder ui Things related of him are fabulousand romantick. 4. Forbidding religious Inquiries shews he knew his Religion would not bear them. And he opened: his Scene amongst a stupid People, void of Curiofity; for only one Man in Mecca was able to read and write. 5. Propagating his Religion by the Sword, is a Proof he was: sentible it wou'd never prevail by Argument. 6. Falshooisin Fact shew the Ignorance or Wickedness of the Founder, 7. The Indulgences which Makomet's Religion gives to fenfual Inclinations, it an Argument of the Badness of his own ; and of his Resolution to sacrifice Chastity and Virtue to Ambition and Power. 8. Many of his Precepts are abfurd and ridiculous. 9. The Motives and Rewards fet before Men are suited only to Minds oppressed with Sensuality and inflaved to Vice. He accommodates his Religion to the Humours of the Arabians, and changed it as their Humours required. See Plain Reasons for being a Christian. p. 491

Prideaux's Life of Alacomet and Letter to the Delas:

SECT. II.

Q. WHY are you not a Jaw? A. Be cause the Jewis Constitution and Polity is utterly dissolved; and their Religion,

as peculiar to the Jews, abolished.

Q. How was the Revelation made by Mofale (which is the Law and Rule of the Jewis Religion) proved to be Divine? A, By a Succession of illustrious and unquestionable Miracles and Prophecies.

Religion founded in Nature, and adopted into the Christian Religion? A. Yes.

Q. How far then can it be rejected? A Only so far as it is Political, Ceremonious

and proper to that People.

Q. Can the Jewish Law, as such, but Christians? A. No, for it was delivered on ly to them who were brought out of the Land of Egypt and the House of Bondage.

Q. Does it make any sufficiently plain an certain Distinction between what is moral an ceremonial, or of partial and universal, of temporary and eternal Obligation? A. No.

O. How then must Christians resolve the binding Authority of the moral Parts of the Old Testament? A. Into the Law of Natural and the Gospel Revelation.

Q. Is it foretold by the Jewish sacred Witters, that their Law shou'd cease, their Ten

be p

6

31

ra

x

de

15

ed

co

to

A.

COI

th

of

tic

Ab

the

the

all

Ye

ple be destroy'd, and the Jews dispersed? A Yes. Gen. xlix. 10. Deut. xviii. 15. Psal. xl. 6. Isa. lxvi. 2, 21, 24. Jer. iii. 16. chap. xxxi 31,-34. Dan.ix. 26,27. Mal. i. 11.

Be-

and

ion,

Tofes

wil

y a Mi

oted

ous.

on-

m.

110

Q. Do not their own Books also disparage the ceremonial Services? A. Yes. Pf. xl 50, 51. Isa. i. Fer. vii. Hos. vi. Mic. vi.

Q. Has not Christ also, and his Apostles, declared the ceremonial Law to be ceased? A. Yes. Mat. xv. 11. 2 Cor. iii. 13. Epb. ii. 15. Col. ii. 14. Heb. ix. 9—.

Q. As the Jewish Religion was not intended to be perpetual, or to last thro' all Ages; cou'd it not be intended to be Universal, or to be the Religion of the whole World?

A. No.

Q. Why cou'd it not? A. Because it was confined to the Temple at Jerusalem, by the three solemn Feasts; and the Administration of its sacred Offices was confined to one particular Tribe and Family.

Q. Moreover, is not God's Covenant with Abraham and the Jewish Nation founded on the Expectation of the Messiah; and are not the Promises of a Saviour interwoven with all the Parts of the antient Revelotion? A. Yes.

Grot, de Verit. B. 2. S. 11 Certainly.

The Covenant God made with the Jewish Nation being National, only a national or a temporal Happiness cou'd be promised in it. And this was an Occasion of the Sadducees Denial of future Rewards and Punishments.

Q. And by all possible Computations of the Time of this Saviour's coming, must not that Time be now elapsed and past? A. Yes.

or they lie under some notorious Guilt for many Agest and can they tell us what this is, except contemning and cracifying the Messah.

B. 5. S. 17.

As nothing can be alledged by the Jews in behalf of Markers, but, by at least equal right, may be applied by the Christians in behalf of Jesus Christ. So to object, that Christ's coming is deferred because of the Sins of the People, is as absurd as to say, a Physician's coming is deferred, because of that Disease he is appointed to cure, B. 5. S. 15.

There are three Things remarkable as to the Jews, then Number, Dispersion, Adherence to their Religion. The netural Reason of the First may be, their constant Imployment Abstinence, Exemption from War, and frequent Marriage. The natural Reason of the Second may be their Rebellion and Tumults. The natural Reason of the Third may be, the Strength of their political Constitution; for they live all in a Body, and generally within the same Inclosure; they Marry amongst themselves, eat no Meats which are not all Table Conversation, and the most agreeable Intercourse of Life; and, by consequence, excludes them from the mat probable Means of Conversion.

be, that they have furnished every Age and every Nation of the World with the strongest Arguments for the Christian Faith. Not only, as these particulars are foretold of them but as they themselves are the Depositaries of these and other Prophecies, which tend to their own Consustant. The Number surnishes us with a sufficient Cloud of Witnesses who attest the Truth of the old Bible. Their Dispersion spread these Witnesses thro' all Parts of the World, Their dispersion to their Religion makes their Testimony Unquestion able.

Spectat. Vol. 7. No. 495

* That the Time of the Messiah's coming fixed by Jacob, during the Continuance of the Jewish Polity; and by

Hagga

ot

usic

tian

orac

The

Q.

not

Nati

A.]

juc

Harga

Pofth.

An

Comp

Chanc

Th

ull o

Go

nore,

nore

liverts

come,

it the

Ch

Q. Therefore, if Christ be not come, must not the Jewish Prophecies be false or a Deusion? A. Yes.

Q. If Christ be come, must not the Chri-

tian Religion be true? A. Yes.

the

hat

hed,

and

Ms. the

that Peo-

red,

hea na-

ent, ges.

be,

all

hey kil-

of rea

nat

127

128

mi

her

eir

to

ade

14.

-00

Q. If it be true, ought not all Men to imprace it? A. Yes.

SECT. III.

The Necessity and Usefulness of a Revelation.

Q. WHY are you not a PAGAN, Heathen or Deist? Or, why do you not worship God according to the Light of Nature, and the Dictates of Reason only? A. Because by the help of my Bible, which judge a Revelation from God, I find my

See Allix. Reflect. V. 2. p. 64. &c. Tillotson's Posts. Serm. V. 2. Ser. 1. Sherlock's Diss. on Jacob's Proph. And for the Expiration of Daniel's Weeks, upon the latest Computation; See Prideaux's Connect. Part. I. B. V. Chandler's Vindic. of Daniel.

The Jewish Talmud, tho' greatly reverenced by them, is all of Absurdities and Blasphemies: for Instance, it says, God spends three Hours a Day in Studying the Law; three more in teaching Children, who died in Minority; three more in taking Account of the World; and in the three last diverts himself with Leviathan. And that the Night being tome, (for they imagine the Sun sets in Paradise) he ascends Chariot drawn by the swiftest Spirits, the Cherubim, to vitt the 18000 Worlds, which they think he has created.

Reafon

Reason greatly affisted in my Inquiries after Truth and Duty; and clearly directed in my Worship; and my Mind raised to the greatest Hopes by the Motives there propounded. I find also its Claim to a divine Character supported by its Contents, which are every way worthy of God; and by proper external Evidence. And I ought not to despite or ne.

glect so great a Help.

Q. Tho' we ought not to neglect any real Help to Religion and Happiness; yet does not a Revelation from God feem needles, where he has given Men Reafon? A. No. for as I cannot conceive how the first Man! cou'd know many useful and necessary Points, a his own Original, and that of his Wife, what worship wou'd be acceptable to the Deity, what Food to eat, &c. without the Aids of Revelation +. So where Revelations from God have not been made, or where the Memory of them and their Influence have been loft, Men have invented the most wretched Schemes of Religion, and gone into Notions most absurd, and into Practises abominable And by all Accounts, antient and modera we find that in those Regions of the Earth, where there is no Bible Revelation, groß [5]

norance

101

wh

of Na

par

io

to

en

n a

righ

the

olai

Mo

as S

by

Tru

Yes

trac

Me

but

tion

not

imp

abo

Cor

II. p

hip

^{*} It is supposed there was a first Man. No tolerable At count was ever given of the Original of Men, but the Scripture one.

⁺ See Allix. Reflect. Vol. I. Chap. 8, and 9.

frer

my

eat.

ded,

cter

ery

nal

ne.

real

oes

ess,

No.

n

5,25

hat ity, of

om

Ae-

en

114

h,

p

norance, Idolatry and Immorality prevail.

Q. What Purpoles does Revelation serve, which may not be answered by the Force of Reason, where Men use it right? A. All Nations and all Men, when they have departed from Revelation and Original Tradition, have in sact used their Reason wrong, as to Matters of Religion. Revelation not only tends to awake the reasoning Powers; but, in a short and direct way, leads Men into right Notions, and to a right Practise; it sets the Truths of Religion in a clear Light; gives plain Rules of Duty; and propounds strong Motives to Virtue.

Q. But have not some of the Gentiles, such as Socrates, Plato, Cicero, Epittetus, Seneca, by the Force of Reason, delivered noble Truths and excellent Rules to Mankind? A. Yes. And they might have some Aid from traditional Revelation, or by conversing with Men who had God's Oracles. Yet there were but one or two such Men in an Age or Nation; and even these eminent Men were not converted from their Idolatries; they had impersest Notions of Religion, were in doubt about a future State; and made sew, if any Converts to real Piety and Virtue.

Besides,

^{*} See Shuckford's Connect. Vol. I. p. 328, 363, &c. Vol. II. p. 316, 345. Vol. III. p. 146, &c.

[†] The Gentiles, when Christ came did and still do worhip Creatures, Images, Demons; and they worship the

Besides, we find the Bulk of Mankind, a this Day, where the Light of Scripture dog not Shine, are real Strangers to the Trails of Religion, Enemies to the Practife of it and know not the proper Motives to Support

But suppose Men were able, by the Force of close reasoning, to find out the Being, U. nity and Perfections of God, the Duties ov. ing to him and each other, the Nature of the Soul and a future State: yet, confidering Mens general Inability for close and abstract Reasoning, and their Aversion to it, the Prejudices on the fide of Sense, the Strength of the animal Puffions and Appetites, the m ny Avocations they meet with, the necessary Cares and Business about the Body they are ingaged in; very few, if any, wou'd ever form a just Scheme of Religion. We find few able to do it, with the Help of a Bible and of Education; what then can be expect ed from the People in Africa and America who have no fuch Help!

true God under unworthy Representations and Emblem even Stocks and Stones. They were curious about Triffs but careless of Holiness and inward Virtue. Their Rel gion was a Mixture of Folly and Filthines; wieness that Auguries, Floralia, &c. And even fuch a Writer as the ral Philosopher acknowledges the Usefulness of Revelaid in the present corrupt State of Mankind.

Vol. I.p. 143, 144, 149 The Heathens, by confulting their Oracles, shewed the did believe God had revealed his Will to Men, and the they hoped and expected he wou'd farther do it.

Farther

G

21

YE ha

2

M

pla Al

th

fre

ani W

gra

his

and acc

He

kne

De

An

fee

yet

ner

tano

mei

tion

Dan

tant

Oes

ttbs

ort

orce

U.

OV.

e of

rad

Pre-

ngth

ma-

Tary

are

ever

find

3ible

ect.

rica

lem

rifle

Relither

e m

lation

145 they

l that

her,

Farther, were Men every where, and in their present State, able to find out the One true God, their Duty to him, and to each other, and the strong Motives to a religious Life; yet it must be owned to be of vast Service to have ready drawn up a Summary of Religion, a History of Providence, Rules of Life, and Motives to Virtue, in a short Compass, in a plain Stile and in a striking Manner: And All coming with the Force of divine Authority, as the Voice of God, and as a Ray from Heaven.

Q. But does not God sufficiently appear, and manifest his Will to Men, in all his Works? Does he not appear to be a good, gracious and merciful Being; and does not his constant Goodness call Men to Repentance, and to Gratitude, and give them Hope of his accepting them? A. We find that in fact the Heathen World, with all their Wisdom, knew not God; and the Few, who, in some Degree, knew him, glorified him not as God. And tho' we, by the Help of Scripture Light, fee God shining in his Grace and Goodness; yet God only can tell upon what Terms Sinners shall be pardoned; or whether Repentance and future Obedience will be full Atonement for past Offences. Without Revelation, a finful World wou'd be much in the Dark, and greatly at a loss in these important and effential Points.

Q. But is it reconcileable with our Idea of the Holiness and Goodness of God, to cal off a Creature who repents, turns to him, loves and ferves him? A. Tho' the Con. sideration of the Nature of God may give al Men some Incouragement to turn to him and some Hope of Acceptance; according to God's Appeal to Cain. Gen. iv. 7. Yet Re pentance is, in a great measure, a supernatural Remedy; and clear Views of Pardon is a fe pernatural Motive. The Scriptures are a loui Call from God to Repentance, and the mot powerful Means to effect it. This Books Ione giveth Men Affurance of full Forgie ness; and yet at the same time telleth us, that fomething more than Repentance is necessary as the Condition of a pardoning Covenant in order to fecure the Ends of divine Go vernment; even a Sacrifice of Atonement: An that fomething more is necessary to qualif Men for Happiness, than Repentance of pa Sin, even the Seeds and Habits of Piety an Virtue. Moreover, if any, by the Light Nature, are brought to true Repentance, know and love God; how few are they, as in how imperfect a Degree are they conver ed, in comparison with the Conversions to Gospel has effected!

Q. If Men bave not Faculties sufficients discover God and their Duty, and to dire them to Religion and Virtue, are they nexcusable in their Ignorance and Wickedness

ccord s Cord ualif

A.

ab

ble

an

ies

ma

De

wh

0;

hor

tair

pare

Hea

ratio vho

er Bu

f w

f th

he i

pro

the

ued

reat ated han

ileges o oth m,

on.

in,

Re.

iral

oud

not

K 24

ive.

hat

ary,

And

alif

pa an

to

er d

it t

ret

A. So far as Mens Faculties are naturally and absolutely infussicient, so far they are excusable; for God requires no Impossibilities; nor my Service, but in Proportion to the Abiliies he gives. Men who have no Revelation may be excusable for not arriving at such a Degree of Knowledge and Virtue, as those who injoy the Gospel are called and obliged o; and therefore they must necessarily fall hort of that Degree of Happiness which Chritain Knowledge and Virtue only can preare Men for, and intitle them to. Immoral Heathens may also escape such a Condemation as wicked Christians will be liable to, tho have finned against more Light and stroner Motives.

But they are not excusable in any Degrees ant of wilful Ignorance, in the Misimprovement Go f their Abilities and Advantages; or in Sins, he moral Evil of which they might fee by proper Use of the Reason they have. And they used well the Faculties God has inued them with, they wou'd be accepted ccording to the Degree of their Goodness, s Cornelius was, Acts x. 1-4. and wou'd be

ualified for more Light.

Q. But why is God so partial with his reatures, all his own Off-spring, equally reated to himself, and none more deserving han others, as to grant some so high Priileges and valuable Helps, which he denies o others? Why are some render'd capable

N 2

A. God, as Restor and Judge, will treat all Men according to their Talents and their Improvement of them; and place them in Abodes, in the future State, suited to their Capacities and Conduct here. But God, as Proprietor and Benefactor, may distribute his free Gifts as his Wisdom directs. And these Inequalities, visible in the whole Course of God's Providence, as well as in the Dispensation of the Gospel Light, is a Difficulty which the Deists are as much obliged to answer as the Christians are; for the Light of Nature is very unequally dispensed as well as the Light of Scripture.

Farther, may we not as well ask, why is one Man in the Distresses of Poverty, while his Neighbour, of less Merit, walloweth in Wealth? why is one Man a Slave, while a worse Man lords it over him? why Beasts of Burden are made, as well as Birds of Pleasure, and Beasts of Liberty? These Varieties beautify Providence; but the particular Reasons of these Determinate Lots must be lest to the other World and the great Day. However we may say, that since the Gospel is a Blessing in its Nature design'd for the whole World; and that Christ gave his Apostles a

Cont

ev

ge

as

nç

no

So

W

ing

the

Lig

nou

Ne

vel

and

a E

Cap

ness

oug

out

it; Hel

V

with

bare

bung

men

in re

Commission to preach it every where, and to every Creature; it may be owing to Negligence and a worldly Spirit in their Successors, as also in Princes and Merchants, that it is not carried thro' the World. And God is not to be charged with the Faults of Men.

Besides, God may cause the Gospel of his Son to spread as fast as the Nations of the World are prepared to receive it, and will-

ing to accept it.

n

lt

ir

11

as

is fe

of

nty

nof

ell

yr

ile

in

a of

re,

au-

ons to

W.

s a

Q. But in Case all Men will be judged by the Law they are under, and according to the Light they injoy; may not Men do well e-nough without the Gospel? was there any Necessity of the Christian, or any other Revelation? Is not this a mere waste of Power and Grace? A. If the Scriptures are visibly a Blessing to the World, and put Men into a Capacity for greater Persection and Happines; All, to whom this Revelation is sent, ought to accept it with Thankfulness, without curious Inquiries about the Necessity of it; or why they, and not others, have such Helps afforded them.

With regard to this Life, do any quarrel with God because he grants them more than bare Necessaries, even Conveniences and A-bundance; tho' he expects a suitable Improvement of them? With what face then can they quarrel with him and spurn his Bounty in regard to their Souls, if he give them more

N. 3

tham

ole s a than is absolutely necessary! This is stupid.

ly ingrateful and infolent *.

Besides, if God be the Author of the Gos. pel (as will be proved hereafter) it must be a Dispensation of Wisdom, and a fit Remedy tor Mankind.

More.

W Sc

be

Vi 2 J

as

Rev

able

felve

Pow

difco

of I

tion

shou'

allow

Wor

Way

I.

fhall

Were

brew

ances

maine

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7:

feven

C

A

Tho' an Indian may have as much Light as is sufficient to direct him in his immediate Duty; since it cannot be any Man's Duty to do, what it is not in his Power to know. Yet the better Men understand their Duty, the more virtuous they may be; the more virtuous, the nearer do they arrive to Perfection; the nearer to Perfection they are, the larger is their Sphere and Prospect of Happings. The Conduct of an Indian and Barbarian may be approved; and will be so, if he act according to his Light; is he therefore in as good a Condi ion as Antoninus or Socrates, as Moses or Samuel, as St. Paul or St. John? Acceptance is one

thing, Perfection another.

The Law of Nature is not only sufficient, but persed, considered objectively; being a Rule to all intelligent Beings. The Light of Nature is that Share of moral Truth which Men discern, or are naturally capable of discerning. This natural Light, tho' little, is in some Sense sufficient, namely, to render Men accepted, so far as it is improved: But in sufficient to bring Mankind to that Standard of Duty which belongs to their Nature, and to that State of Persection, of which they are capable; it is insufficient to give them such worthy Notions of God, and such Certainty about a sum State as Revelation gives. These Doctrines we may think Demonstrable by Reason; but it is by Reason exercised, improved, inlightned by Revelation.

See Balguy's 2d Let, to the Deifts.
Suppose Reason sufficient, yet Revelation is not superfluous, because 1. Laying before Men in one View a regular System of religious Truths and Precepts, must very much assist Men in their Discoveries. It being the noblet Means of Instruction. 2. The Authority by which such a Revelation.

id-

of-

be

edy

re.

fficit be r to

the

arer

hey

nes.

OV-

he

1, 21

one

fed,

ngs.

hich

This ely,

ER.

rich

, of

uch

ture

ink

fed,

ifts.

Au-

Tea.

ery

left

h 2

Moreover, suppose (as the State of the World makes probable enough, and as the Scriptures affert) some EVIL BEING has gained a great Ascendancy over Mankind; it may be necessary, in order to stop the Progress of Vice and Ruin, occasioned by him, to set up a Head of Wisdom, Power and Love, such as Christ is, to oppose him.

Q. But

Revelation is made will ingage Attention. Things not knowable without Teaching, may yet immediately approve themselves to the Mind, when taught. For it is not the mere Power of Reason, but the Exercise of this Power, which discovers Truth.

Connybere's Def.

A Rule may be absolutely good and perfect, as the Law of Nature is; but relatively deficient, thro' the Imperfection and Weakness of Men.

Balgur.

Can it be reconciled to the Goodness of God, that he shou'd never send any Messenger (Myriads of which you will allow me to suppose) to inform Mankind about that blessed World, their own Existence, their God, their Happiness, the Way to it; or never irradiate the Mind of one Man?

I. Must we resolve, that the great God cannot, will not, shall not reveal to us any thing which was done before we were made on the Earth? In the Records of the old Hebrew Historian Moses, several Phænomina or strange Appearances are resolved and accounted for, which wou'd have remained Difficulties without Revelation. For Instance,

1. The Rife and Structure of this visible World.

2. The Origination of Mankind.

3. The Diffinction of the Sex and Species.

4. The Institution of Marriage.

5. The Distribution of Time into Weeks, or Systems of feven Days.

6. The Origin of Physical Evil.

7. The Entrance of Sin, or Beginning of moral Evil. 8. The

Q. But if God has made all Men for Happiness (and what other Motive, but to communicate Happiness, cou'd influence God, a self-sufficient Being to create Man) is it not a Contradiction to his Design, to suppose Men created for an End, when they are denied the Means necessary to obtain that End?

A. Men are indeed made for Happiness;

8. The Rife of Shame to naked Persons.

9. The Original of Garments and Cloathing to cover

The Pains of Conception and Childbirth.

11. The Entrance of Death.

12. The Way of disposing of the Dead, by Interment.

of our Dry-Land, caused by a Deluge.

14. The most authentick Warrant for eating Flesh,

15. The authentick Order for judicial Process in Inqui-

16. Repeopling the desolated Earth.

17. The Origin of Languages.

18. The strange Bituminous Lake, the dead Sea.

19. The Founder of the first City, and the Occasion ofit

20. The Rife of the first great Monarchy.

21. The Original of Circumcifion.

22. The Origin of the Hebrery, Nation.

II. Must no Notice be given of Faturities; such as de pend on the free Disposals of God, or the voluntary Agency of Man? For Instance,

1. Must no Notice be given of the Flood?

2. - Or, of the Rife of great Monarchies?

3. —Of the Destruction of Jerusalem, that God's Servants might escape?

4. —Of the Rife of great Persecutions, that they might

be armed?

5. -

but

Age

tan

der

t.

0

nora

not oft

ora

5.

7.

III

umai

l an

fack

1.

World

2.

orgiv

3.

Cheir ver,

Deists

1

but

p-

m-

, 4

100

ose

de,

15

fs;

put

SPAC

tion

fit

nce

but in a way suitable to intelligent and free Agents; who by a Neglect of their Underfandings, or an ill Use of Reason, may renter themselves incapable and unworthy of By a neglect of Education, they may alo occasion Posterity to grow up in great Ignorance, and gradually to degenerate into brutish Tempers and Manners: And God is not obliged to repeat extraordinary Aids as oft as Men abuse and forfeir them.

Q. Is there not a great Prevalency of Igorance and Vice amongst Christians, espe-

5. —Of the Prosperous State of God's Kingdom?
6. —Of the Resurrection of dead Friends?

7. -Of the last Destruction of the World by Fire?

III. Of the many things existing at present, but beyond uman Reach, the Knowledge of which wou'd be delightand advantageous, must we have no Knowledge, for fear facknowledging a supernatural Revelation? For Instance,

1. Possibly Forgiveness may be designed for this sinful World.

2. We need Instruction about the Mode and Method of orgiveness, and the Continuance of a pardon'd State.

3. The State of departed Spirits is a useful Knowledge. Their Felicity; or the Misery of those who Suffer. Morever, God may be kinder to us than the Hypothesis of the Deists will allow,

1. He may be willing to make us wifer than we cou'd be without supernatural Aids.

2. He may love us beyond our Conceptions.

3. He may be willing to ease us in our Search after Truth.

4. - And to decide fome of our Disputes below.

5. - And to give us Assurance as to some important Af. tairs. Reynolds's Letter to the Deifts.

cially

cially in the Church of Rome, notwithstand ing the Sufficiency of the Gospel Revelation? Now if this State of things is no good Ar. gument against the Sufficiency of Revelation; why is the Prevalency of Ignorance and Vice in the heathen World urged as an Argument against the Sufficiency of Reason as a Guide to Religion and Happiness? A. The best Helps may be neglected, and the clearest Light counteracted: but we see in fact, an Excellency and Fitness in the Gospel; and where it has Freedom, we fee it has produced great Effects, and does produce Light and Virtue in Multitudes of Souls. We see also Reafon in a very low State in the heathen World; their Ignorance is almost total, and their Viciousness in a manner Universal; so that few, if any, by the Exercise of Reason only, become religiously wise, virtuous, and qualified for Bleffedness. Nor is it any wonder if Ig. norance and Wickedness prevail in the Kingdom of Antichrist, where the Truths of God and Christ are almost lost in a Croud of Errors.

Q. But can Man be faid to be under any Law, as a Rule of Duty, if that Law be not intelligible, clear, plain and easy; and the Sanctions of it sufficiently powerful? And if Men are not under such a Law, how can they be Transgressors of it? And where there is no Transgression, can there be any just Punishment? A. God's Nature, Dominion and Will

the ma Ina wo

W

yel

fte ma Na ne

the

the me

jeć

nei Mi titi wi

for En his

ma bit me Mo

ty ral nd.

(ne

Ar-

011;

ice

ent

to

lps

ht

el-

ere

eat

tue

ca-

ld; Vi-

W,

ed ed

g.

od ir-

774

othe

if

y

15

]-

Will are, in part, discoverable by his Works; yet as Men may thut their Eyes, and lofe their Way, while the Sun shines; so Men may grow ignorant and mentally blind by Inattention and Carelessness, and not see what wou'd otherwise be plain and easy: And then they may, and will of Courfe, bring up Poflerity in equal Blindness; and at length it may come to pass (as it actually is) that whole Nations may be left in unconceivable Dark. ness and Barbarity. Yet doubtless God, who winked at the Nations of the World during their long State of Ignorance, will, in Judgment make proper Allowances for all Infelicities any of his Creatures are necessarily subject to.

Q. But is it reconcileable to God's Goodness, that so few shall be saved, or have Means powerfully to effect it, while such Multitudes are left to perish? A. None are left without all Help; nor shall any perish, but for their own willful Sins: And before the End of Time God's House may be full, and his Table surnished with Guests. Possibly also the Execution of the Sinners of this World may be an everlasting Warning to the Inhabitants of other Worlds (as the Imprisonment of the fallen Angels is to us) and a Means of their persevering in their Integrity and Obedience, and so be for the gene-

ral good of the Universe.

However, God, who considers the Advantages and Disadvantages of all, will make all, happy, who are any way sit to be made so by infinite Wisdom and Goodness; and the same good and honest Disposition in Heathers, which wou'd have determin'd them to improve the Advantages of Christianity, had they been granted, shall, thro' Christ, be rewarded with a Happiness of the same Kind as the Christians, tho' not in equal Degree, because they have not improved equal Talents. Rom. ii. 12,—16. Mat. xxv. 154-24.

Q. In regard then it is supposed, that Men, even in their present State, as created by God, and as descended from degenerate Parent, have a natural Capacity of finding out God, and their principal Duties, and thereby of attaining to some Degree of Happiness; what are the Chief Bars, which hinder Men from using right this natural Faculty, and rendering their Reason immediately and practically sufficient for these Ends? A. The chief Bars to the right use of Reason are these,

of Attention. So that the Generality of uninftructed Men have very low Notions of God, very small Sense of Duty, and very observe Expectations of a future State.

2. Early Prejudices, vain and foolish Notions, contracted thro' a careless and evil Education. For ignorant and foolish Parents

naturally

1

n

V

t

2

ly

at

ar

be

au

to

fro

fur

oth

alfo

Au

Prin

Effic

Inv

ing

naturally lead their Children into their own

absurd Opinions.

an.

lo

he

e2-

1 to

ind

es,

Ta-

23.

en,

Od,

nts,

od,

hat

om er-

ally

ars

ant

un.

10

ob.

Vo.

nti

W

3. Sensual Appetites and Passions and worldly Business. It being difficult for Reason to
exert its Force on the side of Duty, in the
midst of the Pleasures and Business of the
World, which take up the Thoughts and
Time of most Men.

4 Especially vicious Habits and Practises. Most of the World being Superstitious in their Opinions, vicious in their Dispositions, and wicked in their Practises. Rom. i. 19, &c.

Q. Are all these, in the heathen World, strong Hindrances to Men from seeing clearly the Nature of God and of Duty, and from attending duly to a suture State of Rewards and Punishments? A. Yes.

Q. Had not the World then great need to be instructed in Matters of Religion by an authorized Messenger? A. Yes.

* Besides impersect Capacities, Men have strong Passions to combat, bad constitutional Tendencies, or such as arise from Education and Gustom, to resist: Add to these outward Temptation, Example, Sollicitations, Interest, Pleasure, Power; such Byasses require powerful Motives on the other Side, which Revelation furnisheth. Revelation bath also this Advantage, that by settling this one Point, a divine Authority, every thing else is settled of Course.

Revealed Religion differs from natural in Extent, as to Principles and Precepts; in Clearness and Cortainty; and in

Efficacy, by the Force of its Sanctions.

Yet how useful soever Revelation is, it was proper the Invention of Letters shou'd go before one designed for stand-

ing Use.

SECT. IV.

The Necessity of Christ's coming.

Because I am perswaded that Jesus Christ was sent by God to teach Men divine Truth, to call them to Holiness, and conduct them to Happiness. See Sect. I.

Q. As we have feen the great need and Usefulness of a Revelation from God in General; so is not the great Necessity of such a Messenger as Jesus Christ very apparent, if Religion must be kept up in the World, and the present and eternal Happiness of Men procured? Yes.

Q. What useful and necessary Ends did the Coming of Christ, and Preaching his Gospel

ferve? A. Principally Six.

Q. Which was the first great End of Christ's Coming? A. To lead Men into the Knowledge of the one only true God, as the Foundation of all Religion; which Knowledge was greatly obscured and almost lost. John xvii. 1—3.

Q. Were Men so sunk in Lust, asteep in a careless Inadvertency, and so sull of fearful

Fit does not appear from History, that Religion was at Each reasoned out.

Butler's Anal. p. 163.

Shuckford's Connect.

Appre-

Apprehensions, as gave their Priests an Opportunity of instilling into their Heads false Notions of a Deity; and corrupting their Worship with soolish Rites and Ceremonies? A. Yes.

Q. Tho' the wary pagan Priests owned a God Supreme; yet did those crafty Guardians of human Creeds and other Inventions, conceal him from vulgar Knowledge? A.

Yes.

d

Q. And the Jews had the Knowledge of one God; were they in some measure that up in a narrow Region of the World?
A. Yes.

Q. Was there not then great need of such a Prophet as Jesus Christ, to deliver the well-attested Doctrine of one God to the World? A. Yes.

Q. Which was the fecond End of Christ's Coming? A. To give Mankind a plain, concise, compleat, well-attested Rule, by which to govern their Spirits, Passions, Lives. Mat. v. vi.

Q. Were the Moral Philosophers, or Teachers of Morality amongst the Gentiles in the Dark, as to some great Points? A. Yes.

Q. What they did deliver, were they able

to confirm by Miracle? A. No.

Q. Is not the Voice of an Apostle, coming in the Name of God, more likely to be heard than the Voice of a Philosopher? A. Yes.

Q. And

Q. And wou'd not he who cou'd command the Winds and Seas, Devils and the Dead, be more attended to and sooner credited, than they who cou'd command only Words? A. Yes.

Q. Was not then the Method Christ took better fitted to the Bulk of Mankind, more level to the lowest Capacities, (as it was also well adapted to inlighten and satisfy the highest) than that of dry Reasoning? A. Yes.

Q. Which was the third End of Christ's Coming? A. To lay before Men, in a strong Light, the powerful Motives to Holiness, drawn from the Being and Providence of God, and a future State; as well as new Motives, drawn from the Redemption of the World, the Dominion of the Redeemer, his raising the Dead, and his judging the World. Luke xii. 4—48. Mat. xxv. John iii. 16.

Q. Are few Men attentive enough to see the internal and essential Reasonableness and Excellency of Religion and Virtue? A. Yes.

Q. Was there not need then to ingage Mens Passions on the Side of Religion, and awake their Hopes and Fears by the Doctrine of Heaven and Hell represented in the most lively Manner? A. Yes *.

Q. Was

That the most perfect Scheme of Natural Religion does not superfede, but demonstrate the Benefit of a divine Revelation

id d,

n

3

k

re

ilne

g

S,

1,

.

d

S

Q. What

Q. Was there not great Ignorance of, or great Unconcernedness about a future State, when Christ came? A. Yes.

Q. But as Seed, when quickned, cleaves its way thro' the Clods, so does the Soul afpire towards the eternal World, when clearly revealed? A. Yes.

Q. Which was the fourth End of Christ's Coming? A. To instruct the World how to worship God in an acceptable Manner. Johnstv. 24 chap. xv. 6.

velation, See Dr. Middleton's Note in the Life of Ciceros. Vol. III. p. 357. Where he fays, That from this general View of CICERO's Religion, one cannot help observing, that the most exalted State of human Reason is so far from superfeding the Use, that it demonstrates the Benefit of a more explicit Revelation: For the' the natural Law, in the Perfection to which it was carried by Cicero, might ferve for a fufficient Guide to the few, fuch as himse f, of inlarged Minds and happy Dispositions; yet it had been so long depraved and adulterated by the prevailing Errors and Vices of Mankind,, that it was not discoverable to those few, without great Pains. and Study; and cou'd not produce in them at last any thing more than a Hope, never a full Persuasion: Whilit: the greatest Part of Mankind, even of the virtuous and inquisitive, lived without the Knowledge of God, or the Expectation of a Futurity; and the Multitude, in every Country, were left to the gross Idolatry of the popular Worthip. When we reflect on all this, we must needs see abundant Reason to be thankful to God for the divine Light of biss Gofpel, which has at last revealed to Babes. what was bidden from the wife; and without the Pains of fearthings or Danger of mistaking, has given us not only the Hope, but: the Affurance of Happiness; and made us not only the I elievers, but the Heirs of Immortality.

0 33

Q. What made up the Substance of the pagan Worship? A. Stately Temples, costly Ornaments, strange and peculiar Habits, Offering of Beasts and Men, worshipping Creatures with a vast Number of Charms and idle Ceremonies.

Q. Must not Purity of Heart, a benevolent Mind, and Integrity of Life be overlooked, while Men are so much devoted to Pomp,

Show and bodily Service? A. Yes.

Q. Moreover, did the holy Tribe of pagan Priests impose on the Understandings of Men, by persuading them God was to be appealed by their Sacrifices? A. Yes.

Q. Was

2

u

6

C

b

W

th

ou

tio

tici

Fe

200

* Superstition, tho' it looks upon God as an angry Deity; yet counts him easily pleased with flattering Worship. As false Opinions of the Deity beget timerous and dreadful Approaches to him; so just Notions of God produce in Man a Nobleness and Freedom of Soul.

The Priests made it not their Business to teach Men Virtue; if they were diligent in their Observations and Cermonies, punctual in their Feasts and Solemnities, and the Tricks of Religion; the holy Tribe assured them the Gods were pleased, and they need look no farther. Few west to the Schools of the Philosophers to be instructed in their Duty, and to know what was Good and Evil in their Actions; the Priests sold the better Pennyworths, and therefore had all the Custom: For Lustrations and Sacrifices were much easier than a clean Conscience, and a steady Course of Virtue; and an expiatory Sacrifice, which atoned for the Want of it, much more convenient than a strict and holy Life.

Lock's Reas. of Christian.

Warbutton, in the divine Legation of Moses has indes-

Q. Was there not great need of Christ, and his Gospel, to cure Men of this Super-stition, and to call them off from these Vanities, to the rational Service of the true God? A. Yes.

Q. Which was the fifth End of Christ's Coming? A. To assure Men of merciful Assistance in their Opposition to Satan, the Flesh, and the World.

Q. Can the virtuous Principle in Man have greater Incouragement to oppose the Principle of Vice, than that God will be with it?

A. No. & John iv. 4. Lake xi. 9.

Q. Since the Arm of the Spirit is mighty, and his Aids fure and ready, may not every upright Man hope to be victorious in this great War? A. Yes.

Q. But shou'd we have had clear Assurances of this Assistance, had it not been given

by Jesus Christ? A. No.

5

were pure in their Original, instituted by Princes to instruct the People in the Knowledge of God and Providence and a suture State; but were in time corrupted by the Priess, and applied to unworthy Purposes. Vol. 11 B. 2. S. 4.

If any Religion ought to be kept up in the World amongst rational Creatures, the Best, the most rational and Useful ought to be admitted; If there be any better than the Christian, as to Truths, Precepts, Motives, Method of Propagation, let it be produced.

Grat. L. 1.S. 10.

The Christian Religion cannot be the Contrivance of Politicians, since by its Principles Men are taught to deny their Fear and Obedience to the mightiest Monarchs, who disown and oppose God. Nieuventis. Relig. Phil. V. 2. p. 586.

Q. Which

Q. Which was the first End of Christs Coming? A. To offer such a Sacrifice as God wou'd accept as an Atonement for Man's Transgression; and by which he might at once demonstrate the Righteousness of God, the Evil of Sin, the Desert of Sinners, and the Reconcileableness of God to the truly Penitent, Rom. iii. 24, 25, 26. Heb. ii. 10. Mat. xxvi. 28, Epb. i. 7. 1 Pet. i. 18,—20. Heb. ix. 14, 15.

Q. Is not Christ's dying on the Cross, in Obedience to the Father, a noble Instance of Virtue and rational Submission to the divine

Will? A. Yes. Luke xxi. 42.

Q. Does it not shew the World that nothing is more acceptable to God than Obedience? A. Yes. Heb. v. 8, 9. He learned (or taught) Obedience by the Things be suffered.

Q. And confequently, that nothing is more displeasing to God than Sin and Disobedience!

A. Yes.

Q. Shou'd not God's insisting on this difficult and expensive Instance of Obedience in his Son as a Condition of investing him with a Power to remit Sin, to raise the Dead, and restore lost Immortality, be a powerful Motive to lead Men to Repentance and a new Life? A. Yes. Asts v. 31, 32. Phil. ii. 5,—12, 15

Q. But does Christ's being a Sacrifice of Atonement give any Incouragement or Hope to impenitent and disobedient Sinners?

No.

Q. On the other hand, does it shew their Case

165

Case to be desperate and remediless? A.

Q. Had the Gentiles' practifed all Sorts of Sacrifices as Means to avert God's Displeafure? A. Yes.

Q. Had the Jews a Variety of God's own

appointing or approving? A. Yes.

O. Was it not proper Jesus Christ shou'd be represented as a Sacrifice for Sin, both in Correspondency to the Ideas of Jews and Gentiles, and in order every where to put an End to all Brutal Sacrifies? A. Yes. Heb. ix. 6. New once in the End of the World (of the Jewish Ages) both he appear'd to put away Sin (Sacrifices for Sin) by the Sacrifice

of bimself.

riffs

God

anf.

de.

Evil

Re.

tent.

. 28.

, 10

e of

vine

no-

be-

rned

ered.

nore

ace?

dif-

e in

with

and

cive

ife?

15. e of Hope

heir Cafe Q. From all these foregoing Considerations does it not appear highly necessary, in order to preserve Religion and promote Mens Happiness, that such an authorized Messer as Jesus Christ shou'd appear, to stirm Mens Attention; to deliver them from wrong Conceptions of God; to give them clear Rules of Duty; to set Eternity in their View, and to effer them Helps and Motives for the due Government of their Senses, Appetites and Passions? A. Yes.

SECT.

SECT. V.

The Necessity and Usefulness of Teachers of Religion, in Subordination to Jesus Christ.

Q. Is not a standing Order of Teachers very requisite more effectually to secure the Ends of Religion and Happiness A. Yes; for Men need Instruction in Religion and the way to eternal Happiness, as well as in the lower Arts relating solely to this Life.

f

ŀ

CU

ha

no

an

ter

ou an

tel

a I

Pre

the

 G_0

Re Ye

Q. But were not the Teaching of the will and virtuous Men amongst the Heathens, called Philosophers, sufficient to reform the world, without such an extraordinary Messenger as Jesus Christ? A. They had, in sal, not any considerable, much less universal success; nor were they ever likely to obtain it, if we consider how many and great were their Defects.

Q. What was their first Defect? A. That they were very few who, in earnest, set them

felves to this excellent work .

In the Eastern Nations, Job and Others. Among the Greeks, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Epictetus, &c. Among the Romans, Cicero, Seneca, &c. Amongst the Persus Zoroaster. Amongst the Indians, Confucius.

Since true moral Philosophy is a Preparation for the Reception of the Gospel; the Revival of Learning against the Coming of Christ, was an Instance of divine Wildom and Goodness.

Rapin's Crit. W. V. I. p. 426, 508.

Q. What was a focond Defect? A. That hey were much in the dark as to the Manner in which God would be acceptably wor-hipped: and how far Repentance wou'd be ccepted. They were also uncertain about he Soul's Immortality, and a future State. All which are Doctrines very necessary to a iniversal Reformation.

Q. What was a third Defect? A. They were unable clearly to explain, to the meaneft Capacity, the Things they did teach: for they discoursed of them chiefly in a dry, speculative Way; and had no moral System in

which they all agreed.

hers

ESUS

hers

fe-

nefs?

19100

ell as

Life.

Wile

, cal-

the

ffenfact,

Suc-

in it,

their

That

hem

nongit

e Reinst the

, 508:

V hat

Q. What was a fourth Defect? A. They had no fufficient Authority by which to ingage Attention, obtain Credit, and inforce the Practife of what they taught: No Miracles, no inspired Tongues, nor Courage to lose all and fuffer Death in the Cause of Truth.

Q. Had any one, or any Number, attempted to root out Idolatry and reform a vicious world, without any of this extraordinary and divine Furniture, wou'd not their Attempt have been very fruitless? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not then apparent, there wanted a Revelation from God, and an authorized Preacher of it, to recover Mankind out of

their degenerate Condition? A. Yes.

Q. Is it not agreeable to the Goodness of God, as the Father of Spirits, to make such a Revelation, and send such a Preacher? A. Yes.

O. Is

Q. Is not a flanding Order of Subordinate Teachers very necessary to render this Revelation universally and continually Useful? A. Yes.

Q. Is there any but the CHRISTIAN RE-VELATION (inclusive of the Jewish) which has any just Pretence to be esteemed a Revelation from God? A. No.

SECT. VI.

Internal MARKS of a Religion coming from God, found in the Christian Revelation.

Q. WHAT Marks or Characters must necessarily belong to a Revelation which bath God for its Author? A. The whole of it, its Dostrines, its Rules of Duty, its Motives, the Manner of Propagating it ought to be rational, agreeable to our Ideas of the wisdom and goodness of God, and conducive to reform, perfect and make happy Mankind.

Q. If we take the Christian Revelation in its original Plainness, as delivered in the Holy Scriptures, do not all these Marks appear eminently in it? A. Yes. For Instance.

I. Q. Are not the Credenda, or the Doctrines proposed in it agreeable to Reason; have they not a Tendency to amend the Minds and Lives of Men; and do they not compose an excellent System of Belief? A. Yes.

exi

perfi

and

Delu Delu

and]

and i

Q. Which are the principal Doctrines in

the Christian Revelation?

111

ıst

on

he

y,

14

eas on-

py

10

To-

ear

100-

on;

the

not

A.

0.

A. That there is one God, existent of himfelf. (1)—That there is one only begotten Son of God, in whom dwells the Fulness of all divine Attributes, except Self-Origination. (2) That there is a Holy Spirit, derived also from the Father .- That the Heavens and Earth were made by God, thro' the Operation of his Son. (3)-That about 6000 Years ago this Earth was a confused Chaos, and new formed. (4)-That God governs the World. (5)-That Man was made innocent and happy. That Sin is the Original of all Diforders. (6) That the World was drowned. (7) That God revealed himself to the Patriarchs, and gave a Law to the Fews. (8)—That God fent his Son into the World for the Redemption of Mankind;

1 This is demonstrable by the Light of Nature. 2 This and the next have no Absurdity in them.

3 No Part, or the whole, of the Heavens and Earth cou'd exist of it self.

4 This is agreeable to general Tradition, and to the O-riginal of Laws and Arts.

5 This Doctrine is agreeable to our natural Notions of an Omnipresent, All-powerful, just and good Being, who made y. Wo

6 No Doctrines are more consistent with our Ideas of a perfectly wise and happy Creator, with the Nature of Sin, and with the State of the World.

7 The History of all Nations backward terminate in a Deluge. There are plain Marks of it to this Day. Trees

and Fish are found deep in the Ground.

8 This is agreeable to the State of Things at that Day; and is proved to be Fact by all the Old Testament History.

O This

Mankind; has fet up a Kingdom under him, calls Men into it, offers them Pardon and Affistance. (9)—That God will Judge Mankind by Jesus Christ. (10)—That the Body will be raised, and Men be happy or miserable, according to their Behaviour here. (11)

O. Have

il

11

b

It

je

to

A

it

A

urg

W2

Go

the

rec

OUL

ane

tich

the

o This was highly reasonable, and becoming God, as to every Branch of Christ's Work and Office, a Teacher, Sacrifice, Ruler. Of the Truth of the History of Jesus Christ there are unquastionable Testimonics. The Dignity of the Person is the chief Objection; but the Wisdom of God, who chose him, saw not sit to commit so important an Undertaking to any inferior Being.

vernment of God, and to keep up Religion amongst Mes.

And the Son of God is an unexceptionable Judge.

After all this Evidence, noby Bou'd it be thought

The Doctrine of the Resurrection is not contrary to

Reason; tho' it is not discoverable by it.

All Objections against the Certainty and Possibility of the Resurrection of the Dead are sufficiently remov'd by our Lord's general Answer, Mat. xxii. 29. Ye do err, not knowing the Scriptures, nor the Power of God. The Power of God, the Extent of which no Creature knows, is a Bar to all Pleas of Impossibility. And the Scriptures, blessed be God

for them, determine the Certainty of the Thing.

There were some Discoveries of this Doctrine made in former Ages, as appears from Exad. iii. 6. compard with Mat. xxii. 32. Heb. xi. 9-16. Deut. xxxii. 39. Job xix. 25. Exek. 37. Dan. xii. 2. 2 Maccab. 7. In the New Testament it is spoke of as a Doctrine known and believed. Luke xiv. 14. John xi. 24. chap. v. 29. Als xxiv. 15. chap. xxvi. 6. 8: The Facts recorded in the Old and New Testament help to confirm the Doctrine of a suture general Resurrection, and make it exceeding credible. 1 Kings xvii. 17—2 Kings iv. 18—27. Mark 5. 22. Luke vii. 11. John xi. 39. Als ix. 40. To which may be added, that our Lord is risen as the First Fruit of the suture Resurrection of

Q. Have not all these Doctrines a visible Tendency to reform the World from Idolatry and Wickedness; to give Men just Notions of God and of Sin; and to govern it by eternal Hopes and Fears? A. Yes.

P 2 Q. And

the Saints. After all this Evidence, why shou'd it be thought a Thing incredible, that God will raise the Dead? Is raising and restoring the Body any more incredible than forming it at first? Or, is the Reunion of Soul and Body any more incredible than uniting them at first? Cannot He, the Almighty He, who has done the One, also do the Other?

If it be objected; " that the Resurrection of the Body will be Useless, if not disadvantageous; that the Soul can need no bodily Organs, and will only be incumbered by them." It is sufficient to answer. How do the presumptuous Objectors know this? Certainly we are so much Strangers. to the World of Spirits, and their Mode of Perception and Action, as to be very incompetent Judges in this Affair. As the Body may, so it is reasonable to Judge, that when it becomes a spiritual, incorruptible Body, it will be an Advantage to both the Sensations and Operations of the Soul. And fince the Resurrection of the Dead to Life eternal is urged as a Motive to Holiness, and one of the future Rewards; we may be fure, from the Wisdom and Goodness of God, that the Resurrection of the Body will contribute to the Soul's future Happiness, tho' we are unable to explain in what Manner it will do so.

The Objection taken from want of Parents at the Resurrection is frivolous; for it is God (not they) who forms our Bodies at first, and the same great Parent can form them anew.

As to the Objection drawn from the smallness of the Particles of Matter, their Attrition, Dispersion, Union with other Bodies; it is sufficient to reply, That He who can make the Particles of Light, after various Mixtures and Refractions, to paint on the Eye, or represent to the Soul, an ex-

at 1

be ac-

ım.

and

ind

as to

ave

hrift f the who der-

Go. Ien.

the our

of r to God

in vich xix. l'ef-

ak! cl-Ro

Revii. obs

n of the

Q. And do not all these Doctrines laid together make up a rational, consistent Scheme of Belief? A. Yes.

Q. Was such a Scheme ever proposed to the World by any but Jesus Christ? A.

II. Q.

q

21

P

ra

YC

th

ed

or

qua

Exi

imi

-3

that

fam

COM

und

of A

do

2 po

11 13

tive

from

It

in it,

itab i

WHD

Aft Image of a Person; He who directs every Particle of Matter to its proper Plant; He who gives Men Skill to separate Metals and Liquors after the most compounded Mixtures; He can setch out of the Mass of Matter the Particles essential to every human Body, after ever so many

and intricate Compositions.

The Objection from imperfect or emaciated Bodies, may be answered by diffinguishing the Essential from the Additional Parts; or the original Stamen, Seed and Principle, from the grosser Parts which only fill it up. These Latter may be incorporated with other Bodies, while God keeps the Former distinct, and in a Capacity of being recollected; so that every Soul shall have its own Body, or what was its effential Vehicle, Habitation or Organ.

See Niewentit's Relig. Phil. V. III. p. 1025.

As the Manner of the Resurrection is not revealed, we do not pretend to explain it; but only to shew that a Resurrection is not impossible, or carrieth in it no Contradic-

tion.

The Objection which St. Paul States i Cor. xv. 35. St. Paul himself has answered. The Identity of the same Plant, or animal Body, is secured by the Identity of the original Stamen or Seed, which continues the same in all Growths or Declines. But personal Identity is secured by the same conscious Soul's inhabiting and actuating any System of Organized Matter, the that System be not numerically the same the Soul was once united to, according to Mr. Lock. But Dr. Butler observes, that all Attempts to define personal Identity wou'd but perplex it; as in the Cases of Similitude and Equality. Yet there is no Difficulty in ascertaining the Idea; for as upon two Triangles being compared, or view.

II. 2. Are not the Agenda, or practical Duties which the Christian Revelation requires agreeable to our natural Notions of God, nost perfective of our Natures, and conducive to our Happiness? A. Yes.

2. Must it not then be a System of the wisest and best Precepts that was ever taught? A.

Yes.

10

to

A.

Q.

of

fe-

lix-

any

nay Ad-

ple,

Lat-

ceps

ect-

hat

225.

, we

Re-

dic-

St

fame

the

all

d by

ftem

ically

ocke.

- fone

litud

g the

VICW-

ci

Q. What is the Summary of the Christian Precepts? A. Gratitude, Love, Fear, Adoration, Resignation, Trust, Submission, Devotedness towards God; and returning to him thro' a Mediator * Justice, Equity, Truth,

ed together, there arises to the Mind the Idea of Similitude of Lixenes; or upon twice two and four, the Idea of Equality; so on the Consciousnesses of ones self, or ones own Existence, in any two Moments, being compared, there immediately arises in the Mind the Idea of personal Identity;—and shews also that, That which is my self now, and that which was my self in any Time past, is one and the same self. Identity or the sameness of a Plant is said to consist in a Continuation of the same Life communicated, under the same Organization, to a number of the Particles of Matter, whether the same or not. This Sameness may do for the Purposes of Property, and the Uses of Life, in a popular Sense; but if every Particle of Matter is changed, it is not the same in a Philosophical Sense.

Butler's Anga! p. 301. 303.

* Going to God by Christ, is not a natural, but a postive Duty; yet Regards due to the Son and the Spirit airle

from their real Relations to us, however discovered.

Butler's Anal p. 152.

It shou'd seem, by the little which has nither o been done in it, that it is too hard a Task for unaffished Reason to establish Morality in all its Parts upon its true Foundation, with a clear and convincing Light.

Let's meaf. of Christ. V 2. 575.

P 3

Charity

Charity, Reconciliation towards Man. Sobriety, Temperance, Patience, Contentment in our selves, with a Preference of the Soul to the Body, and a constant Regard to Immortality.

2. Are not all these highly reasonable in themselves, perfective of our Natures, and of a peaceful and blessed Tendency? A. Yes.

Q. Is not this effential Goodness of Christianity an intrinsick, powerful Evidence of its being divine? A. Yes; for no evil Beings cou'd be the Authors of a Constitution altogether holy and good.

2. But of what Use are the Positive Infitutions of Jesus Christ? A. They are apt Means to keep Men steady to the Practise of

the great moral Duties *.

Q. If they are subservient to Religion and Happiness, is not the Appointing them an Instance of the Wisdom and Goodness of God? A. Yes.

Q. If the positive Precepts are given for the good of Men, the better to secure their Obedience to the eternal Laws of Righteousness, is it not unjust and impious to charge God as acting in this Case merely to manifest his absolute Dominion and Soveraignty? A. Yes.

Connyb. p. 216.

Q. And

hit

A.

ar

tja

th

na

ce

an

0

CO

ju

po

to

C

D

PG

ed

th

he

fe

of

is

^{*} Positive Institutions, considered as Marks of God's Authority, and our Dependance; as Determinations of tomething proper to be determined, in the Exercise of our outward Piety; as Means whereby inward Religion may be cultivated and improved, are not slight Matters.

ri-

in

the y.

In

of

S.

tia.

be-

u'd

ner

In-

apt

ot

In-

d?

for eir

uf-

ge ni-

5

Au-

ing ty;

and

16.

10

2. And is it not unjust to charge Christianity with giving this Representation of God? A. Yes.

III. 2, Are not the Motives by which Men are persuaded and incouraged, in the Christian Revelation, to be religious, suitable to the Wisdom of God, and answerable to the natural Expectations of Men? A. Yes.

Q. What are these Motives? A. The Acceptableness of true Repentance, and Assurance of Pardon upon such Repentance. The Offers of divine, supernatural Assistance to conquer and cure Mens Heedlessness, Prejudices, Passions, Lusts, Blindness. The Proposal of everlasting Rewards and Punishments to the Obedient and Disobedient.

IV. Q. Is not the Manner in which the Christian Revelation recommends Virtue and Duty, and in which it orders Religion to be propagated consonant to Reason, worthy of God, and suitable to the Faculties of Man? A. Yes.

Q. By what Instances does this appear of A. The Duties are fully and largely explained; they are inculcated with Weight and Authority; and are exemplified in the Lives of holy Persons. The Motive: are plainly, affectionarely and livelily described. The Means of atoning for Sin are clearly exhibited: Sin is exposed in its Desormity and Malignity; Holiness

tific

gio feig

Wo

the

gar

mot

on

vi.

of

dif

20

eft

22

36

St

th

th

ch

th

CI

li

to

K

il

F

Holiness is shewed to be beautiful and necessary. Christian Worsbippers are gathered into Societies, and brought under solemn Bonds. Sensible Assurances of Pardon are afforded; and Christians are united to God, and to each other by faderal Rites. A Succession of Passors is settled, for all the Purposes of Religion, that the meanest Class, and the latest Generation may not be without Help in their greatest Interests.

Q. In what Manner doth the Christian Revelation not permit the Pastors and Teachers it appoints to propagate its Doctrines and Precepts, or Faith and Obedience? A. Not by Cruelty and Force of Arms; which may tempt Men to be Hypocrites, but can never convince the Judgment. 2 Cor. x. 4. Not by Frauds, Deceits and Impostures, which are sit only to support a Lie, not the Truth of God. 2 Cor. ii. 17. 2 Pet. ii. 16. Not by the Power of a blind implicit Faith, or taking Doctrines on Trust in the Word of others only, without Examination. Col. ii. 2. 1 John iv. 1.

Q. How are Ministers and Teachers to spread and propagate Religion? A. By such Methods as are suitable to the rational Nature of Man, and to the Temper and Genius of a divine Religion. Particularly, by Purity (in the Professors of it;) by Knowledge (and thorough Acquaintance with it;) by Long-suffering and Kindness (even to the Enemies of it;) by the Holy Ghost (who inlightens, sanctifies

eces.

in-

nds.

her

5 18

hat

tion

teft

Re-

ers

and

Vot

nay

ver

by

fic

bc

rer

to ch a- ds

tifies and comforts Souls by the Gospel Religion) or by Holiness of Spirit, by Love unfeigned (in all the Entertainers of it;) by the Word of Truth (preach'd and pressed;) by the Power of God (whose miraculous Arm gave it Evidence and Support;) by the Armour of Righteousness (unblemished Integrity) on the right Hand and on the Lest. 2 Cor. vi. 7.

Q. What is a considerable Commendation of these Means and Methods, as well as a distinct Proof of the Truth of Christianity?

A. 1. That by these Means it was spread and planted, against all the publick, professed, established Religions in the World. Acts xxviii. 22.-without the Force of Arms. John xviii. 36.—and without the Laws of Princes and States to incourage it, or to make it Mens worldly Interest to profess it. 2. That by these Means it was spread and planted,tho' it brought strange Doctrines with it, as that Sinners must be pardoned and saved thro' the Sacrifice, Merits and Power of a Person crucified at Jerusalem. Acts xvii. 18, 22. 1 Cor. i. 18, 21.—tho' it contained Rules of Holiness, Humility, Patience, Self-denial contrary to the Inclinations of Flesh and Blood. Tit. ii. 11. Mat. v. vi. vii. Col. iii. 2-16. Gal. v. 16. Rom. viii. 5-14.—tho' preach'd by Persons, in all outward Appearance very Mean; without Birth, Education, Learning, Wealth, Power, Interest, Gredit. 2 Cor. iv. 7. Acts xiv. 13. 1 Cor. iv. 11—. tho' it exposed the Enter. tainers of it to all manner of Reproaches and Sufferings. Alls xix. 23. 1 Cor. iv. 9. 2 Cor.

Vi. 4.

Q. Does not all this shew that Christianity is worthy of God, and highly useful to Men; that therefore from a Principle of Self-interest, as well as Gratitude to God, Men are under Obligation to receive it; for its effential intrinsick Goodness? A. Yes.

Q. Are not its effential Goodness, and its first Success, without any secular Advantages, and against all possible Opposition, strong Presumptions in its Favour; that Christianity came from God? A. Yes. See Sect. VIII.

SECT. VII.

Positive Proofs of the Truth and divine Original of the Christian Religion.

Pency of Reason, in the corrupted State of the World as a Guide to Religion and Happiness; or, the Necessity and Usefulness of Revelation, and in particular of the Christian Revelation; and that all the internal Marks of a Revelation from God belong to that made by Jesus Christ; besides these Presumptions on its Side, have we plain, positive Proofs of the divine Mission of Jesus Christ, or of the divine Original of Christianity? A. Yes.

Q. In

ftr erm ard

Q

rov e a

191

nire Per nd sked

ck

Vorl

vholou'd T ERS

rem ttef

Men his V y a ther

fore

C

ore

nter-

s and

tiani-

11 10

Self.

Men

s ef-

its

ges,

Pre-

nity

III,

280

ci-

ate

nd

ess

if-

al

to

e-

De

t,

Q. In case these Proofs amounted only to strong Probability, is it not enough to decrimine us to be Christians? A. Yes, in reard, by becoming Christians, if Christianity rove false, we lose Nothing; if found true, we are infinite Gamers.

Q. By what short and clear Method may be prove the divine Original of Christianity?

By these Six Propositions following

ential Goodnels, and its

I. That

The Proofs of the Truth of the Christian Religion remire the fewest Postulata, namely, "That there was such Person as Jesus Christ; that he pretended to do such Things, and preached such Doctrines." Which yet need not be sted; for, what the Histories of that Age reported as a publick Affair; as one of the most eminent Transactions of the World; which made so much Noise; caused so many Changes; occasioned so many Wars; divided so many Hearts; lettered so many Families; procured so many Deaths; was bolong in acting; so much opposed; was the Question of the whole World; and was consigned by publick Records, &c. ou'd not want Truth in Point of Fast and Story.

The Question is, was Jesus Christ from God? As to his Person; He was describ'd beforehand by such Characterisms is did see him; and never did sit any but him. He wro't such Works as none eise ever did; in particular, He rose from the Dead. Now if the Reports of credible Men, who these tracts, must not be trusted, there is not lest any sual Instrument whereby God cou'd, after the Manner of Men, declare his Will to us; but either we must never known is Will; or God must tell it not once, but always; and not only always to some Men, but always to all Men. And then

there wou'd be no Ute of History and Honesty.

Christianity paints the Devil in the worst Characters, and overthrows his Worship and Kingdom; it cou'd not therefore come from him.

Tho' Christ was Prince of Judea, in Right of his Mo-

I. That about 1700 Years ago, there was fuch a Person as Jesus Christ in the World, who lived in the Land of Canaan, and died at Jerusalem.

hi

Pr

ph

Ch

act

An

cie

con

po

for of of

vel

Hi

his

and

Aff

(

to

A.

fuc

wh

30

Gen

(

II. That Jesus Christ wrought many and great Miracles amongst Multitudes of People to prove his Mission from God, and the Truth

of his Doctrine. and but distantal firms

III. That God never wou'd have suffered Jesus Christ to have wrought these Miracles, if he had been an Impostor, a Teacher of Lies and Untruths, or the Author of a false Religion: much less wou'd he have raise

ther; and of all the World in Right of his Father; yet he was obedient to Laws, and aimed at no earthly Advantage

By his Death he represented what Way his Followers multiple expect to be happy and enter Heaven, namely, thro' Sufficients. He proposed no worldly Ends to his Disciples. He of whom these Things are true, must be more than Must He must be what he professed to be.

As to his DOCTRINE, it was as divine as his Person That it is honestly transmitted, our chief Trust is in the Wisdom and Goodness of Providence.—It wou'd be Impudent and Folly to send a Footman to command Casar to lay down Arms, and disband his Troops; but the holy Jesu

made invisible Powers do him visible Honour,

Moreover, without Wisdom, Power, Courage, Caution the Apostles cou'd never have acted as they did; they had none of their own proportionable to the Effects produced therefore they were thus qualified by God.—When Prince favoured them, the World came in, because the Christian lived bolily; when Princes were incensed, the World came in because the Christians died bravely.

As to the Jews, God has now nothing to do with them

in Matters of their particular Religion.

Jer. Taylor of the Christ. Rel

him from the Dead, as we have abundant Proof he did.

IV. The exact Completion of all the Prophecies of the Old Testament concerning Christ; or his sustaining every antient Character under which the Messiah is describ'd. And the Accomplishment of those Prophecies Christ himself and his Apostles delivered concerning sustare Events, carry in them irressible Evidence of a divine Hand.

V. Vast Numbers in the Days of the Apostles, and in every following Age, have died
for Christ, and shed their Blood in the Cause
of Christianity; many of whom were Men

of Learning, Judgment and Prudence.

VI. The New Testament contains the Revelation God made by Jesus Christ, and the History of the great Things he did to prove his divine Mission. It is a credible History; and was wrote by Men who had extraordinary Assistance or Inspiration from God.

The First Branch of Proof.

Q. Which is the first Proposition in order to prove the divine Original of Christianity. A. That about 1700 Years ago, there was such a Person as Jasus Christ in the World, who lived in the Land of Canaan, and died at Jerusalem.

Q. Do not Friends and Enemies, Jews and

Gentiles confess this Fact? A. Yes.

Q. Is it possible, in the nature of Things,

and ople.

Was rld.

ruth

cles,

falle

et he stages mus

uffer He Man

erion n the

Jesus Jesus

y had luced rince

riftian me in

them

. Rel

that it cou'd have been universally believ'd, if it had not been true? A. No; for there cou'd not be any Cause of such a universal Belief, but the real Existence of Jesus Christ; and no Effect can be produced without a proportionable Cause.

k

A

ſe

th

W

m

In

ot

th

tr

fo

in

to

not

Qm

MU

gai

Q. May we not then be as fure there was fuch a Person as Jesus Christ, as if a thousand credible Witnesses shou'd affirm, upon Oath,

that they faw him? A. Yes.

Q. By what Instance can you illustrate this Point? A. It cou'd never have been believed all over Britain, and Europe, that there were such Men as King Henry VIII. Oliver Cromwel, or Lewis XIV, if there had never been such Men.

Q. Is it any Objection to this, that Christ has not been seen for many Ages? A. No; for no more have Moses, Julius Casar, Mabemet; yet none deny that these Men once lived. Thousands never see their own King, who yet never doubt of his Existence.

The Second Branch of Proof.

Q. Which is the fecond Proposition in order to prove the divine Original of Christianity? A. That Jesus Christ wrought many and great Miracles amongst Multitudes of People, to prove his Mission from God, and the Truth of his Doctrine.

Q. Since it has been already shewn, that the Doctrines of Christ are reasonable in themselves, ,d,

ere

Be-

ift;

ro-

was

and

ch,

his

red

ere

m-

en

rift

0;

bo-

d.

ho

160

2-

19

0-

10

at

1-

5,

felves, and conducive to the Happiness of Mankind (Sect. VI.) are they not therefore capable of coming from God? A. Yes.

Q. Are they not also of Worth and Importance enough to justify God in sending a Messenger to teach them to the World, and to persuade Men to believe and obey them? A. Yes.

Q. How did Jesus Christ prove he was sent from God, to preach these Truths to Men? A. By Miracles.

Q. What is a Miracle? A. A Miracle, in the Theological Sense, taking in the End for which it is wrought, is a Work effected in a Manner unusual, or different from the common and regular Method of Providence, by the Intervention either of God himself, or of some other intelligent Agent superior to Man, for the Proof or Evidence of some particular Doctrine, or in Attestation to the Authority of some particular Person *.

* As to the Nature of Miracles observe.

1. Miracles are not to be defined by any absolute Difficulty in the Nature of the thing. All things being equally easy to God.

2. Nor are they to be defined by such an Effect as cou'd not have been produced by any less Power than the divine Omnipotence; since we know not what Power God has communicated to created Beings.

3. Nor are Miracles to be defined by that which is against the Course of Nature, meaning thereby the natural Power of created Beings. To stop the Sun, is no more against the Course of Nature in this Sonse, than to continue

The Christian Catechism.

Q. What was the Manner, and what were the Circumstances and Characters of Christ's Miracles? A. They were wrought publickly, in great Towns; and before Mulcitudes, Enemies as well as Friends; clearly, without any Artifice or Cover; they were Great in their Nature; Merciful in their Intention;

its Motion. But if by the Course of Nature be meant (as it usually fignifies) the constant uniform Manner of God's Adding, a Miracle is contrary to it by the Interposition of some intelligent Agent superior to Man. But it is hard so discover from the Work it self (unless so singular one as Raising from the Dead) whether it be done by the Interposition of a good or evil Spirit.

God and good Spirits can produce. For there is no Reade to suppose the Wooders attributed in Scripture to evil Spirit, to be mere Practigia, Sleights or Delutions, Impetition of the Senses, and not real Effects: Since thus to impose up the Senses is to all Intents as true and great a Miracle; is

making real changes in the Things themselves. vido and I

When Miracles are not opposed by a Power plainly soperior; nor brought to attest a Doctrine either contradictors in it self, or vicious in its Tendency and Consequences; then the Doctrine so attested must be looked on as divine, and the Worker of the Miracles is to be entertained as having infallibly a Commission from God.

Observe 1. Miracles, to the Disciples who saw them, were fensible Demonstrations of our Lord's divine Commission.

2. To those who have lived fince that Age, they are as certain Demonstrations of the same Truth, as the Testimony of those first Driciples, who were Eye winnesses of them, is certain and true.

These two Propositions show the Nature of the Evidence atissing from Miracles.

See Dr. Sykes concerning the Credibility of Mirac'es and Revelation.

Various

V

fe

Po

38

fuc acl as

no

Po

fel

lef

fu

of

G

po

do

in

N

hi

M

CI

ar

W

fo

ba

ve in

It.

M

5.

rere

ick.

des.

out

ons

(as it

Ad.

Come

dif.

ufin

D of

only aton

elig.

Si.

hen

the

afli-

rert

25

y of

oer-

DCC

ind

115

Various in their Kinds; Lasting in their Effects; Uncontrouled by any opposite or higher Power; Immediate in their Operation

Q 3 Which

* There will be such a manifest Plainness and Sincerity, fuch a Freedom and Openels of Behaviour in a good Man acting under a divine Influence, and fure of divine Affiftance, as cannot be found in the Tricks of an Impostor. But I do not apprehend, that fuch things as feem most to exceed the Powers of human Nature, done by any Person, are, in themselves, a sufficient Testimony that he is fent of God; unless they can be proved to exceed the Power of other Beings superior to us, and to be done by the immediate laterposition of God himself. Nor is it inconsistent with the divine Goodness to permit Things to happen which may prove a powerful Temptation to Men to forsake the Truth and believe an Imposture. But it is not consistent with God's Wifdom or Goodness to be Himself the Instrument of confirming any false Pretences to divine Authority and Inspiration. Nor with the Character of any good Being whatfoever to lend his Affiltance for the support of an Imposture. 23 4 421828 3.1.

The only End and Use of Miracles, when wrought by the Assistance of God, or good Spirits, is to confirm a Person's Mission from God. They are not designed to prove the Principles of natural Religion,—Since Revelations and Miracles are to be judged of by these Dictates: Yet they may be wrought to recover in Men a Sense of these Principles.

The Evidence that Christ wrought Miracio is the fame at for his Being, Preaching and Dying on a Cross.

RULES of judging by whom Miracles are performed.

I. As to the Works. 1. The Things must be rought

I. As to the Works. 1. The Things must be possible, which excludes Transubstantiation. 2. They must be probable or Credible, which cuts off Mabonet's Journey to Heaven. A Thing is then only incredible, when it is in itself impossible; or when no just Reason can be assigned for doing it. 3. They must be consistent with God's Perfections of Wisdom and Goodness. 4. Open in the Eye of the World 5. Number is an Advantage to their Force. 6. Conveying

M: Ye

ai

vii

ba

con

200

Chi

mi

be

cle

Pro

Co

wh

has

by.

Go

Do

trai

in 1

Ma

Or :

infe

for

ten

O. Which were some of the eminent Mills racks wrought by Jesus Christ, in this Manner, and with these Circumstances, to prow his Mission from God? A. He changes Water into Wine at a publick Marriage Feast. John ii. When he was at Cana, a second time, he heals a Nobleman's Son at Capernoum by a word. John iv. 43, &c. He cures a Man

the Power to others adds great Strength to the Proof. 7.

styll six doe low depend on the steel he sause shelf

II. As to Persons, they must be, 1. Men in their Senses

2. Good Men. 3. Uniform in their Messages.

III. As to their ENDS. 1. Miracles cannot be wrought to overthrow natural Religion. 2. Nor to introduce a falle Object of Worship. 3. Nor to contradict a former Revelation. The proper End is, to lead Men into just Sentiments of God; to direct them how to worship him; to recover Men from Ignorance; to reform them from Vice; and to lead them to

Virtue, Goodness, Happiness.

Objection. Is it good Reasoning to prove Miracles to be wrought of God, by appealing to the Doctrines or Endrand then to prove the Doctrines, or justify the Ends, by appealing to the Miracles? Answ. The very Doing of a Miracle argues the Assistance of some superior Agent; and the End discovers the Nature and Disposition of that Being by whose Assistance it is performed. The Doctrines prove the Assistance of a superior Power; but whether the Assistance is given by a good or a bad one. The Miracles prove not the Goodness of the Doctrine, but that he who preaches the good Doctrine, so consirmed, acts by an Authority superior to his own. Neither of them separately prove the divine Mission; but where both concur, they certainly prove this Proposition, That such a Person acts by the Authority of some superior, good and powerful Agent.

Christ is to be confidered, I. As a Prophet fent from God. And the grand Characters of his divine Mission were his excellent

The Christian Catechife

Wi.

DVO

Va.

aft.

na-

S a

1an

ales.

ralle

ela-

ts of

n to

be

dr,

日本出出の日

nce

the

the

riot

rine

this

of

od.

ex-

cal:

Man who had been a Cripple chirty eight Years. John v. 1—. He heals a Roman Capain's Servant of a Palfy by a Word. He raifeth from the Dead a Widow's only Son, amidit a great Cooud, as he was

cellent Doctrines, confirmed by wonderful Works, proper acconvince all Persons, and not peculiar to the Jews.

II. As the Prophet (or the Melliah) antiently promited and expected by the Jewish Nation. And the Truth of Christianity does now depend on both these, because Christ claimed this double Character.

As God had promised to send a great Teacher, and there might be many Presenders to the Office; it was recessary he should be distinguished. He was so, especially by Minecles, which I. Were the Evidence of the Mission of the autient Prophets. 2. Were a quick Evidence, and wrought speedy Conviction. 3. Were an Evidence suited to all Capacities.

Brailey's View of Christianty.

I. Nothing is more reasonable than to believe Things, when God, has revealed them.

II. Nothing is more reasonable than to believe that God has revealed them, when they are taught and delivered to us by a Person indued with such Powers and Authority from God as Jesus Chaif showed.

III. Nothing is more reasonable than to believe that such Doctrines were saught by J.Jus Christ, when they have been transmitted down and delivered to us in the Way and Manner in which his have.

Buffer's Scheme of the Sciences, in Repub of Letters

March 1730.

Had any Francis been detected in the Miracles of Christ, or his Apostles, the Jews would have published Books to inform the World of it. But no such Books were published for the later Jews never quote or refer to any such written Testimonies; nor do the Apostles ever preach or write against any such Books; which, had they been extant, they would have done, as their Cause required it.

carrying:

Tr

an

wa

Gr

tef

vē

11

denit

er

刊 语 岩

du

101

De

H

th

ge

M

No

for

carrying to his Grave. Luke vii. 1144 He calms a Tempest. Mark iv. 35- He cures Madman, and permits the Demons to enterin to the Swine. Mat. viii. 28. He raifeth from the Dead the Daughter of Jairus, a Rulero the Tewis Synagogue. Luke viii 45- He cureth an incurable Flux. Luke viii. 43. He feeds at one Time five thousand, at another Time four thousand Men, besides Women and Children, with a few Loaves and Fishes. Mari vi. 30. Chap. viii. 1 -. He cures a Man born blind. John ix. He heals a Woman who had been bowed together eighteen Years. Luk xiii. 10. He raiseth Lazarus from the Dead amidst Numbers of Friends and Enemies, alter he had been feveral Days dead and buried Tobn xi. He struck down the Guards who came to feize him; and healed Malebus's Earl Mat. xxvi. 51. Luke xxii. 51. John xviii. 6. He communicated a Power of working Miracles to his Disciples. Mat. x. Luke x.

Q. What were some of the miraculous Appearances of God, in behalf of Jesus Christ to attest his divine Mission? A. God orders a Herald, who was miraculously born, to proclaim his Approach. He causeth a Virgus to conceive him. He sends Angels with Messages concerning him, to Zechariah, to the Virgin Mary, to Joseph, to the Shepherds, to the Arabian Philosophers. Mat. i. and ii. Luke i. and ii. God owneth him by a Voice from Heaven. Mat. iii. And by a glorious Trans-

Transfiguration, and the Appearance of Mofes and Elias. Mat. wii. At his Execution these was amazing Darkness, with Earthquakes; Graves opened and the Dead arole. Angels testify his Resurrection and Ascention to Heaven. Mat. xxvii, and xxviii. Lute xxiii. John tx. Alls i.

has need the Third Braneb of Proof. I stail

Q. Which is the shird Proposition in order to prove the divine Original of Christienity? A. That God wou'd never have suffered Jesus Christ to have wrought these Miracles, if he had been an Impotor, a Teach or of Lies and Untruths, or the Author of a last Religion. Much less wou'd he have sailed him from the Dead, as we have a bundant Proof he did.

Q. Were Christ's miraculous Works produced by any natural Efficiency? A. No; for there was no Power in a Word or Touch to heal Difeases, open blind Eyes, raise the

Dead.

He

res a

rin

erof

He He

ther

and

Mark

TOC

had Luke

ead,

af.

ied.

Car

6.

rae

Ap

rift,

iers

org-

gu

les-

the

ds,

1 11.

ous

DS-

Q. Cou'd they be the Product of any for the Lows in the Creation? A. No; for they were wrought at the Will of a free A. gent, upon sudden Occasions, to prove his Mission from God.

O. Cou'd they be jaggling Delusions? A. No; for they were done by open Day; before the Learned; in the Sight of Enemics years

Hi

rd

ot

in

ent

(

he

e

(

ne

es

ro (

he

(

to

nti

or

LUL

fai

(

he he

Age eci

he

(

inc

very powerful; they were oft repeated, and

their Effects were permanent.

Q. Cou'd they be wrought by evil Spirits? A. No; for they were wrought by a Perfor of eminent Virtue, to confirm a Doctrine which describes evil Spirits in most odious Characters, and which condemns them and their Works.

Q. Wou'd Evil Spirits thus uniformly and Readily promote Holiness and Virtue, and overthrow their own Credit and Empire!

A. No.

Q. Or, wou'd God fuffer good Men to be fo unavoidably and perpetually imposed on Q. May not Miracles be confidered as God,

the King of Heaven's Great Seal? A. Yes

Q. Wou'd God do, what no wife and honest King will ever do, fet his Seal to confirm known Lie; or impower an Impostor to ches the World with Falsehoods? A. No.

Q. If God shou'd act thus, wou'd not up right People, who fought the Truth, be necessarily imposed on and deceived in Matters of the greatest Moment, without a Remedy, or any Means of discovering the Abuse? A. Yes.

Q. But is it consistent with the Wisdom, Justice and Goodness of God thus to act? A. No.

Q. Therefore, when Jesus Christ wrought, and inabled his Apostles to work a Course of Miracles rits

erfoa

trine dious

end

and and

oire!

o be d on

4710 God,

Yes

neft

m a heat

up.

be

Re.

Λ-

of

les

firacles to prove his Mission from God, in rder to publish a new Revelation; have we ot all the Reason in the World to receive im as a commissioned Officer, or a Teacher ent from God? A. Yes. which determine the first in the second

The Resurrection of Christ proved.

O. Moreover, did Jesus Christ rise from he Dead; and rife at the Time he foretold e wou'd rife? A. Yes.

Q. Is not this One, (had we no other) an ncontestible Proof of his divine Mission? A. les. Acts i. 3. Rom. i. 4. 1 Cor. xv. 13 -.

Q. Did any Impostor or Enthusiast ever rise rom the Dead? A. No.

Q. Cou'd any but God raise up Christ from he Dead? A. No.

Q. Is not the Proof, that Christ did rife om the Dead, as strong and clear as of any ntient Facts? A. Yes.

Q. Where is the Evidence of this Fact reorded? A. In the Books of Matthew, Mark, uke, John; in the Epistles of Paul, Peter, fat fames, Jude, and in the Revelations.

O. Are not these Books, which have stood he Trial of all Ages, as good Evidence as if he Authors of 'em had existed thro' every om, age, retained an exact Memory of the Things ecorded, and bore a living Attestation to hem? A. Yes.

ht, Q What must, if attended to, fully conince Men that the Apostles gave undeniable Proof

fo

50 T

Wi

W de

211 T

ev R

th

B

A

C

in fu

T

tl

re

al is

ar fo

Pr

Proof of Christ's Referrection? A. That the Report of it, both by their Presching an Writing obtained Gredit in the World, mongh all Sores of Men, at all Times, eve as foon as published; and at all Places, eve at Jerusalem, where Christ had been, a fe Weeks before, publickly crucified.

Q. Is it improbable the Apostles cou'd han gained one Convert, if they had not give fufficient Proof of fo great and extraordina

an Event? A. Yes

. O. What was the Evidence the Apofle gave, that Jesus, their Master, role from the Dead? A. They faw him alive with the own Eyes; converted freely and frequent With him, by hearing him talk, and talking to him; they knew his Face and Voice; the eat and drank with him; and knew his Wa at Prayer; they felt his Body, and taw the Marks of his Crucifixion. He was feen b Women, by Men, at various Times, in d vers Places. Angels celtify his Refurrection

* Q. Are Men wicked Impostors and Cheats for N thing! A No modern and

Q. Did the Apostles get any thing; Honour, Cited Wealth, or any worldly Advantage, by publishing the De

trine of Christ's Resurrection? A. No.

Q. Wou'd the Apostles (and others) have forfaken the old Religion, in which they were Safe; and have imbred and propagated Christ's, with the utmost Danger, if they ha not believ'd it true? A. No.

Q. But cou'd they have believed it true, if he had faile

in his Promife of Rifing again? A. No.

500

fo do Soldiers, the Jews own Guards. Above 500 Persons saw him ascend towards Heaven. The Apostles were indued by Jesus Christ, with many miraculous Powers and Gifts; they were inabled to speak all Languages, to understand all Scriptures, to cure all Diseases, and to raife the Dead. They also sealed the Truth of their Testimony with their Blood.

Q. Is not this Evidence sufficient to satisfy every ferious Inquirer of the Truth of Christ's

Refurrection? A. Yes.

d,

eve

eve

fe

han

give

inar

n t

the

end

lking

the Wa

di

tion

r No

Credit é Dos

the

brace ey ha

faile

10

Q. Is there any Room to question, whether the Records of these Facts, in the several Books of the New Testament, are Genuine? A. No; for a Chain of subsequent Facts, the Conversion of Towns and Countries, the Planting of Churches upon this Principle, the Refurrection of Jesus Christ, confirm both the Truth of the Fact, and the Genuineness of the Records *.

Q. It sufficient Evidence of Christ's Resurrection was given at first; is that Evidence at all weakned by length of Time? A. No; it is rather strengthned, as it has stood the Examination of every Age, without their having

found any Flaw in it.

Objections Answered.

Q. But are there not Objections made to

che

^{*} The Conversion of St. Paul is a single, but a noble Proof of the Refurrection and Glory of Jesus Christ.

the Truth and to the Evidence of the Refun rection of Christ? A. Yesonoo vilagin

Q. Which is the first Objection to the Ro furrection of Christ? On A. That the Refus Nature, and impossible, no Evidence can be fufficient to gain Credit to it. boog ad a

Q. How is this answered? A. 1. Thats Refurtection, or a Person's living again, a ter he has been Dead, is an Object of Sense, and, by the help of our Senfes, we may judge o a Man to be as certainly alive, as that he i certainly Dead. 2. The Refurrection is contrary to no Principle of Reason, but even Way conformable to it; nor can have an Difficulty at all in it compared with the Power of God; which causes a Resurrection of Life over the Face of the Earth every Spring; and is caufing new Life to exist in a thousand Instances every Day. 3. Credible Testimo my ought to be admitted in Cafes, which, a first Sight, may appear not only improbable but impossible; as that fluid Water shou'd ver become confiftent and hard; which to Persons under the Torrid Zone has appeare impossible. So that being centrary to the Course or the Laws of Nature, is only be ing contrary to our Preconceptions of it.

Q. What is the fecond Objection, to the Evidence of the Refurrection of Christ? That Christ appeared only to chosen Witnesfes;

he

e G

id

he

er

he

liv is oir

W

25

h

h

9 B

rh

ou le

מים

Vas Chi

ed

16

Evi

.

37

hei

COI-

very

RDY

OW. n of ing;

fand

mo-

2, 20

ble de

1 10

the

be-

the

A.

nel-(es;

fefur es; and not to the Jews, who yet were rincipally concerned in the Matter.

e Ro 2. How is this answered? A. That where efur here are witnesses enough, good Men and se d'ue, no Judge or Jury complain for want n be fi more on These were chesen, that they hight be good. The Jews had also the Ehats idence of their own Guards. They had all: , af he Witnesses in their Power, yet never ofenfe lered to detect them as Cheats, much less udge o punish them as false Witnesses. Besides. he Jews had forfeited all Right to fee Christ live, by having put him to Death: And at is Death his Commission to them was deterpined w Mar. xxiii. 37-39 demondro vs W

Q. What is a third Objection? A That hnit arose before the Time he foretold; thich hastning the Time, to prevent a Difovery, has the Appearance of a Fraud.

2. How is this answered? A. Christ arose while the Guards were all there; so that there ou'd be no Fraud, but a real Resurrection. le arose on the Morning of the third Day. ard a which Sense that Phrase, ufter three Days, was constantly used, Luke xxiv. 21. So that Christ rose according to the Time predicing contrary to our Preconceptions of is bo

2. What is the fourth Objection, to the Evidence of Christ's Resurrection? A. That

See Grotius on Mat. xxvii. 63.

the Story of the Disciples stealing away the Body of Jefus, is a crue Story and real Fact.

20 How is this Objection answered? A in de supposes Christ himself to be in a Plot which was to take effect after his Death, when it wou'd be too late to reap any Benefit by it. But no Man ever carried on an Imposture for nothing por brought his own Death and Ruin into his Scheme de It fup poles that Christ, by giving Notice of his Refurrection, put the Rulers and every Body upon their guard against a Cheat mand chat yer a few illicerate, spiritles Men con outwit them all, and manage it with Success against the Power and Policy of Jews and Ri mans forewarn'd of the Delign. Nay, 103.1 Supposes the utmost actual Precaution of Guards, Seals, heavy Stones; everything that cou'd be done to prevent or detect an France of no Effect, against a few faint-heart ed Fishermen; who cou'd remove a prodigi ous heavy Stone, break bands of Iron; open a Sepulchre, unbind a dead Corple, wrapped up in twenty or thirty Yard of waxen-line Rollers, carry off a Corpse in a Moon-light Night, conceal it in a City filled with People come up from all Parts, and all their Enemies; and do all this amidft a Guard of fixteen Sol diers, and yet be undiscovered. 4. It suppose different Principles and Hopes to be in the Apostles, than what appear to be in them For they had no Thoughts of their Master Refur-

de Th

Ref

who

lea

Dr,

he

ver

of a

y I

Gra

chr

Th

Cot

gre

of 1

Th

did

ver

Era

wit

con

lw **Sup**

wh be i

mai

Ch der

ol

rea

the

act.

A

lot,

eh.

ne-

Wo up-

his

ery and

deli RoT

. H0

e

hat

aud arte

igi

Ped Ped

neg

gh ole

es;

Sol

ofe

the

me

11-

Refurrection; they expected a temporal Prince who shou'd not die. What Service cou'd a lead Corpfe do them upon their Notions? Dr, if they expected a Refurrection, cou'd hey effect it by ftealing his Corpfe? 50 E. very Circumstance agrees to the Supposition of a real Refurrection A vaft Scone fudden y removed; affrighted Guards; broken Seals; Grave Clothes lying in Order in the Sepul thre; bribed Soldiers; invented Stories. 65 The great Caution the Jewish Rulers and Council wied shews, that (from our Lord's great Character) they feared his Predictions. of his Refurrection might be made good. 72 Their future Conduct shews, that the Fews did not believe this Story; for (1) They nesver called the Apostles to an Account for the Fraud; nor fo much as once charge them with it; but take just such Measures Men convinced, but hardned against Conviction, lways take. (2) King Agrippa cou'd not Suppose there was any Cheat in the Matter, when he declares, almost thou perswadest me to be a Christian. Acts xxvi. 28. Nor does Gamaliel's Advice go upon the Supposition of a Chear. Acts v. 38: (3) The Disciples are under no Concern to reture a Story, which was: so altogether groundless and ridiculous.

Q. What is a fifth Objection, to the Evidence of the Resurrection of Christ? A. That it was only an Apparition, and not bis real Body; fince he avoids being touched, ap-

R. 3.

pearss

pears and disappears so suddenly, and comes thro' Doors which were shur.

Q. How is this Objection answered? A. In general it is answered, that Objections and Fresumptions are of no Weight against poss. tive Evidence: We have positive Proof, even the Testimony of those who saw, heard, and felt him. Particularly it is answered, it That when Christ says, touch me not, he only means, let these Careffes alone at present, l am not yet going away, there will be other Opportunities of familiar Converse. 2. When he appears to two Disciples in their Journey, their Perswasion of his being still dead, his differing Afpect, Drefs, Speech, and Walking in the same Line with them, or by their Side, and being duskish, might all contribute to their not knowing him. But when Light came in, and they had a direct View of him, they foon knew him. 3. As to his disappeuring; he did so before his Death; when the Reality of his Body is not questioned. Nor is it any Proof of an Object's not being a real material Body, that we fuddenly lose Sight of it. His coming in when the Doors were sbut, may only mean, at or after the Time of shurting the Doors. However it is very unreasonable to suppose, we must prove there was nothing miraculous about Christ, when we are supporting the Credit of the greatest. Miracles, has egbolwond to biride of speared in their Speeches and Apologies)

Q. What

ide Tha

rig en

ion

his

he

pro his

dn

wh

nea

har

and

-0

Evi

Th

t i

the

hav

St. tha

5

of

Mi

the

app

(

mes

A.

and

ofi-

e-

rd.

1.

nly

her

ren

ey,

his

ing

de,

to

his

m,

ur-

he

or

8121

ofe

he

101

VC.

A.0

he

the

ggs at O. What is the finth Objection to the E-cidence of our Saviour's Refurrection? A. hat some of the witnesses were Women, righted with an Apparition, which has of en made great Impressions on weak Imaginations.

O. How is this answered? A. I. That his Apparition was Angels, we believe on he Credit of the Evangelists. And they were properly imployed by God as Ministers in this great Work, and whose Testimony may be trusted. 2. Women, in their Senses, are dmitted in all Courts as good Evidence of what they see and hear: nor do they appear in the least credulous, for they could hardly believe their own Story. However, they were good Evidence the Body was gone and the Linnen lest.

Q. What is the feventh Objection to the Evidence of our Lord's Resurrection? A. That the Resurrection being a matter of Fact, t is not proper to have Recourse to another matter of Fact as Proof, when the Facts have no Dependance one on another. As St. Paul's healing a Sick Man, is no Evidence that Christ rose from the dead.

Q. How is this answered? A. The Spirit of Power which appear'd in the Number of Miracles wrought by the Apostles (as also the Spirit of Knowledge and Courage which appeared in their Speeches and Apologies) proved

proved that these Men were authorized Mel sengers, whose Reports might be depended on. Their Veracity is hereby afferted. Nor cou'd they have these Powers but from a rifen, ascended, powerful Saviour. John xv. 26, 27. Atts i. 4. chap. v. 32. 1 John v. 9, 10,

Q. What is the eight Objection to the E. vidence of our Lord's Refurrection? A That suppose the Apostles gave good Prod of the Refurrection of Christ, what is the to us, who are not Witnesses to those Proofs

Q. How is this answered? A. The Proof they gave were early recorded, by many Writers of undoubted Credit; and are transmitted down by infallible Means to us, which convince us, " That the first Christians had sufficient Grounds of Faith;" and it they had, the certain Tradition of this, in written Records, is fufficient Ground of Faith to us, if confidered in concurrence with the effential Goodness and Divinity of the Christian Revelation; and its Fitness above all other Institutions, to promote the Perfection and Happiness of Mankind. The Apostles being dead, yet speak and bear witness, as if till alive.

Q. What is the ninth Objection to the Evidence of our Saviour's Refurrection? A. That tho' the Evidence of the Sincerity of the Apostles is good, namely, their dying in the Cause;

lau

he efi

ric

he

(

Fal

us

ess beli

nev ol

5

Fac

DOU 21

of .

gai to.

haz

the

y

req to

och

to

31 vid

mo

gue

Met.

nded

Nor

ri

XV.

10.

e E.

A

roof

this

ofs

oofs

Vri-

nit-

rich

had

rey

ten

US.

en-

ian

her

ind

ing

till

he

A.

he

he

e;

Caule

ause; yet this is no Reason for receiving heir Doctrine, fince Enthusiasts may die in efence of Error; and Rogues will deny Facts. rith Ropes about their Necks, and Death in heir Face.

O. How is this answered? A. The case d Doctrines is quite different from that of fatts; an honest Man may believe an erroneus Doctrine to be true; and a weak or useess Doctrine to be important; but he cannot pelieve a Fast to be done in his Sight, which lever was done. And tho' I am not obliged o believe another Man's Opinion, because he s sincere in it; yet if upright Men report a fact, of which they are proper Judges, I am bound to give them Credit.

As to Criminals, they deny Facts in hope of Life, and to escape Death; and suffer against their Will; which is no way parallel. Mens voluntary afferting a Fact at the hazard or expence of their Lives, and which they might have faved by denying it; or ony by Silence, which was all their Enemies required. In one case the Temptation is strong to deny the Truth, namely, Life: In the other there is no worldly Temptation at all to invent and stand to a Falshood.

Q. What is the tenth Objection to the E. vidence of Christ's Resurrection? most Countries had but the Testimony of a fingle Apostle. Q. How

cte

And

ies leli

of a

C

bid

60

Dne

n a

nd

ies,

Mai

tent

nd

hou

he g

o m

vas

d by lefir dy e

Son

Wor ad i

> + 8.

ecas The

rom

O How is this answered? A. Suppose they had but one living Witness (which was not the case of Judea and Neighbouring Countries) this Witness was attended with the Powers of Heaven; every blind Mat reftored to Sight, every lame Man reftored to his Limbs, &co was a tresh Winners I Be fides, when the People of different Countries Year compared Notes, and found their Accounts and Proofs agree, this wou'd be a great Adding on to the Evidence. As when twelve Men are examined afunder, and all agree, it is stronger Proof of the Truth of a Fact, that if the same twelve agree, when examined all together toid got new countries aid another

Q. Upon the whole, are not the Proofs of Christ's Resurrection clear and strong and the Objections against it weak and vain

A. Yes.

Q. If Christ be rifen, must not Christianity be from God; and does not our Faith in Christ stand upon an immoveable Founda tion ? ... Yes ... one ragingue (is signores in yel

The Fourth Branch of Proof.

Q. What is the fourth Proposition in or der to prove the divine Original of Christia A. The exact Completion of all the Prophecies of the Old Testament concerning Christ; or, his sustaining every antient Char-

See Ditton on the Refur. The Trial of the Witnesse. West's Observations on the Resur. actes

cter under which the Meffiah is described: Was and the the Accomplishment of the Prophering ries which Christ himself and his Apostles lelivered, carry in them irrefiftible Evidence

Mat of a divine Hand .

Q. Is it undeniable that the Books of the Be Did Testament were wrote several hundred

riel Years before? A. Yes que d'ann

vidi

and litic

in?

ity 11

da-

ed b

Sills.

DIA 12

be

ng

16

Ha.

O. Do these Books concur in describing One certain great Person, who was to arise n a future Age; as to his Family, the Time and Place of his Birth, his personal Properties, his Works, his Sufferings, the Time and departs of his Death his Resurrection Age. Manner of his Death, his Resurrection, Astension, his sending down the Holy Ghost, and his Success in erecting a Kingdom which hou'd last for ever? A. Yes +.

Taw ai finiege nonsoid Q. From

Sherlock on Provid. p. 341. Stackboufe on the Bible, p. 1363.

^{*} It was becoming God, who intended to fend his Son on he great Errand of Man's Redemption, to draw his Picture, o much to the Life and Likeness, that when the Original vas brought into View, he might be known and diffinguishd by it amongst all upright and well disposed Men, who efired to fee and own the Truth. Nor cou'd it be reasonably expected, that any, who made such Pretentions as the son of God did, shou'd find Credit and Acceptance in the World, unless Men had been prepar'd to expect him, and ad infallible Marks whereby to know him.

⁺ A few of these prophetick Characters follow, Gen. 22. 8. In thy Seed Shall ALL Nations of the Earth be bleffed, ecause thou hast obeyed my Voice. ch. 28. 14. Gen. 49. 10. he Scepter shall not depart from Judah, nor a Law-giver rom between his Feet, untill Shilob come; and to him shall

Q. From these various, clear and unison Predictions, was there a general Expectant raised in the World, and chiefly amongst to Jews, of a great Prince and Saviour to an about the Time Christ was born? A. Yes

the gathering of the People be. Deut 18. 15,—19. The Lethy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet, from the midst thee, of thy Brethren, like unto me, unto him shall ye heard—And whosoever will not hearken unto my Words which shall speak in my Name. I will require it of him, Pal. 6. Ask of mer and I will give the Heathen for thine Inhetance, and the uttermost Parts of the Earth for thy Possess Pal. 16. 10, 11. Thou wilt not leave my Soul in Hell (the parate State, or my Life in the Grave) nor suffer think one to see Corruption. Pal. 22. 16, &c. They pierced my Ha and my Feet.—They cast Lots on my Vesture. Pal. 24. 7-1 Lift up your Heads, O ye Gates, and the King of Glory he come in. Pal. 68. 18. Thou hast ascended on high, thouh received Gifts for Men. Pal. 110. 1—7. Jehowah saids my Lord, set thou at my right Hand.

Isa. 50. 6. I gave my Back to the Smiters,—I bid mile Face from Shame and Spitting. Isa. 53. 1—12. He wounded for our Transgressions,—Thoushalt make his Sould Offering for Sin. He shall see his Seed. Dan. 9. 24—18. Sevensy Weeks are determined upon the People,—and after the score and two Weeks shall the Messiah be cut off, but not himself. Micah 5. 2. Out of thee Bethlehem shall come for —a Ruler in Israel; whose Goings forth are of old. See 64. 12. Hag. 2. 6—9. The Desire of all Nations shall come. The Glory of this latter House shall be greater than of the smer. Zech. 11. 12, 13. They weighed for my Price thirty put of Silver.—Cast it unto the Potter. Mal. 1. 11. From Rising of the Sun—my Name shall be great amongst the Gentile.

ch. 3. r. ch. 4. 1-6.

That the Expedition of a great Prince and Prophets general amongst the Jews appears, Mat. 2. 4, 5. Here the King demanded of the chief Priests and Scribes,

y

(

irst

th

cli

en

bhe

Tes

vho he

brij uke be C

brij 1, 1

bis is beli

rt ti Th

Bell p. Th

reva le re Vars

hap.

Q. Do all the antient Characters, tho' at irst sight, some seem utterly inconsistent with others, yet all visibly and eminently agree in esus Christ? A. Yes.

Q. Cou'd any foresee and foresel these E-

ents but the All-feeing God. A. No.

iton

tan

ft th

an

Yes

). D

be La

earl

Pfal.

Inh

offeffi (the

Ha

7-1

y h

bou h

zid n

not

le .

Soul

4-1

r the

not

for

ee.

I con

the f

ty pid

entil

iet W

ELO

Chri

Q. Must not then the old Testament Prophecies be wrote by Men inspired of God. A. Yes.

Q. And must not Christ, so clearly and fuly describ'd by the Inspired Writers; and who so exactly corresponded to each Part of he Description, be sent of God? A. Yes; for

thrist shou'd be born. They answered in Betblebem of Judea. Take 2. 25, 38. SIMEON, a just Man and devout, waited for be Consolation of Israel. Anna, a Prophetess, spake of Christ all who looked for Redemption in Israel. Luke 3. 15. And be People were in Expectation (or suspence) and all Men mused or reasoned) in their Hearts of John, whether he was the brist. Mat. 11 3. Art thou He who shou'd come? John 1. 1, We have found the Messiah. Chap. 4. 25 I know that lessab cometh. chap. 6. 14. This is, of a Truth, that Prophet the shou'd come. chap 7. 26, 27. Do the Rulers know that his is the very Christ. ver. 40, 41. This is the Christ. chap. 0. 24. If thou he the Christ, tell us plainly. chap. 11. 27. believe thou art the Christ, who shou'd come. Mat. 26. 63. It thou the Christ, the Son of God.

This general Expectation cou'd arise only from the Predicons of Men whom they judged divinely inspired; and from Belief that the Time predicted for Christ's Appearing was

That this Expectation of a great Prince to arise in Judea revailed all over the Eastern Part of the World, appears from the remarkable Testimonies of Josephus, in his History of the Vars. Book 7. Ch. 12. of Suctonius, in the Life of Vespasian. 12. 4. of Tacitus, in the 5th Book of his History.

C

it was impossible for any Impostor to assume a these Characters, and thereby deceive Man kind.

Q. Did no one elle but Jesus Christ ever

pretend to them all? A. No. 18 D'uow shind

Q. How did Jesus Christ prove that he had a right to assume the Title and Character the promised Messiah? A. By his Miracles.

Q. As the antient Predictions in the Few is Books were punctually fulfilled in Jesu Christ; So did CHRIST himself (and his A postles) foretel many remarkable Events, man of which are actually come to pass? A. Yes

O. Which are some of the most remarkable Predictions of Jesus Christ?

A. Upon the first calling of his Apostles He promises to make them Fishers of Men. He foretells his own Death about thirty times, with all the Circumstances of it, which depended on the free agency of other Men; As, in what Place he shon'd die, by whose Counsel, who should betray him, who deny him, who condemn him, what Sort of Abuses he should receive what wou'd be the Conduct of his Disciples He forecold, that he shou'd rise again, and at what time; that he shou'd ascend to Heaven and that he wou'd fend down the Holy Spill after his Ascension. That he would inable his Apostles to work as great Miracles as him felf. That Jerusalem shou'd be destroy'd, the Temple burnt, the Jews rejected. That the Cospel shou'd be preached to the Gentiles, and

Thou'd

ho

ber ho

na Ch

Ch

be

Pro

Men

ega

US

Scri

They ify n th

ffen ber Nig 16, f T

I do

13.

pel c 13.

out. Cour

be d

agai

.12

16. not neal

Man

er d

es.

Jesu

A el

man

Yes

cable

n the

es to

his

the

the

Place

ou'd

ema

eive,

ples.

d at

ven;

PHI

ehis

rim.

the the

and ou'd

hou'd prevail. That the Apostles shou'd be persecured and put to Death. That Families hou'd be Irreconcileably divided. In what manner Peter shou'd die. That many falle Christs wou'd arise. That his Kingdom and Church shou'd stand every Shock, and never coverthrown Tiele in nwordsravos

Q. Which were some of the remarkable Predictions of the APOSTLES? A. They

* Mat. 4. 19. Follow me, and I will make you Fifbers of Men. Mat. 16. 21 -. chap. 20, 18 -. From that time Jefus egan to shew unto his Disciples, how that he must go unto E-USALEM, and Suffer many things of the Elders, Priests and scribes, and be killed, and be raised again the third Day .-They shall deliver him to the Gentiles, to mock, scourge, cruify bim. Mat. 26. 23. He who dippeth his Hand with me in the Dish, the same will betray me. ver. 31. All ye shall be ffended hecause of me (or will defert me) this Night. The Sheherd will be smitten, and the Sheep scattered. ver. 34. This Night, before Cock croquing, thou will thrice deny me. John 14. 16, 17, 26. chap. 15. 26. chap. 16. 13. I will fend the Spirit Truth; He will guide you into all Truth, and will testify f me. Mark 16. 17, 18. John 14. 12. In my Name they ball cast out Devils, speak with new Tongues,—do the Works I do, and greater. Mat. 23. 24 -. chap. 24. 2-51. Mark 13. Luke 21. Your House is left unto you desolate. There shall not be one Scone left upon another, &cc. Mat. 24. 14. The Gofpel of the Kingdom shall be preached in all the World. Luke 13. 38 -. They shall come from the East, West, North, South, and sit down in the Kingdom of God, - and you shall be thrust out. Mat. 10. 17. John 16. 2. They will deliver you up to Councils, and Scourge you. - Whosoever killeth you, will think be doth God service. Luke 12. 49-53. The Father will be against the Son, and the Son against the Father, &c. John 21. 18. When thou art old, another shall bind thee. Mat. 16. 18. chap. 24. 14. chap. 28. 19. The Gates of Hell shall not prevail against it. S 2

fore-

foretold the Rife, Progress, and Ruin of the Romish Antichristian Kingdom, in many and very plain Characters; with the Persecution of the Faithful, and the Safety of the Church They foretold the cutting off of the Jews, the Conversion of the Gentiles With the recovery of the Jews after a long Period of Time*.

newind upon which shey could rationally be grounded a

en

P

th

Y

E15

Sp

Pro En

Pro

tha all

wh

be

the

had

Bag

tho

on '

Th

200

full

2bl

cru

bro

wh

shor

raci

the

in l

ed

Cor

Gro

fus 1

reco

to

that they were accomplished against and in contradiction to * 2 Theff. 2. 3-12. The Man of Sin Shall be revealedwho exalteth bimself above all that is called God, or is Wor. shipped (above Kings and Emperors) - nubose coming is after the working of Satan-with lying Wonders .- who believe Lie. 1 Tim. 4. 1-3 .- Some will depart from the Faith, p. ving beed to seducing Spirits, and Doctrines concerning Demon (or Souls departed) speaking Lies in Hypocrify, forbidding h Marry, commanding to abstain from Meats, &c. 1 John 4.1,0 -This is Anticbrift, whereof you have heard it hou'd com, and even now already is in the World. They are of the World, speak of the World; and the World beareth the Rev. 13. 14. 16, 17, 18 -. I faw a Beaft rife out of the Su. He made War with the Saints, - caused that no Man should huy or fell, but he who had the Mark of the Beaft .- They an the Spirits of Demons, working Miracles - The great Wherewith whom the Kings of the Earth have committed Fornitali on-having a golden Cup in her Hand -She was drunk will the Blood of the Saints .- Kings have given their Power to the Beaft.—BABYLON is fallen, is fallen, &c. See Lowmand the Revel. Rom. 11. 11, 12 - 25. If the Fall of them beth Riches of the World, and the Diminution of them the Rith of the Gentiles, how much more their Fulness. - Blindny in part is happened to ISRAEL, untill the Fulness of the Ger tiles be come in; then all Ifrael shall be saved.

It is worthy to be observed.

That these New Tellament Propheci

them a Continuation and Explication of the Oracles and Prophecies of the Old Testament, which shews, that the same Spirit

Q. Were not the Accomplishment of these Predictions ocular Demonstrations to those then alive, that Jesus Christ was, of God? A. Yes; for none but God, or Persons inspired and

Spirit indited both; and that the Bible presents us with a Prophetick Scheme of Providence from the Beginning to the End of Time.

2. That these Predictions were so far from having any Probability upon which they cou'd rationally be grounded; that they were accomplished against and in contradiction to all human Probability. Was it likely he shou'd ever die, who cou'd raise others from the Dead? - That he shou'd ever be feiz'd and bound, who cou'd command Seas and bind up the Winds?-That he shou'd be betrayed by a Friend, who had so many Enemies!—That he who was Keeper of the Bag shou'd accept so poor a Bribe?—That the Price of Blood shou'd be imploy'd in an Act of Mercy? That he shou'd die on a Cross, whom the People were so forward to stone?-That he shou'd die amongst Thieves, who had done so much good?—That he shou'd hold his Peace, who suffered so wrongfully?—That unjust and rapacious Soldiers shou'd be so equitable as to cast Lots for their Prize? - That Christ shou'd be crucified against the Jewish Law; and yet have no Bone broke, and be buried against the Roman Custom !- That he whom all forfook at his Death, and who died as a Criminal, shou'd be buried by Persons of Wealth and honourable Character?—That twelve mean Men shou'd attempt to convert the World?—That the Kingdom of Christ shou'd be sounded in his Death, and shou'd spread thro' all Ages, when it teemed utterly improbable the Apostles of Christ shou'd make one Convert? &c.

3. That fince these Prophecies gave the Apostles as much Ground to expect Persecutions and a violent Death, as Jesus Christ himself; there is no doubt of their Faithfulness in recording them; as their being exactly fulfilled proved them to be Divine.

See Allix's Reflect. V. II, Ch. 41.

Kidder's Demonstrat. P. I. Ch. 10.

S 3 commissioned

and ions rch

the

wi,

Ven

ted -Wor. afin

b, gi emou

comi,

then. Sea. Bou'l

ry an vorevicati

with to thi

be the Riches

dness Gen

atom

ny of Prosame

Spirit

commissioned by him cou'd infallibly foreset and foretel these Events; and cause them to

correspond to the Predictions. Isitird of

Q. Are not some of these Events and the Effects of them permanent and visible unto this Day? A. Yes; for instance, the Ruinos Ferufatem; the Dispersion and distinct Suban. ance of the Jews; the desolate State of Judea, the Spread of the Gospel; the Rife, Growth and Power of Antichrift; the Beginning of d that the Babylon's Fall.

Q. Is not a constant Accomplishment of old read new Testament Prophecies, in a Chain of Providences, as clear and strong a Proof as can be, that God is the Author of the Bible and of

the Christian Revelation? A. Yes. olau boas

Q. And is not the Testimony of the Apofiles, and other writers, and the Testimony of every Age, that fome of the scripture Predictions are accomplished, sufficient Ground to expect they will all have a full Accomplish ment? A. Yes.

Q. And will not the Evidence for the divin Mission of Jesus Christ, and the divine Original of Christianity be hereby gathering Strength in every Age? A. Yes.

Q. Can this Evidence then ever grow west, much less be reduced to nothing? A. No.

The Fifth Branch of Proof.

Q. What is the fifth Proposition in order to prove the divine Original of Christianity? A

That

re I

n

he

pe

tt nu

ef: Th

d

10 Un

aid ver

pile inle fter

best

fan

Wo

brefet hat vast Numbers in the Apostles Days, and every following Age, have not only imbraed Christianity, but have died for Christ, and d the red their Blood in the Cause of Christian ruth; many of whom were Men of Learning in of rudence and Judgment.

Q. Is Success alone a Proof of a good Cause? e desolate State foll a pobie

myto

ed Ch

cinto

ban.

13 h

fold n of

s can nd of

0.190

Apo.

y of

Pre

d to hin

1000

vine

rigil

ring

eak,

Delig

1 331

r to

A.

hat

owth Q. Why then is the Success of the Gospel a g of god and strong Proof of its divine Original, nd that the Hand of God was with the first reachers? A. Because it had no human, rorldly Advantages, and many visible Disadantages; for all the World was against it; herefore it could not have prevailed and propered unless God had been with it.

Q. What was the first great Disadvantage ttending the preaching of the Gospel, which nust for ever have hindered its Success, uness the God of Heaven had owned it? That the Author of it was a Man, a crucified, dead Man; And the first Preachers of it had to human Qualifications fit for fo grand an Undertaking od bus hird sulet to Q. If

The

Wdeneby. No Defign was ever laid fo grand and extensive as that aid by Jesus Christ, of a Kingdom which shou'd spread wer the World, and last for ever. But cou'd a poor depised Man take one successful Step in an Enterprize so valt, inless God was with him! But was not God with him, when fter his Death he bestowed such Armour as no King ever bestowed; issued out such Force as no Powers could withfand, and produced such Changes as amazed the whole World ?

O. If then the Gospel of a crucified Pro phet succeeded in such Hands, must not Chi be something above Man; and his Apolli have Abilities and Powers from God himfell A. Yes.

Q. What was the fecond great Difadvanta attending the preaching of the Gospel? That it had all the Religions, the Prejudice the Paffions, the Lufts and wicked Practifes the World to combat.

Q. Cou'd these be overcome, unless the Power and Spirit of God had accompanied th

Apostles? A. No*.

tte

hi

10

elle

Dea

C

nd

ee

T

lou ofe rith T

gior

ept

ani

a

afil ffer aile

Vo

he

Cou

iou

ori

oor Ho

Go

pe:

or

The Apostles were neither Politicians, Scholars nor Cas tains; and had no visible Abilities, no human Qualification fitting them to contend with the Wisdom of the Greeks, the Power of the Romans, the Malice of the Jews, the Rudin of the Barbarians; and were as unlike to beat down the stablished Religions of the World, as Children to storm Garrison: If then they prospered, and every where mich Converts to Christ, God was certainly with them.

* How unlikely were the great Commands of the Golp to take with an idolatrous and debauched World; when requires them to deny all Ungodliness, to banish their en Thoughts, to conquer their fleshly Lusts, to govern their De fires, their Eyes and Tongues; to undervalue all world Grandeur; and to forsake Father and Mother, Brother and Child, yez, our worldly All, for Christ; to forgive Injuries and to do good to Enemies! How unlike to succeed wa the Gospel, which allows of no false Religion; but will have all Idolatry at once forfaken! Greeks must leave the Robberies; Romans give up their Conquests; Adulterers must become Chast, and Orators become Fools, in order to gain true Wildom. The Chi

ooftle

nfell

dice

fes d

s the

Wh

Cas

cation

ks, th

uden

the o

orm-

mad

Golpe

hen i

r en

ir De

orldle

r and

uries

d wa

t Will

their

mut

gain

Q. What was the third great Disadvantage trending the preaching of the Gospel? A. hat it offered Men no worldly Preferments, respectives and Pleasures; but only Spiritul, unseen and distant ones: and that it forestless. Disgrace, Persecution, Imprisonment, leath to such as cordially imbrace it.

Q. If the Gospel had no worldly Honours r Riches on its Side; and all worldly Losses and Terrors against it; cou'd any thing succeed it, but the Hand of Heaven? A. No .

The Apostles might as well have attempted to remove the sountains, and shake the Pillars of the Earth, as to effect use Changes in the moral World, unless God had been with them.

Those who imbraced Christianity were not woid of all Regious Principles; much less had Minds prepared for its Reeption; but they were filled with Notions and Customs repugant to the Institutions of Christ: They were also subest to a thousand Ills upon imbracing it; and yet might
asily have escaped those Evils, by only denying Christ, or
flering a little Incense upon the Altar; yet Christianity preailed against all these Impediments and Obstructions.

Grot. L. 2. S. 23:

Had the Gospel offered Riches, a Croud of coveteous Worldlings wou'd have imbraced it: Had it offered Pleasures, he whole Herd of Epicures wou'd have been Disciples: Had Sourt-Preserments been Gospel Rewards, a Crew of Ambitous Mortals wou'd have declared for Christ. But no Rhebrick, except inspired from above, cou'd perswade Men to not out all those sensual Desires, and sacrifice all worldly

dopes for the fake of a Heavenly Inheritance.

The Dangers and Perils attending the imbracing of the Gospel render'd its Success still more improbable; yet it propered; Rods, Axes, Wheels, Racks, Chains, Fires cou'd lot stop it: The Rich became poor, the Honourable lie low or Christ and the Gospel's sake. Surely God was with it.

Q. What

(

uft

P

f

C

enc

iev

aga

oft dire

om

piri

d, 2 uffer

Vou

heir

Cl

Su

w a

enc

ber Fa

Can

nore w]

nd r

Cr nd f

hele

fen

ome

rau

floor

beofi

Q. What was a fourth great Disadvantage attending the preaching of the Gospel? A That the Preachers of it were not qualified with human Eloquence, to perswade Men by mere Excellency of Speech; nor were they intrusted with Arms and military Force, to terrify Men into Professions of Obedience?

Q. Cou'd any thing then but the Spirit of the Almighty give them such amazing Success at their first Appearance? A. No.

Q. As vast Numbers imbraced Christianity; so have many in every Age shed their Blood

for the fake of Christ? A. Yes.

Q. Is not this the highest and last Evidence Men can possibly give of Sincerity, and that they are fully perswaded of the Truth and Goodness of the Cause in which they died?

A. Yes 7.

Q. Will

The Apostles were Men plain, poor, obscure; Men not used to speak in Senates, Councils, and the Audiences of Princes; yet Councils are amazed, Princes consounded, and Judges tremble before 'em. Thus the Walls of Jericho selby a Shout. Who gave them this Courage and Success The Disciples were Servants to the Prince of Peace, they have no Chariots or Horses, no Swords or Spears, no great Prince or Generals on their Side. They were girded with no other Armour than Truth, Righteousness, Faith and Hope.—They had no Means by which to force the Gospel on the Worlds every Convert was a Volunteer. To what then must the Gospel's Victory, and its Preachers Success be ascribed, but to the Arm of the Almighty, qualifying and prospering the Ministers of his Son.

See Kidder P. I. chap. 9

+ Wou'd the Apostles themselves ever have imbraced Christ's Doctrine, have conformed to its Precepts, have propagated

A will dying for a Cause prove it true and A wit? A. No; but it proveth the Sincerity fied f those who profess it, or their Perswasion by firs Truth.

de Q. Have not some Enthusiasts died in deence of Error? A. Yes; but they have be-

t of lo strip to be Truth.

ity

lood

ence

that

and

ied?

Wil

n not

es d

, and o fell

ccels

y had

rince

other The

orld

it the l, bu

ng the

ap. 9

Laco e pro

gated

Suc agated his Religion, by all which they got Nothing, but off every thing, even Life it felf, if they had not feen his siracles while alive, and seen him after his Resurrection om the Dead? Wou'd Stephen, a Man of so much Sense and pirit, have been a Martyr for Christ? Wou'd Paul, a learnd, zealous Jew, ever have imbraced Christianity, and have offered Bonds and Imprisonment, Torments and Death? You'd these, and the other Apostles ever have thrown away heir Lives, and have faced Death in the Cause of Christ. Christ had not given full Proof of his divine Mission; and

bey had not feen him after his Refurrection?

Suppose a hundred intelligent, sober Men affirmed they w a Mountain cleave, or a River dried up in a moment, ad an Army pass thro'; wou'd not this be sufficient Evience of the Fact? But if no fuch thing happened, wou'd ober Men affirm they saw it; and wou'd they die to confirm Falsehood; and a Palsehood they cou'd get nothing by? an we then imagine, that the Apostles, and Multitudes nore, wou'd affirm they saw such a Person as Jesus Christ, aw him open blind Eyes, and deaf Ears, cure the Lame, nd raise the Dead with a Word; that they saw him die on Cross, saw him alive after his Death, heard him Preach, nd saw him ascend into Heaven, if they never saw any of nese Things? Had these been Falsities, had Christ never fen from the Dead, it is as certain as any thing can be, that ome or other, rather than die, wou'd have confessed the raud.

The Ascention of Christ is not an incredible Fact; for the nost improved Heathens deified their Emperors by an Apobeofis, or Canonization, and worshipped them.

Jurieu's Crit. Hift. Q. Tho'

en

11

io

ro

ra

Fo Blo

ch

he

roi

ol

er

(

o p

lev

he

ro

+

he

l to

liza

A,

eav

Q. The now and then a Man may be found who will die in defence of Errors, believe to be Truths; yet was it ever known, the Multitudes of wife and fober Men have die to attest the Truth of Fasts, which the knew never happened; or of which the had no sufficient Evidence? A. No.

Q. If then Multitudes have shed the

Q. If then Multitudes have shed the Blood in Attestation of the Trurh of Christ anity, must they not have full Evidence those Facts on which Christianity depends

A. Yes *.

Q. Cou'd any Caufe, but clear and convincing Proof be equal to fuch an Effect!
A. No.

2. And can any Effect be produced with out a Cause equal or proportional to it? It No; therefore nothing short of the Evidence

* The chief Evidence of the Facts on which the Trut of the Christian Religion depends, as to Us, is the Tolk mony of our Saviour's Followers. To make which a suffic ent Evidence, it is only required I That it be certain, the the Apostles cou'd not be imposed on themselves. They her and faw. 1 John 1. 1. 2. That it be certain, they neith had, nor cou'd have any Design of imposing upon other which appears (1) from the Nature of their Works; the wrought Miracles; lived according to their Doctrine; dia in the Cause (2) from their Character; they were plain, it nocent Men; got nothing by Christianity; were wonderfully succeeded. 3. That it be certain, their Testimony is tra conveyed down to us, unto this Day. Now, it was a will ten Testimony; it was wrote by themselves; it was early translated, dispersed, quoted, owned as genuine, and so capable of any considerable Corruption. Inspiration gird their Testimony and Writings a peculiar Authority.

f the Eye-fight cou'd cause hundreds of ensible Men to affirm to the last, and die firming, that they faw Christ after his Reprection, heard the Apostles preach in vaious Languages, and faw them do many ronderful Works in Christ's Name +.

ieve

th

die

the

the

rift

eo

nds

Con

ect!

ence

Trut

Tell **fuffic**

i, the

hear

eithe

thers ; they ; die

n, in

rfully

tra

WIN

O no giva

of

Q. Now if the first Christians had Demonration of the Truth of Christ's Mission from od; is not their Testimony, scaled by their slood, Ground of our Faith, together with ther concurring Evidences? A. Yes; for hey wou'd not have thrown away their Lives defence of an unproved Religion. They ou'd not have run into Fires on Earth, nd into the Fire of Hell too, only to upold the Credit of a Lie, which cou'd neer be of any Advantage to them.

The Sixth Branch of Proof.

Q. What is the fixth Proposition in order prove the divine Original of Christianity? . That the New Testament contains the evelation God made by Jesus Christ; and he History of the great Things he did to rove his divine Mission: That it is an au-

Burnet, de fide.

⁺ All Sorts of Witnesses attest Christ's divine Mission; he Shepherds, too simple to deceive; the Magi, too learnto be deceived; Angels, in the Air, to Mary, Joseph, lizabeth, Simeon and Anna, in his Infancy; John Bap-A, when at Age; the Spirit, resting on him; a Voice from leaven, speaking to him.

thentick, credible History, and was win by Men who had extraordinary Affiftance (a Inspiration) from God . I main and mi and

O. Has not the New Testament all the Marks of Genuineness, and all the Circun stances of Credibility, which any other H cory in the World has? A. Yes to SEW dis voote foon after the fracts

d

Ai(

1

is

D nde m

P

e e

V

em kes

em

m

OW

26

b. S

pu offle

our

efig

ain

hur

an J

artia.

ines

rnin

The c

o g

it was generally received, as loon as wrote. Matthew and John were Eye-witnesses of the Life Christ: Mark and Luke, Disciples of the Apostles, was their Gospels, that it might appear there was no Dis rence between what the Apostles wrote, and what the Dupin's Hift. Can. N. T. p. preached, viva voce.

Authentick is a Law Term, implying a certain Ded Instrument Justifiable in Law, and invested with a public

Authority. id. p. 198.

Objection. If Christ has declared no Books canonic who had Authority to do it? Anfao. Every Man who lieves these Books do contain a genuine Account of the pel of Christ, and that this Gospel is a Revelation from G Chandler's Vindicat. p. 1

+ The Christian Religion is contained in the New Te ment; This confifts of Histories and Letters. Now 1. 1 History is the worst thing in the World to found a new! ligion upon. 2. Letters are not eafily counterfeited are the truest Transcript of the Author's Mind. Vingeral

As to the Christian Bible in general, Observe,

The Books were not wrote by one Person, at one The in one Place; therefore not likely to be a Contrivant Men. 3118

2. Jesus wrote no Part of his own Bible. If there Mistakes in it, they are none of his. Pens are no Me Influence.

3. It supposes Christianity already kindled in the Wo

4. The Apostles begun to preach where the Things done on which their Religion stands. The both grind

Characters of the Founder of Christianity, and his

sociates, in 23 Articles.

1. Jel

ree 1

Ilty

Life

s, wn Diff

at th /p.

Deal

publi 51 qm

Toma

whol

he G ii G

p, 2

TH 54

wal as

pun k

Ti

née

ryils ere Act.

Vol.

00

Jei

with Q. What are these Marks and Characters? nce (d i. It was wrote by many Hands, who all ree in the main Facts. 2. It was wrote by Il de len of a fair Reputation; there is no Appearrem ace of Dishonesty in them. 3. It was wrote r Hi a plain, natural, undifguiled Manner. was wrote by Eye and Ear-witnesses. When was wrote foon after the Facts were done. It was generally received, as foon as wrote. Harfarehean and John were Tye-wirnesses of the Life the Mark and Lake, Disciples of the Apostles, we

was no Diff 1. Jesus was disingag'd from the Pleasures and Gains of is World. 2. He provided no Successor; therefore world-Dominion was not his Aim. 3. His Institution visibly oded to the good of others, not of himself. 4. His Acmplices were Men of no Parts or Learning, Interest, Wealth Power; nor qualified for Intrigues or Conquetts. 4. e educates his School in Self-denial, gives them no world-Views; allows them to tell abroad all they knew; charges em to make no bodily Provision, when they let out; and kes down all their aspiring Thoughts. 6. He foretells em, they wou'd all defert him. 7. When one turns Apostate, makes no Discoveries. 8. After their Master's Death, they owactive, bold and firm in his Cause. 9. The Spirit and actife inspired by the Christian Institution is all divine, b. Severity was exercised on Ananias. Must little Liars punished, while the great Liars and Impostors (if the Aoffles were such) escape! 11. Christ's Ambassadors laour far and travel hard. 12. They admit many into their efign and Work. 13. They disagree, yet unite in the ain Design. 14. They reprove their Seminaries, the hurches; and are severe upon the Corrupters of the Chrisan Institution: which is not the way of Impostors. 15. artial Revolters return, as Mark, Demas. 16. The Docines are too many to be agreed on without Truth; as conrning God, Christ, the World: As also too strange. 17. he original Confederates are too boly to unite in a Lie; and o great Lovers of Mankind to deceive them by Forgeries. 18. They

7. It was early translated into various Languages; which both shews its Worth, and so cured it from material or contrived Correction. 8. It has been as carefully kept, and transmitted from one Age to another, as the Writings by which Estates are convey'd. It has been quoted by several Writers every Age since it was wrote; which prove it was written early, and was esteemed a divine Book.

18. They preached up the best Principles of universal Righteousness; as Conscience, God's Purity, Sincerity, and Man, Judgment to come. 19. They cut off the Springs of Imposture; namely, Mens irregular Appetites and Passon 20. They allow no ill Methods to propagate the Christa Institution. 21. They frequently appeal to their Mirace as to a Testimony from Heaven. 22. They neither did, a con'd promote any secular Advantages; but cut themselve off from all present Supports; and from all hope of sum Eliss, in Case they had propagated a Lie. 23. They are the Testimony of Conscience; look Man in the Face without fear; and meet Death with Joy. And this, if any this is a Trial of Skill:

Fools or Enthusiasts were not the Authors of the Christians Institution; for it appears that the Authors understood, Languages. 2. The State of the World. 3. The Natural Man. 5. Ethicks, or the noble Principles of Morality.

Reinolds's 3d Let. to the Deinis Had we no new Testament, we might be satisfied of the Truth of the Substance of the Christian Religion. 1. It a Succession of Ministers, whose Interest it is to keep Christ Laws; as it is of Lawyers to keep the Laws of the Land 2. By the Successive Teaching of Parents. 3. By keeping up of Lord's-Day Assemblies: 4. By the Celebration Baptism and the Lord's Supper. 5. By the Lord's-Prayer Creed and Commandments.

See Bax. Reasons for the Christ. Relights fafe Relig. 186, 223, 209, 315

Q. I

cle the

wa me

Pre Th

Ch

wh

by

nat

Spi of

the

to !

fuli

tri

he

Spi

Ap

B

nac

be io

and

DO:

Af

O. If the Hiftory of Christ's Life, Miracles, Death, Refurrection, Afcention, giving the Holy Ghost, &c. be a true History, then was not Jesus Christ sent from God? A. Yes.

Q. And if Christ was from God, what Judgment ought we to make of the Doctrines and Precepts recorded in the new Testament? A. That they are a Canon or Rule from God to Christians, what to believe, how to live, and

what to hope for.

La Company

OVS

Righ

ner 185 c filos rifia race

i, an nielvo fotum

y 210

with

d,

ured

Deift

of the

1.4 hrift

Land

epin

on d raye,

Relig 318 1.1

Q. And if the Apostles preached and wrote by the Affiftance of an Extraordinary Illumination, and under the Guidance of an unerring Spirit, what Judgment ought we to make of their Sermons and Writings ? A. That they also are a Part of the Canon or Rule to Christians.

Q. Cou'd they deliver Prophecies to be fulfilled in future Ages; agree in new Doctrines, deliver unerring Rules, &c. without the Guidance and Influence of an infallible

Pools or Enthusiasts were not the A.o. A. Ache Sirique

Q. What rendered it highly necessary the Apostles shou'd have intallible Guidance both a Preaching and Writing? A. That they had many Doctrines to deliver not proper to be taught till after the Death and Refurrection of Christ; and that they were to preach and write for future Ages, upon the most Important Subjects.

Q. Did Christ promise his Apostles the

Affistance of his Spirit? A. Yes.

Q. To what Purposes did he make this Promise to them of the Holy Spirit? A. A. To bring all Things (necessary to the Ends of their Preaching and Writing) to their Remembrance. 2. To lead them into all Truth, as far as needful to the same Ends.

For the various Kinds and Degrees of Inspiration Straith's select Discourses of the past o

Two Particulars are requisite to Inspiration, (in the mode general Sense of the Word) 1. That the Will be rightly inclined; so that the Writer wou'd not tell a Lie, a advance a Falshood. 2. That his Understanding be class so that he cannot be mistaken, in taking a Falshood for Truth.

Dupin's Hist. Can. p. 55

In Wisdom the sacred Books of the new Testament sur pass these of the wisest Pagans; yet were they composed by simple, unlearned Men; therefore they were inspired. I

very thing in them is true, great, fublime.

Dupin, p. 13.

It is absurd to suppose, r. That Christ shou'd give a Commission to preach the Gospel, and not instruct the Apostles how to discharge it. 2. That Persons acting under its Guidance of the Holy. Ghost shou'd not act faithfully. That while Christ was confirming their Doctrine by Maracles, he less them liable to Error. If these are all about and salse, then the Apostles Doctrine is a Rule of Faith of Life to us.

That the Apossles preached under the Conduct of the Holy Spirit. See John 14. 16, 17. 26. chap. 15. 26. chap. 16. 13. Eph. 3. 5. 1 Cor. 2. 7, 10, 16. 2 Cor. 13. 1 Thess. 4. 8, 15. 1 Pet. I. 12. 2 Pet. 3. 15. They are ed as Ministers of God; Rom. 1. 5. chap. 15. 16. 16. 4. 1. 2 Cor. 5. 18. Col. 1. 25. 1 Tim. 2. 5. Their Dutrines were the Commandments of God. Rom. 15. 16. 16. Cor. 14. 37. 2 Cor. 2. 12. chap. 11. 7. 1 Thess. 22. 8. 13. 1 Tim. 1. 11.

Bp. of London's 3d: Paft. I

83

ts

or

lab

hat

Not

One

ing

mat

cont

cou

mer

Dec

poff

wor

muc

in (

1

mar

of t

fpeć.

to S

This

vide

it no

Mer

he

hath

ently

Con

the

white

trine

Preaching and Writing) to their

nds heir

all

Se

l b

ie, a

clear,

for

P. 55

fur

'd by

L

Sum

Iş.

Apoer tin

Mibin

bas

6.

8,

L

O If the new Testament hath God for to prime Author, is not this enough to fay or our Religion? A. Yes*.

2. How

A Fool cou'd not; a wife Man wou'd not draw up for laborate a Book, and father it on God. He must know, hat he cou'd have no Reward for such an impious Forgery: Nor cou'd he ever stand Persecution in its Desence. Again, One Man cou'd not be equal to such a Design, as composing, persecting, propagating such a Book: for it is like the materials of China-Dishes, which are begun by the Father, continued by the Son, sinished by the Grandson. Many cou'd never agree in so unprofitable a Work. Was it a mere human Contrivance, some wou'd have revealed the Deceit.

The Bible, being the Work of several Ages, cou'd not possibly be a human Contrivance. It is either the best or worst Book in the World. It is visibly not a bad Book, much less the worst; but it wou'd be so, if it was forged

in God's Name. Therefore it is the best.

The Jews have an Ordinance very famous in their Gemara, by which each Jew is obliged to transcribe one Copy of the Law with his own Hands. This shews the vast Respect they pay to the sacred Books; and is enough to put to Shame the Indifference of Christians.

Dupin, p. 225.

To perswade Men to believe the Scriptures, I only offer this to their Consideration. If there be a God, whose Providence governs the World, and, all the Creatures in it, is it not reasonable to think that he hath a particular Care of Men, the noblest Part of this visible World? And seeing he hath made them capable of eternal Duration, that he hath also provided for their eternal Happiness, and sufficiently revealed to them the Way to it, and the Terms and Conditions of it? But let any Man produce any Book in the World, which pretends to be from God, and to do this; which for the Matter of it is so worthy of God, the Doctrines whereof are so useful, and the Precepts so reasonable, and the Arguments so powerful; the Truth of all which was consirmed

Author; now, neither Devils nor bad Me.
Angels nor good Men are the primary Authors of it; therefore God is.

off . There represents the Devil and wick, d Men under the most odious Charaster's

W

il

ba

ve

but

DIV.

brun

ext

fin

act

f fe

lank

eral

eara

II

Pe

th

fai

th

een

ou'

hem

II

the

be

eriv

Noth

Desci

IV

T

fu

CO

fid

T

f C

confirmed by fo many great and unquestionable Miracle the Relation of which has been transmitted to Posterity publick and authentick Records, written by those who we Eye and Ear-witnesses of what they wrote, and free from Suspicion of any worldly Interest or Design: Let any pr duce a Book like to this, in all these Respects; and while over and besides, hath, by the Power and Reasonables of the Doctrines contained in it, so miraculously prevale in the World, by weak and inconfiderable Means, in Opportunity fition to all the Wit and Power of the World, and under in Discouragements as no other Religion was ever assaulted with let any Man bring forth such a Book, and he shall have Leave to believe it as foon as the Bible. But if there be not fuch, as I am well affured there is not, then every one wi thinks God hath revealed himself to Men, ought to imbas and entertain the Doctrine of the holy Scriptures, as reven ed by God.

Guardian. No. 75. (from an eminent Diving The Account given by the facred Writers of Persons as Things is confirmed by other antient Writers of the best Note: There is nothing in them unsuitable to the Age which they are supposed to be writ: There appears in the Writers a Knowledge of Affairs of those Times, not to

found in Writers of later Ages.

Now, I. "We are hereby affured that the Books of the New Testament are genuine; and were wrote by Performing who lived at or near the Time of those Events of which they have given the History." It is exceeding hard to the most learned, acute and cautious Man to write a Book in the Character of some Person of an earlier Age, and mobetray his own Time, by some Mistake about the Assause that Age; or by Allusions to Customs and Principles sing

igen

Me

ity i

O Wen

from pro-

blene

vail

Oppo

er fuct with ve my e non e wh

nbra

iving s and

ge in the

fd

erlon

which

rd fo

Book

nd na

irs o

find

pru

Q. How do you prove that it is not the Work of Devils and bad Men? A. No is Beings could be the Father of so wise and holy an Off-spring. The new Testament every where represents the Devil and wicked Men under the most odious Characters; but they would never give themselves an ill characters and second states and second states.

orung up, or by some Phrase not then in Use. And this is ext to impossible in a Work of a considerable Length, consting of several Pieces, with a great Variety of Historical acts, Representations of Characters, Principles and Customs of several Nations and distant Countries, of Persons of all tanks, of many Interests and Parties; and done by eight several Persons, most of them unlearned, and without any Aperarance of Concert.

II. "If the Books of the New Testament were writ by Persons who lived before the Destruction of Jerusalem; that is, if they were writ at the Time in which they are said to be writ, the Things related in them are true." For they had not been Matter of Fact, they wou'd not have een credited,—but been treated as Lies and Forgeries. Men sou'd never have changed their Religion upon the Credit of them.

III. "If the History of the new Testament be credible, the Christian Religion is true." For if the Things related be done by Jesus and his Followers, by virtue of Powers, erived from him, do not prove a Person to come from God, Jothing can. And in all Circumstances Jesus answered the Description of the great Person promised in the o'd Testament. IV. "From the Agreement of the Writers of the New Testament with other antient Writers, we are not only assured that these Books are genuine; but also that they come down to us pure and uncorrupted, without any considerable Interpolation or Alteration."

This may be reckoned an Argument that the Generality of Christians have had a high Veneration for these Books; else, that the several Sects amongst them have had an

Eye

Character. In every Page it condemns 8m and threatens those who commit it: It fore tells the Ruin of the Devil and wicked Men Their Enmity against the Bible has always appeared; but they wou'd not hate their own Off-spring. Evil Spirits wou'd never write so good a Book; these are not the Words of him who hath a Devil. Nor wou'd a wise and good God suffer them to preach such Doctrine and confirm it by Miracles.

2. How do you prove that Angels and good Men were not the Inventers and Author of the new Testament? A. It does not pro-

Eye upon each other, that no Alterations might be made those Writings to which they all have appealed. It is also a Argument that the divine Providence has all along watch over and guarded these best of Books (a very sit Object of especial Care) which contain the best of Principles, were apparently writ with the best Views, and have in them in mitable Characters of Truth and Simplicity.

Eardin, Credib. V. II. Conchi

These Books having been received for many Ages, as we Writings of the Men whose Names they bear; they who impugn their Authority must prove the Forgery.

As to the Books of the New Testament for some time doubted of, no Reason can be affigured why Men shou'd counterfeit them, since Nothing is contained in them which is not expressed in other unquestion'd Books. And who, without any Motive, wou'd be guilty of such a Forgery! so such as deceive, do it either from Ignorance or a with Heart; neither of which are chargeable on the Writers of the New Testament. Nor wou'd God suffer such as desirt to worship him aright, to be necessarily deceived by sale Books. To say the Books are corrupted, and to bring more proof, is not Testimony, but only Reproach.

See Grot. de Verit. L. 3. S. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9.15

tend

to

et

ot

To:

he

livi

o b

ioly

y t

us

he

2

tano

nay

end to come from Angels; and if it did ome from them, we might depend upon it. As to good Men, tho' they own themselves to be the Writers of it, they ascribe it to God s the principal Author. Now they wou'd ofe their Character, and be most wicked Men, it they fathered a Book on God, of which he was not the Author. Good Men ou'd never do so ill a Thing as invent and ropagate a Lie, counterfeit God's Seal, and et it to a Patent of their own framing.

2. Must not God then be the Author of

t? A. Yes.

Sin

OYE

Men

Way

OWN

te fo him 3000 and

901

and

hon

pre

idel

lion tched

ect of

in

nclui as the

time

coun

ch i

with for

rickel

rs of

lefin

falk g no

, 150 nd

2. If the new Testament be of Cod, must ot the old Testament be also from God? A. Westers to which they all have appealed. It is a.zo.

2. Why? A. Because the Books of Moses, he Pfalms, and the Prophets are quoted as livine in the new Testament. They are said o be written by Inspiration of God.-That oly Men of old spoke as they were moved y the Holy Ghoft; and that God, at varius Times, and diverse Manners, spoke unto he Fathers by the Prophets. Adol of

2. Does not our Faith then, as Christiant, tand upon an immoveable Foundation; and nay we not have Joy in Believing? A. Yes. deceive, as it exists han leveranes or a con-

in the state of the state of the Reproach

resther of which are chargeable on the Writers of

l'estament. Nor wou'd God suffer soch as desirt
 èum aright, to be newstardy deceaved by falle

to the Books are corrupted, and to bring no

ľ

nis Ce

cri

er

ot

m

6. vh

Rev

ch

0 (

C

Evi

Con

VOI

Eph 7) Met 8)

0

efs i

Not o

SECT. VIII.

Particular Excellencies of the Christian Religion, proving it, by its Essence a internal Characters to be divine *.

2 TO how many Sorts or Heads may he the Excellencies of the Christic Religion be reduced? A. To four; namely those relating to its Author, Nature, Tenders, General Properties.

I. As to its Author.

Q, What Excellencies belonged to Jell Christ, the Author of the Christian Religion A. He appeared, by his Temper, Life as Works, to possess, in an eminent Degree, the Spirit of Power, Wisdom and Love; or the bear divine Characters.

Q. How does it appear that he had the Spirit of Power? A. By his mighty Works for he exercised an uncontrolled Dominion on Men and Demons; over Winds and Sea Earth and Air, Diseases and Death. Man Q. 8. Viii. 26. Chap. iv. 23. Mark i. 34. Chap. v. 8. Lu. iv. 26 Le. Jo. xi. 43. Rom. 1.4

Q. How does it appear he had the Spin of Wisdom?

* Tho' some of these Characters are mentioned before yet it may not perhaps be unacceptable to see 'em all her in one View. See Sect. VI.

yd

nde

. .

Jeh

gion

, th

ch

OT

Sea

Mat

boy

Spiri

before

ll her

1. 1

A. 1. By the wife Answers he gave his adversaries concerning his Authority, paying tribute, the Resurrection, Divorces; and by is conferring with the Doctors at twelve lears of Age. Mat. 21. 23. cb. 22. 16, 23, Sc.

2. By that Scheme of Truth or Doctrine he ave the World: Which Scheme (1) giveth s a grand Idea of God, and glorioully decribes him in majestick and indearing Characers. John 4. 24. Rev. 4. 8. Jam. 1. 17. Als . 2. Heb. 12. 9. chap. 4. 13. 1 Tim. 1. 17. 6b. 15. Mat. 6. 9. (2) Represents Man as 2 oble Being, beloved of God, and capable of mmortality. 1 Tim. 1. 16. 2 Tim. 1. 9. Mot. 6. 26. (3) opens the Dependance of the whole World, and of every Creature on God. Rev. 4. 10. ch. 10, 6. Alls 17, 24. (4) shew-th us our great and numerous Ingagements o God. Acts 4. 24. cb. 17. 24. Met. 6. 26. Cor. 4. 20. 2 Cor. 5. 18. (5) describes the Evil and Deformity of Sin. 1 John 3. 4-8. Rom 2. 8. ch. 6. 23. (6) lays before us the vonderful Method of Salvarion. John 3. 16. Eph. 3. 9. Heb. 2. 14. cb. 9. 14. 1 John 3. 8. 7) reconciles the Attributes of God in the Method of our Salvarion. Rom. 3. 24. 5h. 5. 21. 8) Excellently teaches us all our Duty to God, o each other, and to our felves; or divine,

See Prideaux's Let. to the Deifts.

U

focial

Neither the greek Philosophers, nor even Moses, souch es Mahomet were free from Crimes, as Jesus Christ was not one Mark of Imposture appears in his Religion.

focial and personal Duties. Tit. 2.11. (9) gives a charming Representation of Providence. Luke 12. Ram. 11. 25—36. (10) describes the un. feen Worlds of Joy and Mifery. Luke 16. 12 Mat. 25. 41. Heb. 12. 22. Rev. 4. 8. 8. 7.18 ch. 20. 10. (11) foretells and delineares the Conclusion of this World. Mat. 25. 31 -. Re 20. 11. (12) represents and offers the greater Bleffednefs. Rev. 21. 1 De 6. 99 bor a to 915 of

Q. How does it appear Jesus Christ had the Spirit of Love ? A. His Love to God appears in his requiring Men to love him. Mat. 22. 36 in his preaching God's Love to Men. John 1 16. Mat. 4. 24. in his fuffering for God. 70th 15. 18. in his conversing with God. Luke 16. 12. in his Obedience to God. John 10. 17. d. 14. 31. ch. 18. 11.

His Love to Man appears, in his making Love to one another the fecond great Com mandment. Mat. 22. 39. in doing good to Met. Acts 16. 38. Mat. 4. 23. cb. 14. 14. in preaching to them the way of Salvation. Mark 1. 14 cb. 4. 1. in requiring Love even to Enemies Mat. 5. 44. in dying for them. Luke 22. 19.0

Q. Is it not a great Recommendation of the Author of our Religion, that he communicate ed the fame Spirit of Power, Wildom and Love to his first Followers, to qualify them to be Teachers and Examples to the World?

A Modif

II. As

three aird Glories

29

-11

tut to

en

the

of the

pea eEl

12

bou of C

fol prin of :

7.

500

nig . 1 . I

LOV of c

orde

sth

Live

our

beho enc

22.

ocial and personal Duries Vit and personal Line Vive Line Representation of the Wat Excellencies belong to the Naure of the Christian Religion, which shew it

to be worthy of God?

A. It appears in all its Branches suitable to he Nature and Perfections of God, the Office of a Redeemer, the Case of a sinful World, he Case of a redeemed World.

Q. How does the Christian Religion appear highly suitable to the Nature and Per-

ections of God?

A. I. It calls us to fludy and admire the boundless Nature, Attributes and Bleffedness of God, as the chief Imployment of our Lives. fobn 17. 3. 2. It affirms God to be the principal Author and Cause of all Worlds, and of all Excellencies in them. Acts 4. 24. cb. 7. 24. Rev. 4. 11. cb. 10.6. 3. It proclaims God and his Glory to be the noblest and highest End of all Things. Rev. 4. 11. Eph. 1. 11. Rom. 11. 36. 1 Cor. 10. 31. 1 Pet. 4. 11. 4. It Summons us to fludy and applaud the Love and Grace of God as the great Fountain of our Redemption. 1 John 4. 9, 10. 5. It orders us to study and observe the Will of God is the great Law and Rule of our Hearts and Lives. James 2. 10. 6. It teaches us to place bur Hope and Joy in the Favour of God, in beholding his Face, and dwelling in his Pre-lence and Glory. 1 Theff. 5. 17. Rev. 33. ch. 22. 3.

O. How

U 2

101 the eat!

and

rem

As

Ves uke un.

17

15

the

138

itel

ent

the

ars

36.

obi

76,

UT 170

ting

om-

ler.

CH

14

iles

190. How does the Christian Religion appeal highly fuitable to the Office of a Redeemen? A. r. It giveth us a Sight of God in the Person of the Redeemers of Con. 4. 633 2.1 recommendeth Duty and Holinefs in the pen feet Obedience of the Reduemer, who was berein our Example. Hebos. 80 70km mg m 3. It foully warneth as against Sin, by then ing us what it deferves, in the Sorrows and A gonies of a Redeemer. Luke 22.44. Rom. & h 40 It teacheth us the Emptiness and Enmi of the World, by the Redeemer's Contemp of it and Conquest over it. John 16.33. Min 4.8. bg. It teacheth us to mafter the Fleh by the Redeemer's Poverry and Self-denial even to the Death. 2 Cor. 8. 9. Phil. 2. 5,6 6. It reacheth us the Temprations and Ma lice of the Powers of Darkness, by the Re deemer's Conflict with them, and Conquel over them. Lake 4. 1 == 13.00 7.0 It shewethen the Reward and Crown God has for his faith ful Servants, in the Joys and Glories to which the Redeemer is gone. John 12. 26. ch. 14.1.
Q. How does the Christian Religion appear

highly suitable to the Case of a sinfut, guilt

World? A r. As it eninently states

A. I. As it discovers our finful State, with the Rife and Derivation of it to all the World Rom. 5. 12. ch. 3. 9. Epb. 2. 3. 2. It tend to convince the World of Sin and Milery, and of the Righteousness of God in its de served Condemnation. Rom. 3. 19-23. 3

dia.

H

h

ij

375

by

Re

chi

6A

of cla

1

WO

rup

Te

13

Per

ma

Me Sin

Go

(

the A

line

by .

of a

2. 1 Ob

Tri

21

Rai

Ros

che

...11

per

Wa

rol

eut

A

2. 30

mq

mpt

Man

efh

nial

ber

Mal Re

vet no M

ith

hick

4. 2

per

vith

rld

end ery,

de.

3 It

hays the Beginning of Religion in la deep Humiliation, and fuch a Repentance as leads the Heart from Sin to God. Mut. 3. 1. cb. 4. 1. Alts 34 190 Fobrange Rome 5: 7, 80 cb. 14 94 dt prevents Despair in a guiley world. by the Help which is prepared; namely, the Redemption and Forgiveness provided for the chief of Sinners. Rom. 3. 24 cb. 5 160 2 Cor. Miner Timet. 15 a 5- It cuts offall Ground of Security and Pretumption, by fully dedaring, "That without Repentance and Hoineis there shall be no Salvation. Alls 3. 19. Theff. 1. 8. Heb. 12. 14. 6. It calls the world to a continual War against Sin and Corruption within, and against all the Snares and Temptations round about. 1 Cor. 9. 24 1970 It calls its Protesfors to expect Hatred and Persecution for Religion from an ungodly, malicious world. Mat. 10. 34.6 8. It shews Men their Remedy and Cure for all their Sin and Sorrow, in the Love and Grace of God by Jefus Christ. Heb. 12. 2. 10 viel let

the Redeemer is gone. John 12, 26, ch. 14, 2. Q. How is the Christian Religion spited to the Cafe of a redeemed World? design vidgid

A. 1. As it eminently illustrates the Holiness and Righteousness of God; and there. by lets us fee the Expediency, Use, and Office of a Mediator. Rem. 1. 17. 6b. 3. 25. 1 Jahn 2. 1. 2. It represents all our Goodness and Obedience as unable to bear God's strict Trial; which leads us to effeem a great Medi-

ator

ator, thre' whom we may hope for Acceptance Rom. 2. 20. Bob. 1. 6. 3. It incourage of us to come to God, not relying on Soveraign, abo folute Mercy; but on God as reconciled, and reconciling the World to himfelf. Ram. god Ephi 210 132 104 Itoteaches true Christiansbas midf their Sins and Sorrows, to live in the Belief of and Dependance upon the Redeemers constant Intercession with God in Heaven, Romi 5. to. Heb. 6. 190 chag. 24. 5. It challenges that Love to God, Joy in him, and Thanks to him, which become a World redeemed from Sin and Death. Rom goin Epholachol Jude 24. 6. It teacheth us a Conversation with Heaven, as becometh those who are redeemed for that State and Company. Phil 3.120 Col. 3. 12 Heb. 12. 22. bay 2 le difecti us to prepare for and look at Death with Hope and Joy, as a Departure to the Redeemer, and to the bleffed Part of the redeemed World Phil. 1. 23. 1015 7. 59. Heb. 12. 23. blroW Col. 4. 50, Fam. 1. 17. 1 Per. 2. 3. 1 Cor. 6.

Q. What are the Excellencies of the Christian Religion with regard to its Tendency and Influence? A. Its Influence is excellent upon The Mind of Man. 2. Societies. 3. Our Preparation for Death.

Or What Excellency and Influence bath the Christian Religion upon the Mind of Mandel

A. To le tends to advance and improve the Understanding of Man, by calling him to con-

verle

Y

in

20

R

fir

in

FU

4

40

ve

19

6.

ble

ini

th

an

W

Co

19

an

erf

Go

3.

no

nei

21.

150

610

abs

and

Me

bas

the

00'8

mi

205

aks

ned

20.

not

re:

bil

cls

pe

nd ld.

W

03

B.

nd

OL

or

he

he

ne se

verfe with Athe most excellent and heavenly Objects and Affairs Col. r. 9 -26. Rom. 120 2d Philis 2002 to John to Bean 2. ble rends to improve and exalt the Hearts and Spirits of Men, by lecting them on God and Heaven. and the most heavenly Injoyments. Col. 34.12 Rem. 8.6. i Pet. 1.3-82 3. It purges andrefinesthe whole Man; mortifying all Lufts, and inciting to the most noble, masculine, and spiritual Offices, Duries, and Imployments. Eph. 4117 12. Romans. 13. 1 Cor. 15. 58. cb. 16.3. 40 le propounds the most wife and excellent Rules for spending of Time, and for the Government and Conduct of Life. Eph. 5.15. 1 Cor. 7 . 29 1 Tbeff. 4 11. 2 Tbeff. 3. 12 1 Tim. 6.17. g. It offers the most excellent and noble Motives and Arguments to Support and inforce all our Service and Duty; taken from the Love and Goodness of God in Creation and Providence; especially in reconciling the World to himself by Jesus Christ. Heb. 12. 0. Col. 3. 10. Jam. 1. 17. 1 Pet. 2. 3. 1 Cor. 6. 19. 2 Cor. 5. 19. Epb. 4. 32. 6. It provides and promifes a most excellent, holy, wife, powerful, gracious Spirit for fanctifying Souls, and raifing them to the Love of that Duty which God requires. Epb. 1. 17. 2 Cor 3. 3. Heb. 3. 10. Rom. 8. 9, 13, 7. It proposech che molt noble Rewards, and the most excellent Happiness Man's Nature is capable of. John 3. 17. 21: 1 Cor. 15. 49. 1 Theff. 3. 13. chap. 4. 16. & It affords the best Arguments, Armor and A.d.

mo

enc

a. f

F3+

-7

hat

De

200

Fea

(w)

and

of by

Lig

Fy9

2

the

Lo

AE

16

CH

Ex

hi

an

15. to

Aid against all Temptations and Inticements to Sin and Ungodliness. Eph. 5. 1. Rev. 2. 23. Heb. 4. 13. Eph. 4. 20. vh. 6. 10 19. 2 Chr. 5. 10. Jude 20. 9. It affords the best Relief and Supports under all the Calamities and Burdens of Life; shewing the Benefits which may accrue thereby. Heb. 12. 1—11. Rom. 5. 3. ch. 12. 12. Jam. 1. 2. ch. 5. 7. 10. It threatens the most dreadful Punishments to the Disobedient; such as become a greatly offended God to inflict on incorrigible Sinners. Mat. 25. 46. 2 Thess. 1. 8. Rev. 14. 10, 11.

Q. What excellent Tendency and Influence

hath Christianity upon Societies? V bas ingli

A. I. It requires Subjects to honour, obey and support Governors. Rom. 13. 3. 4. 2. it requires Magistrates to rule and govern their Subjects with Justice and Equity, Compassion and Clemency. Rom. 13. 3. 4. 3. it frielly forbids all couzenage, guile and wrongs; which are the usual Causes and Beginnings of Complaints and Contentions. Rom. 13.7, 9. 1 Theff. 4 6. James 4. 1. 4. it conquers and subdues Pride, Ambition, Coveteousnels, and all those Lusts which are the secret Causes of guile, injuries and wrongs. Rom. 12, 16. Col. 3. 2. Epb. 5. 50 5 it injoins all that Unity, Love and Usefulness, whereby Societies may prosper and flourish. Rom. 14 19.9 Theff 5. 14, 17. 6. it establishes the Performance of mutual Duties, both of Superiors and Subjects; and of Subjects one towards another, on the most

ang

22019

Cor.s

dief

Sur-

nav

ch.

ens

be-

od

46.

1 10

nce

Sid

ey

16

eir

ÓR ly.

361

gs

7,

rs

fs,

1

6. 1-

es

fs.

of 94

e ft

most noble and lasting Principles; as Conscience towards God, and the Remembrance of a fruit and severe Judgment to come. Romi 19. 5. 12 Cur. 51.10. Col. 3. 23, 24, 25. cb. 4. 1. Q. What excellent Tendency and Influence

hath Christianity as to our Preparation for rue thereby, Heb. 12. 1-11. Rom. 18 dtood

A. Is provides the best Remedies against the Fears of Death; an by thewing us how Sin (which brought in Death) is to be blotted out and forgiven through the Death and Sacrifice of the Son of God. Epb. 1. 7. Col. 2. 13. 2. by bringing Life and Immortality into clear Light and view, by the Refurrection and Glory of Jefus Christ. 2 Tim. 1. 10. 7obn 14.2. 3. by warranting dying Believers to commit their departing Souls into the Hands of the Lord Jefus, to be, by him, received to Glory. Atts 7. 59. 4. by giving us the fullest Affurance of a happy Refurrection from the Dead. rich are the usual Causes. 281, 121. 1034

Complaints and Contentions, Rom. IV. As to its GENERAL PROPERTIES.

Q. What are the general Properties of the Christian Religion, which farther shew its Excellency, and that it is worthy of God?

A. I. It is the most holy Religion; most highly magnifying God, his Will and Grace; and most strictly demanding all Veneration, Love and Obedience towards him. I Pet. 1. 15. 2. it is the most spiritual Religion; fitted to dwell in Soul, to purge the Conscience,

nels

Luk

and

and

God

and

Col.

wee

incl

his I

of li

Rom

.,90

91JE

:10

66

OBJ

n

la

0

I. C

ent

ness,

Real

vind

man

has

Reino

to make Men like the Father of Spirits, and to fit them for the World of Spirits. John 4 23. Rom. 6. 17, 18. Heb. 12. 9. Col. 1. 12. 3 it is the most pure and chaste Religion; cleanfing the Soul, restraining the Lusts of the Body, and exalting both to a State of Sandiff. cation and Honour. 2 Cor. 7. 1. Rom. 12.1. Theff. 4. 3, 4. 4. it is the most wise and agree able Religion; wonderfully accommodated to blind, guilty Sinners; providing the Helps and giving the Incouragements they need I Cor. 1. 24-30. 5. it is the most humbling felf-abasing Religion; cutting off all Pride, Conceit and Boafting; and laying Foundation on for Humility, Meekness, Self-denial. Mat. 5. 3. Rom. 3. 27. cb. 11. 20. 1 Cor. 4. 7. 6 it is the most quieting Religion; as it prepares Contentment and Satisfaction for Men in all Circumstances of Life Mat. 6. 25. 1 Tim. 6. 6. 7. it is the most just Religion; requiring all Honesty, Equity and Uprightness in all Transactions amongst Men. Mat. 7. 12. is the most uniting, peaceful Religion; laying the best Foundation for all good Union, Love and Peace amongst Men, Epb. 4. 3. chap. 5. 1. 9. it is the most useful, generous, publick spi rited Religion; requiring Men to be fervices ble to others, and to do all the good they can Heb. 12. 2,-10. 2 Cor. 9, 11. 10. it is the most fincere, difinterested Religion; requiring Men to do good (not merely for felfish Ends, and worldly Lucre, but) out of Love to Goodnels,

and NAI

130

the

tif.

100

10

I pe

+1

ng, de,

ti-

ot. 6. res

all 6.

ng

all

ng

ve

re

gn

,

Luke 14. 13, 14. 11. it is the most ingenuous and thankful Religion; requiring all Gratitude, and grateful Acknowledgments to be paid to God, as the great Benefactor of the World; and to Mon as the Conveyers of his Blessings. Col. 3. 15. 2 Cor. 2. 12. 12. it is the most sweet and joyful Religion; teaching us to live in the pleasing Love of God, in the Tastes of his Love to us, and in the joyful Expectation of living with him in Glory. 1 Thest. 4. 17. Rem. 5. 1—11*

CHAP. VI.

OBJECTIONS against Revelation in geneneral; and against the Christian Revelation in particular briefly stated and answered.

A. That if Reason be a sufficient Guide to Religion, Virtue and Happinels, then Revelation must be needless: if Reason be not a sufficient Guide, how can we vindicate God in leaving many Nations, for many Ages, without such a Guide. It God has ordained Men for Happiness, is it con-

fiftent

See Baxter's Reasons for the Christian Relig. chap. 4. Reinolds's Confirm. Cat. and Relig. of Jesus delineated.

fiftent with his Wisdom, Justice and Good ness never to allow them Means sufficient for that End?

Q. How is this Objection answered? V of

A. r. That as it is clear from Scripture, that the first Man, and the first Ages had Revelations from God to direct their Conduct; so it is reasonable to think they should have, considering the Circumstances in which Men were at first.

2. That the Reason, well improved and rightly used, may be sufficient, in Specular on, to lead Men to fome Degrees of Virtue and Happinels; yet in the present State it is, and in every Age has been found, in Fact very infufficient to raise Men to any high De. grees of either. For the Nations where the Jewish and Christian Oracles never spread, are overrun with worthip idolatrous and foolilh to the last Degree. Their Dostrines are abfurd, as of Fate, and of two independent Principles; their Rites are criminal; and their Practifes abominable, as eating Men, burn ing alive Mens Wives and Slaves, exposing Children, killing the Aged, Gc. Now either Mankind must be left in irrecoverable Ignorance and Corruption; or there must be a extraordinary Revelation to help them out

3. That the Reason was more sufficient than it has been found to be in any Place of Age, yet Revelation would be so far from being needless, that it would be the greater

ηſ

Ø£

ha

Pa

to

arg

ble

the

b'i

A

dra

fta

Re

2 .

Su

gu

are Ap

wh

fon

per Me

Th

Re

Stre Re

Ch

the

opp

of a

Food-

cient

Pard

V 01

tura

Con

du'd

desid

and

latt

rtue c %

act,

De.

che

ead,

ool-

are

ent eir

ng

0-

an

16

ď

ř

how to worship God, declares the Terms of Pardon, and proposeth the strongest Morives to Virtue and Dury. Men wou'd no more argue against the need and Usefulness of Bibles, than of Horses, Cows and Sheep, did the Bible lay no Restraint on their Lusts.

4. That there are feveral Unfairnelles in this Argument against the Necessity of Revelation. drawn from the Sufficiency of Reason: for inflance; it is unfair to estimate the Force of Reason by what it may be supposed to be in a State of Innocency; Or, to argue for its Sufficiency in Religion from its Sufficiency to guide us in the Affairs of Life, in which we are constantly excited by bodily Wants and Apperites, which regard Objects of Sense, which require little Attention and close Reafoning, and in which Men are affifted by Experience and Observation: Or, to suppose all Men Philosophers, and capable of abstract Thought: Or, to suppose, that a Regard for Revelation implies a Difregard to Morality and Philosophy: Or, finally, to measure the Strength of Reason by the Books of natural Religion wrote by learned Men fince the Christian Revelation was made.

5. That there are some Presumptions against the Desenders of the Sufficiency of Reason, in opposition to Revelation; as (1) That instead of making the Government of the Passions and Appetites a Foundation of Virtue (as the an-

X

rient

T

hict

chi

Sei

ho

.59

VI

00

Bo

the

fbe

Ph

tha

Ed

wh

in

&c

in.

V5

Tra

able

Ex.

bab

dist

ness

pro

pile

by .

fuci

plai

ing

merification of them. (2) That they seen not to wish that the noble Doctrine of in mortality may be true; which the antient gentile Philosophers so greatly desired (3) That they seem to despise Light freely offered, when the Antients owned their ignorance, and waited for a divine Teacher (4) That they ridicule Things sacred; in which the Heathen Moralists had a Veneration. It is a certain Mark of a depraval Mind, to depreciate Christianity, which is so evidently a good and divine Institution.

6. That if we defert Jesus Christ, Meje and the Prophets, and go to gentile Teachen only, we shall find, - They were ignorant a many important Truths; as of the Original of Things, and the Nature of Worthip. They were in the Dark and uncertain as to other us the Immortality of the Soul, and a fund Judgment. They differed about the greated Articles; as the Nature and Number of the Gods, and the chief good. They taught form Doctrines which incouraged Vice; as Selfmurder and Revenge. Moreover, their la fluence was inconfiderable; for they were but few, and but few their Scholars, and thou of the upper Rank. The Vulgar wanted both Opportunity to attend, and Capacity to under Rand their Lectures. Their Worlbip W made up of (nor moral Instructions, but) val Rites. They had no Schemes of Religion They

The

the

ton In

Jent

red.

eely

Alg.

bet for

era

ovel

his

Edd

ofu

bers

拉前

ginal

bey

eri;

the

ome

elf

In

rens

hole

der van

hey

They wanted Authority to command. The little Authority they had was diminished by endless Disputes amongst the Heads of their Sects; few of whom were Men of Virtue and holynkives. doin See Chap. V. Sect. 3. and 4. berned Virgan of credgololid elines.

HI Q. Which is the fecond Objection?

A. That Moses seems not to have wrote the Books which bear his Name; in regard, there are a Variety of Passages in them which specify a Time long after his Death; as that Phrase, which often occurs, unto this Day: that Passage which speaks of the Kings of Edom, before there was any King in Israel; which must be wrote after there were Kings in Israel. The Account of Moses's Death.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

Transactions of his own Times is unquestionable; and appears from many Passages, as Ex. 37. 27. Num. 33. 1, 2. Deut. 31. 24, 26. John 1. 8. ch. 5. 46, 47. Moses wrote probably on Rolls of Parchment, or Leaves, at distinct Times, and in the midst of full Business. (except the Book of Geness) These were probably (according to Sir Isaac Newton) compiled into Volumes, or into their present Form by Samuel; and were revised by Exra, with such Additions as might be necessary to explain several Parts for the present or succeeding Ages. The Priess and Levites, to whom these

place some of them, or add marginal Notes, which might creep into the Texts But their Suppositions are no Bar to their being acknowledged as Books of Moses, Led Texts and Lengin

el

lit

th

B

n

53

16

.16

Sc

fo

an

ye

an

ing

WE

G

bli

SVI

in

rac

M

Mi

tio

art

H

ther with the Writers of other Nations, we nan moully after be these Books to Moses a their Author; which is as good Evidences the Test mony of the Romans, that the Books ascribed to Casar, Livy, Virgil, Juvenol, were wrote by them whose Names they bear. Jasephus ascribes them to Moses. In the Time of our Saviour, He, his Apostles, and the whose Nation ascribed them to Moses.

2. These Books have all the Marks of an tient genuine Writings ; as Language and Cultoms then practifed. They have been bet ter kept than any other Books, more read oftener transcribed and quoted. They have been kept with the utmost Veneration by People, whose Interest it was to detect and disprove them, and who might easily have done it, if they were forged. But no Mark of Imposture or Forgery belong to them Their Contents are worthy of God, and a bove the Spirit, Majesty and Language of Men They convey the most just Notice of God, of Providence, of moral Good and Evil. They are the Source of Light and Knowledge in all other Writings. They are a Vo

if

esi

efe

WH

10

te.

4

02

125

oks

iol.

ear. the

and

fesa

an.

dus.

ead,

7.1

and

lave

arks

em.

old

ods

and

and

are

To

Woldene of excellent Laws, which in particular forbid all Lying and Fraud. They are
the Foundation of the Jewis civil and religious Policy; therefore they must know their
Original. The Lord Jesus, who never spaces
their Crimes, does not once charge the Jews
with wither forging or corrupting the slacted
Books, whence we may conclude, they were
not guilty. Loog as a dadw; roduck ried
slood and that the Momans, they had be

MINO. Which is the third Objection?

Science and Conduct, civil and military, and formed his Common-wealth with great Art and Address, as Minos, Numo, Lycurgus, &c. yet all might be done without divine Affitance. Heathen Princes and Legislators, in laying the Foundation of Kingdoms and Commonwealths, have pretended to Revelations from God, Oracles and Apparitions, only to establish a Reputation amongst the Reople, and gain Reverence to their Laws: And this perhaps, was the Case with Moses.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. 1. Majes acted in a Post above any thing in this World, and was superior in the Character he assumed to the most exalted earthly Monarchs; namely, that of the immediate Minister of God. He must have then proportionable Grounds to support and maintain such a Character. It is also plain, by his whole History, that he did not rise to his great Authority

thority by any Schemes of Policy, or Success of Arms, or sudden Hears and Chances, which give Rife to popular Choices. Nor can any Account be given of his Authority but a divine Commission.

66

51

51

4

L

44

CL

46

be

te

Lath

lef

L

41

an

the

ift

div

Ro

2. The moral Character of Moses is unstained and bright. That he wrote with a strict Regard to Truth, appears in that he coes not spare his own Conduct where faulty. Example 20. 12. Num. 27. 14. He is so far from being partial to his Relations, that he made no Provision for his two Sons, Gerstom and Eleazer, but lest them in the State of common Priests. 1 Chron. 23. 14. No such Instances of Disinterestedness and Resignation are to be found amongst the Princes of this World. He is also very free in recording the Crimes of his People, even of his nearest Relations, Maron and Murium. Such a Man would never pretend to a Commission from God, which he knew he had not.

by an immediate Revelation from God; for wou'd he, of his own Head, or upon any Principles of Politicks, have led the Israelites to the Red-Sea, when he had a nearer and safer March? Wou'd he, upon any State Maxims, have kept them in so many and so extream Dangers in the Wildernels forty Years, where were no Supplies but Miraculous ones? Wou'd he, if directed by human Counsels only, have refused to enter Canada, when

efv

di

div

133

in-

18

oes

E

fo

hat

er.

att

ch

ion

His

hè

le-

o'd

od.

ed

for

ny

te.

nd

te-10

dy

m.

än

en,

when the People were all spirited up to Figh? Won'd he, upon any Rules of human Government, or durst he, if not supported by God in it, have denounced their Fare, " to pend " their Lives and die in the Wildernels, even "all the Men of War, by whom only they "cou'd hope to conquer Canaan; and that sone, not one of them (except Josbua and " Cateb) shou'd enter the Land they had fo " long hoped for? Num. 14. 28-32. Would Mofes have given those two extraordinary Laws, " of going up three times a Year to " Ferufalem, and expoling their Borders to "Invafions on every Side;" and " of leaving " their Land untilled every feventh Year, and "attending at God's House, Men, Women, " Servants, Children;" which must have been faral to them, without a miraculous Interpofal? Wou'd Moses have published fuch Laws, if not ordered by God? Or, wou'd the People ever have submitted to them, unless convinced they were divine? Ex. 34. 231 Lev. 25. 2-7, 18, 22. cb. 26. 2-13. Deut. gr. 10-13. Does not their future Practife, and do not future Events fully vindicate both them and Mofes ?

To be more particular.

if the Facts recorded by Moses were real; if the Plagues came upon Egypt; if the Sea divided; if Manna sell for forty Years; if the Rocks opened under his Rod; if a Voice spoke from Heaven at Mount Sinai; if a Pillar of Cloud

ve

W

4

to

fuj

an

ed

be

St

de

oft

and

Fa

Pe

4.

14

Pe

ry Hi

Fa

rec

aln

wh

for

24.

tie

lar,

Cloud and Fire guided them; if the Earth opened, and swallowed up the Rebels, at the Word of Moses; if Auron's Rod blollomed, Go. then Moses acted by a Commission from God. Plainer and greater Proofs cou'd not be given.

the Hiltory of them at the Time when they are faid to be done, to be laid up as a publick national Register, to be read by and to the People, who were witnesses of them. Ex. 19. 14. Deut. 31. 26. 2 Chron. 25. 4. cb. 35. 11. So that he cou'd not falsify the Facts; unless we can suppose a whole Generation concurred in a Design to impose on Posterity; or were themselves imposed upon in plain Objects of Sense. But it is equally absurd to suppose a whole Nation becoming Knaves and Chean to their own Children; and to suppose them all Fools.

and deceived in these Facts. Moses appeals to their Eyes and Ears. Deut. 5. 2. cb. 1. How bold, and how stupid must a Man be to what Confusion, and into what Contemps must he be brought, who could appeal to the whole Nation for Works which he and they knew were never wrought amongst them Could six hundred thousand People be persuaded to believe they saw the Sea divide and passed thro' it; heard God's Voice; set on miraculous Bread, Ss. if such things are

ver happened? Had Moses been an Impostor, wou'd he have attempted such Miracles; in such Number; of so various Sorts; for so long a Time together; by fair Day; and expessed to the Examination of all Men? It cannot be

supposed.

fic ed,

Om

dnj

ote

ev

CE.

He land

12

els

ed

ré

of

194

att

emi

OR

als

6.

8;

Pr ey

ni

ÀR.

19

0

CI

dulous People, or disposed to an implicit Faith and blind Obedience. They not only examined, but they often dispute, oppose and rebel. At every Turn they are for trying the Strength and Authority of Moses. Had he depended on Artifice and Cabals, he had been often confounded. Nothing but the Appearance of God, and Miracles wrought in his Favour gave him his chief Weight with the People. Ex. 15. 22. cb. 16. 3, 20, 27. cb. 17. 4. cb. 19. cb. 20. cb. 24. cb. 32. Num. 11. 1,

14, 26. cb. 14. 7. cb. 16. ch. 17.

People paid the greatest Regard to his Memory and Laws: And this notwithstanding his History is a most provoking Libel upon every Family in Israel, one or two excepted: He records with Intamy the immediate Father of almost every Man then living, at the Time when he wrote; yet they took Care to perform all his Injunctions. Josh. 8 35. ch. 9. 24. ch. 11. 20. ch. 12. 6 ch. 14. 5. ch. 20. ch. 21. 44. ch. 32. 6, 14. Now wou'd He have tied down the People by so many, so particular, so painful, costly and burdensome Laws, had

250 The Christian Catechism.

had he not been directed of God? Or, wou'd They have most religiously observed them all, if not convinced he had Orders from God?

H

21

as

id

tl

V

th

M

ſh

fc

Si

ne

Su

do

N

tre

is

Are not all their Feafts, Fasts, the Por of Manna, Maron's Rod, Tythes and other Customs so many standing, and as it were living Witnesses to the Truth of Moses's Histories, Do not all their following Books, Histories, Songs, Prophecies suppose and confirm the Truth of that Mosaick History? And must not all these Effects have a proportionate Couse? To conclude,

Can Persons duly qualified with Dispositions necessary to Judge of, and be moved by divine Revelations, that is, with a certain spiritual Gust of Truch, or Love to it, and with Uprightness of Heart, resist all this Evidence?

IV. Q. Which is the fourth Objection?

A. That the Jewish Law injoins such a Number of odd and useless Rices and Ceremonies, that it seems unworthy of God, as it was burdensome to Man.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. The Foundation of the whole Body of the Yewise Laws is the Being of one God, whose Perfections rendered him worthy of Adoration, Love and Obedience; and the grand Design of them is to establish the Belief of one supreme God, and hereby to undermine and root out Idolatry. Their more Laws relating to their Behaviour to one another.

l'd

H,

13

of

er

re

if-

13.

n-

nd

ite

d.

di.

ri-ich

67

165

re-25

rial

of

D.

of

he Be-

10-

al

0-

er,

ther are most excellent, full of Justice and Benevolence, Deut. 4.5, 6, 809 None of their Ritual Laws, like those of the Heathers, inmench on the facred Rules of Virtue, Purity and Degency. Many of them were defigned as a Preservative from Idolatry; and to that End are prescribed in direct Opposition to the Laws and Customs of Egypt, and their other idolatrous Neighbours. Many of them added Pomp and Solemnity to their Worship a that the Splendor and Decorations of the heathen Worship might have less Force to tempt them into Apostacy. These pompous Ceremonies were also the more proper, as their Worship was, in part; State Worship, paid to God as King of Ifrael and H to classic grad

Some of their Rites and Ceremonies were commemorative of great and fignal Events, worthy of perperual Remembrance, as Motives to Love, Gratitude and Obedience to God; and also as Means of Love and Friendship one towards another. Others were prescribed with a View to the Messiab, and were Significative of his Offices, Actions land Benefits; the Expectation of whom was a chief Support to the pious Jews in every Age. The whole Fewif Constitution being a Sha dow of good things to come, as foon as the World was prepared for them; as appears

from the Epistle to the Hebrews. An anuntab

Besides; seeing the divine Mission of Moses is fully established, we may conclude, that his

his Laws (tho' all of them are not the best in themselves, yet) were relatively the best, as fitted to the Temper of that People, and to the State of the World at that Day. Nor is it reasonable to expect, that at this Distance we shou'd be able to account for every single Law.

V. Q. Which is the fifth Objection?

t

C

F

1

tl

W

fh

in

ct

to

la

of

ar

re

A. That it appears abfurd and unaccountably partial, that God, the Father of all Men, shou'd enter into a peculiar Relation to one Family and Nation, and neglect all others, as unworthy of his Notice and Care.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. 1. God gave to all other Nations Riason, (besides the Advantages of Tradition) and our Objectors affert this was sufficient; therefore none were neglected; and if he gave some more than was sufficient, this was surely a Kindness to them, and no Wrong to the Rest.

2. But it will appear, that God's entring into a peculiar Covenant with the Family of Abraham, and the Children of Ifrael, is so far from being inconsistent with his universal Dominion over and his paternal Regards to Mankind; that it is a most eminent Mark and Proof of his Care of the whole Human Race; if it be considered.

his Will and Grace to Mankind, some Family

710

as

to

Vor

nce

igle

13

ita-

en,

one

ers,

eAon)

ave

re-

to

ing

of

far

0-

20-

and

ce;

ily

mily or Nation must be the Receivers and Repositaries of these Oracles for the Use of
others: and there was no Family more se for
this Trust than the well instructed and disciplined Family of the eminent Abraham.

That the whole of God's Dispensations to the Family and Descendants of Abraham were designed, and visibly sitted to subserve the general good of Mankind; as a Means to cure over-spreading Idolatry and Immorality, and to revive, spread, and preserve the true Religion and the Practise of Virtue. Gen. 18, 18, 19. cb. 22, 18. cb. 26, 4. cb. 28. 14. Ex. 7. 5. cb. 9, 16. cb. 14. 18. cb. 15. 6, 11, 14. cb. 18. 1—11. Lev. 26. A5. Num. 14. 13—16. Deut. 4. 6, 8. Jost. 2, 9—11. cb. 4. 24. 1 Sam. 17. 46. 1 Kings 8. 41, 43. Psal. 57. 9. Ps. 66. 1—5. Ps. 98. 1—4. Jer. 33. 9.

Situation between Egypt, Affyria, Chaldea,—
the most remarkable Countries in the World;
where their Example, as a People who worshipped the One God, and the Wonders wro't
in their behalf might have most Influence; so
their several Transportations from Country
to Country, their several Captivities, and their
last Dispersion thro' the World seem designed
of God, in order to make himself, his Oracles,
and his Providence more known; hereby to
restore lost Religion, and promote Virtue and
Happiness amongst Men. And this End appears to be in some measure answered. Gen.

200 ch: 4r, &c. 2 Chron. 11, 11, 12. 1 Kings 10.9. Dan. 2.47. sh. 3. 29. sh. 4- 33, 37. ch.

an

iti

G

tie

ot

fo

de

in

25

to

in

fr

th

m

ce ch

35

12

10

N

P

as

0

6. 25, 27. Ezro 1. 2, 4 ben bas stail hist 4) That the Separation of this People from the rest of the World answered a farther ge. neral End, namely, the Preservation of the Prophecies concerning Jefus Christ, the Sa. viour of Mankind: The Expectation of whom was hereby kept up amongst that People, and alfo foread thro' remote Nations; and the Marks and Characters by which he might be known were fafely kept; which prepared the Fews in particular, and in some measure the reft of the World, for the Reception of Chrift, when he came as the Saviour of all who believe in him,

VI. O. Which is the fixth Objection?

A. That the internal Constitution of the Tewiff Law being, in several Parts, of an immoral Nature, cannot be from God; particularly the Law to destroy the Canaanites, who had never injured them; and the Law of perfecuting Idolaters to Death! whereas the divine and truly humane Methods of dealing with the Erroneous are Argument and Perswasion. La basses and many and and Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. 1. As to the Cunaanites; it is an antient Tradition, that the Country of Canaan did originally belong to the Ifraelites, as the Polterity of Shem, by virtue of the Division made amongst 1. ch

Grom Gron

ge-

Sa

hom

and

che

t be

che

the

of

all

fles

.do

che an

ar.

aw

eas of

nd

amongst the Sons of Noah; that the Canaanites, the Children of Cham drove them out.
Gen. 12. 6. eb. 14. 1. If so, they had an antient Title, and made good their Claim under
Tolbua

But not to infift on this; it is sufficient to observe, that the seven Nations of Canaan, for their crying and continued Iniquities, were devoted by God to Deftruction. God might imploy the Arms of Ifrael to cut them off, as well as any other Instruments of his Justice; to do which they had a clear Commission, and in doing which they had visible Assistance from Heaven; and farther to incourage them, they had an antient Promife of that Country made by God, and oft repeated to their Ancestors. Gen. 12. 7. cb. 13. 14-. cb. 15, 18. cb. 17. 8. cb. 24. 7. cb. 26. 3. cb. 28. 13. cb. 35. 12. cb. 48. 21. cb. 50. 24. Ex. 24. 23, 24, 33. cb. 34. 10,—16. Lev. 18. 24, 25. cb. 20. 22, 24. Num. 33. 51—56. Deut. 7. 2—5. cb. 12. 1—3. cb. 20. 10—18. Jojb. 4. cb. 6. cb. 10. 8. ch. 11. 6, 15, 19. Yet even to these Nations were the Ifraelites to offer Terms of Peace, to live in a State of Servicude, tho' not as Bodies politick, or in a Civil Capacity. Josh. 9. 19. ch. 11. 19, 20. Deut. 21. 10-But the seven Nations rejected all their Offers.

2. As to those amongst the Israelites who openly served other Gods, and inticed their

TShoms

Noish-

Epiph. Hær. 66. No. 84.

Neighbours to do it, they were indeed to be punished with Death. Deut. 13. ch. 17. 2.— And the Reason is, that Idolatry was High. Treafon, a Rebellion against God, their inmediate King, and breaking the Original Na. tional Contrast, or fundamental Law of the Kingdom, which was founded upon a Choice of Jebouah as their God and King; who erected the Theocracy as the fitteff Method to fubvert Idelatry, and pour Contempt upon all the topical Gods of the Heathen.

And as God forefaw they cou'd not, for it feems not possible that any Israelite cou'd, pleud Conscience, for either deserting God, that God who had done things to great and marvelous for them; or for joining the Worthip of other Gods with the worthip of Him, when he had so sufficiently shewed the Impotency and Vanity of the Gods of the Nations round them. Nor do we find this Plea

ever used by them.

VII. Q. Which is the seventh Objection? A. That tho' we shou'd allow the Necessity and Usefulness of divine Revelation, it ought to be confined to Doctrines and Frecepts; but Prophecy is an unreasonable Dispensation; if not in its own Nature impossible.

becoming God, as-a

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. r. That if there be a God; if he concerns bimfelf with the Affairs of Families and Kingdoms; if he perfectly knoweth Events

111-

Na.

the

rice

to

on

fo

a,

nd

n-

m,

n-

4-

ea

d

?

ıĖ

it

vents before they come to pass; if he has wife Ends to ferve by over-ruling the Affairs of the World, and executing of his own Purpoles; if He has a Power to discover, and Men have Faculties to understand the Discoveries of these his Purposes; if great and good Ends (such as maintaining Religion in the worst Times; preparing good Men for Trials; Supporting their Faith, Patience, and Firmnels, during Persecution; keeping up the Belief of a Providence; and keeping Tyrants in awe) can be answered by God's revealing his Purpoles beforehand: Moreover, if those to whom Revelations are made have Ways both of making that Revelation known to others, and of proving to them that it is divines—then Prophetick Revelations are neither impossible nor unreasonable; but not one of the former Points can be disproved, much

2. Farther, that if it was a Dispensation becoming God, as a wise Governor and kind Father, to send a Heavenly Teacher amongst Men, to direct them in the Way to eternal Happiness, when they had wandered out of it: It he intended to send so great a Messenger on this Errand, as his own Son, one qualified with Wildom and Virtue equal to all the Dissipulties of his Undertaking; who cou'd consent to leave Heaven, and dwell on Earth; who cou'd indure all Hardships and ill Ulage from Men; who cou'd defeat all the Y 3 Stratagems

Stratagems of Satan, despise all his Offers, to counteract all his Policies, lap the Foundation of his Kingdom; who had Abilities to govern the redeemed World, to fort Souls in the future State, to raife the Dead, and judge s Mankind; it was then becoming the Wildow? and Goodness of God to give Men Notice of this his merciful Delign; and also to draw his Picture to much to the Life and Like nels, that when the Original was brought into View, He might be known and diffin guilhed by it, amongst all upright and well disposed Men, who foved and defired to know

0

a

R

(

F f

the Truth.

Now there was no other but the Way of Prophecy, or God's communicating his Defigns to some Men, that they might communication nicate them to others, fo fit to convey those Notices. When God had thus revealed his Designs to Men, who, by his Order, reported them to others; it was highly fit these important Revelations shou'd be recorded for the Use of future Ages. When Records were made, it was highly fit they shou'd be kept; and if it was fit they shou'd be kept, it was necessary Keepers shou'd be appointed, who, by Interest, as well as a Sense of Duty, shou'd be obliged to keep them fafely. God chofe the Family of Abraham, and more particularly the Tribe of Levi, to be Keepers of thefe facred Records; and ordered a Cheft and a Tabernacle to be made for the greater Safety

of the original Records: He also took care to render the Keepers and the Penmen of his Oracles remarkable and well known in the World by many eminent Distinctions, to draw a more universal, and secure a more lasting Regard to his Oracles.

n'01

ATO

Ws

a is

f

Pu Pu

Lul.

F

ia

113

6

go It may be added, as a farther Instance of God's Wisdom and Goodness, that by his Prophetick Oracles amongst the Jews, that People were kept from all Pretences to confult the Heathen Oracles; the Vanity and Falshood of which was exposed by the Dignity and Truth of the Oracles of God.

VIII. Q. Which is the eighth Objection?

A. That the precented Prophecies of Scripture, and particularly Daniel's, are too clear and particular to be real Prophecies, and must be wrote after the Accomplishment, or be a History of past Events.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. This goes upon the abfurd Suppolition, that God cannot clearly foresee future Events; or, that he cannot inable Men clearly to foretell them.

Besides, the Prophetick Books have the Testimony of the whole Jewis Nation for their Antiquity and divine Inspiration; which is surely as valid as the Testimony of the Romans in behalf of Virgil's Poems: and to

See Baxter's Reasons, chap. 5.

much more valid, as these Books are of more Importance, were more facredly deposited, and

read with greater Veneration.

As to the Book of Daniel in particular, it has all the Marks of a Book wrote at the Time when, and by the Person by whom it pretends to be wrote; as to The Age and Circumstances of Daniel agree to the Time of its supposed Writing 2. Its Language is correct, pure Hebrew and Chaldre 3. It stands clear of every internal Mark of Spuriousness. Le is mentioned by the Author of the first of the Mascabees, as a genuine Book. Mair 2. 5. by Josephus B. 10. Ch. 10. by Jelus Christ. Mark 13-14. And therefore it must be in Being before their Times, and in great Repute as an Oracle of God, or a Book wrote by divine Inspiration; whose Predictions are accomplishing to this Day, in the Prevalency of Christ's Kingdom; and in the Rise and Continuance of the Antichriftian Kingdomo need

Farther, had this Book been a Forgery, let us ask, a. How came it to be received into the Canon, and continued there, in the Time of Ezra, Haggai, Zechariah, Malashi? When was it introduced? 3. How came it to obtain so general a Belief and Credic? What Interest cou'd the Jews serve by the Admission of Daniel's Book? Finally, let the Deists try to make an additional Book to the Christian Canon; and if they succeed, then

ore

and

it the

asit

and

me e is

nds

els.

uft

66

lus

uff

eat

10

re

cy

n-

ia

用品の日子

C

0

1

received into the Jewife Canon an arround no mercane when the second and the second arround the second arrou

IX. Q. Which is the ninth Objection?

A. That the Books of the old Testament have been so interpolated and corrupted, that it is hard to know which are genuine, orginal Parts, and which humane Additions.

Q. How is this Objection answered? 21 10

aball By denying the Truth of it. I Let the Objectors thew when, and by whom this was: done. It is not pretended to be done by Christians, or that the Prophecies concerning Christ have been added by them fince the Events; for these Prophecies are found in the Bibles kept by the 7ews. Had thefe Books been corrupted by the antient apostate Tews, they wou'd have favoured those Idolatries they fell into, and which are every where forbid under so dreadful Penalties. Had they been corrupted by the modern Jews, wou'd they not have altered or expunged the Prophecies relating to Christ; which also so plainly foretell their rejecting him, their being rejected of God, and scattered amongst the Nations; and the Call of the Gentiles into the Messiah's Kingdom; a Point so abhorred by them. The pious Tews, in every Age, have loved the facred Books too well either to attempt, or to allow of any Corruption in them.

^{*} See Bp. Chandler's, and Mr. S. Chandler's Vindicat. of Daniel.

As to the Books of the Law, they were never lost, (tho' under idolatrous Kings greatly neglected) as appears 1 Kings 2. 3. 2 Kings 18. 6,—12. 1 Chron. 22. 12. 2 Chron. 17. 9. ch. 23. 18. ch. 24. 6. ch. 25. 4. ch. 30. 16. ch. 35. 26. Ifa. 8. 20. Ezra cou'd have no Temptation to alter them, nor, if inclined, cou'd he

easily do it. Ezro 3. 2. Neb. 8. 4.

The Prophecies were keptin Writing, a great Preservative from Corruption. Isa. 3. 8. cb. 8. 1. Jer. 15. 13. cb. 36. 1-27. Ezek. 43. 11. Habak. 2. 2. These Books were their chief Support under Captivity; they wou'd therefore be diligently read, and taught to their Children; and hereby made incapable of any. Alterations. It is certain the Jews wou'd never alter them in compliance with the Chaldees, who had burned their Temple, laid waste their Country, and held them, in Captivity. Nor can any Reason or Motive of Corruption be affigned. After Synagogues were mulciplied, where these Books were statedly read, it wou'd be still less easy to corrupt them: or after the Masorites arose, who were careful to number even the Words and Letters of each Book. There is also an Agreement between the Writings of the Gentiles, and those of Moses and the Prophets *.

X. Which is the tenth Objection?

See Prideaux's and Shuckford's Connect. Grotius de Verit.
A. That

e:

ie.

e

it

-

e .

n ,

1

A. That Christianity being built upon the Prophecies of the old Testament, and these Prophecies being applied to Jesus Christ only in an allegorical or mystical Sense, they cannot be real or literal Predictions of Jesus Christ; but must have their Accomplishment in some other Persons; or esse be no Predictions at all.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. That this Objection is only an unfair and false Representation of the Case; for the Points following are very clear. 1. That the Jewish Writings of the old Testament do uniformly speak of one great Teacher and Saviour, who was to appear in future Time. 2. That there never was any Person besides Jesus Christ, in whom it is so much as pretended that all the Characters have met. 3. That all the antient Characters, tho feemingly inconfiftent with each other, do all literally agree in Jesus Christ. There are literal Prophecies quoted and applied to himself by Je-sus Christ, as Mat. 26. 31, 54. Mark 9. 12. ch. 12. 10. John 7. 13, 18, 38. ch. 15. 25. ch. 17. 12. By the Apostles, Asts 2. 16. ch. 3. 18. cb. 10. 43. cb. 13. 27, 47. cb. 15. 15, 16. cb. 17. 3. cb. 26. 22, 23. cb. 28. 28. 4. That there are Proofs of Christianity independent on the old Testament Prophecies; namely, Christ's Doctrine and Miracles, or his Word and Works; to which Christ and the Apostles constantly appeal. 5. That as it was proper the

the Prophecies concerning Christ shou'd have Obscurity in them; so where the Meaning of any of them remains doubtful, the Authority of superior Wisdom doth as rationally determine the Affent to the meaning of a doubtful Proposition, as any other Kind of Logical Evidence whatever . Therefore Jesus Christ and his Apostles, who gave so evident Proofs of divine Wildom, had an unquestionable Right to determine the meaning of doubtful Prophecies. 6. That in case any just Reasons appear for the Application of some Passages to Christ, the Apostles may be justified in making that Application, tho' it be not defigued as a proper and direct Proof of the Point afferted; or tho' that be not the Thing primarily and principally intended by the Prophet; or the most obvious Sense of the Words in a literal Construction +.

For

* Warbutt. divine Leg. V. III p. 651.

Chandler's Vind. of Christ. 254, &c.
Allegorical Reasoning having, since brought into Use, been
practised by all Mankind, must be rational and scholastick,
and when Allegories are transferred to religious Use, and imployed

[†] The literal Sense is that which the Words naturally bear in Connection; or, tho' it may not be the most obvious and natural; yet the Words will bear, and the Speaker of them really intends; and the Sense intended may be known by its Connection with other Things, or by the Declaration of the Speaker. But when different Parts of the same Prophecy denote different Events, it is necessary that the double Intention be generally understood, e're they can be generally Useful.

are

of

Tj-

le-

)t-

cal

ift

fs

le

ul

25

25

n

e

For (i) Sometimes Words are cited as Prophecy, not because those Words predicted this particular Event; but because originally delivered by a Prophet, speaking by a prophetical Spirit; as Mat. 13. 14. is quoted as applicable to the People of that time. (2) Sometimes the Writers of the New Testament quote the Scriptures of the Old to shew a Correspondency of Events between the Old Testament and the New. As out of Egypt have I calted my Son may be literally applied either to the Jewish Nation, or to Jesus Christ. Hof. 11. 1. Mat. 2. 15. (3) Sometimes they quote Scripture by way of Accommodation; as Rom. 3. 4-10. or of Illustration; as John 3. 14. Mat. 12. 39, 40. or to express their own Senie in the Words of the old Testament; as Mat. 11.51 (4) Sometimes they apply the general Sense of the Prophecies of the old Teftament to particular Events under the new; as

ployed in the Writings of inspired Men to convey Information about two distinct Dispensations to a People who had an equal Concern in both, there is then a double Senfe, or both the Meanings are of moral Import; whereas in the simple Allegory only One is fo. Divines have supported the Reasonableness and Probability of double Senses by this material Observation, that the inspired Writers were full of the Ideas of the Christian Dispensation; that is, there being so close a Relation between this and the Jewish, of which it was the Completion, when ever they spoke of the remarkable Fortunes of the One, they interwove with it those of the other; which no Man can deny, who believes, 1. That there is a Relation between the two Religions. 2. That the inspired Writers were let into the Nature and future Fortunes of both, Divine Legat. V. 3. B. 6. S. 6. Sec Syker's Connect.

He shall be called a Nazarene, a mean, infignificant Person. Mat. 2, 23. (5) Sometimes they cite Passages of the old Testament as allowed, certain Principles, in order to argue from them the Truth of the Scripture Doctrine as their natural, necessary Consequences; as Rom, 1. 17. or, as Proofs of a disputed Point; as Ex 3.6. is quoted to that Purpole, Mat. 22. 32, &c. (6) The Apostles having by substanrial Proofs, evinced the Truth of Christianity; might fairly argue from the allowed Interpretation of the old Testament amongst the Jews, as a prudent Means to prevent the ill Effects of their Prejudices, without giving up any Truchs which is called Argumentum ad hominem And to take the Advantage of an Adverfaries Concession has never been thought an unfair Way of arguing. Rem. 6, 19.00

Q. How shall we know when Christ and the Apostles cite the old Testament Passages as proper Predictions, and when not? A. By comparing the old and new Testament together, as we do any two Writings which have a Dependance upon one another *.

XI. Q. Which is the eleventh Objection? A. That since Jesus of Nazureth pretended to be the promised Messiah; Christianity

Proof. and p. 38.

·quì

,b

1

9

The old Testament Writings call one Person by the Name of another, whose Spirit and Practise he resembled; 45 1 Chron. 6. 49. 1 Kings 12. 16. Exek. 34. 23. cb. 37. 24. Jer. 30. 9. Hof. 3. 5. Mal. 4. 5. See Chandler's Vind. p. 211, -360. his Reflect.

now depends on that Character, as well as on his being a Propher fent from God. and sH

Q. How is this Objection answered? Inson

Qn Ho

ey d,

10 as as

Christ proved he was from God by his Doctrine and Works; so he proved, he was river Prophet, the Messiah, by the Agreement of all the old Testament Characters to him. And his working the Miracles he wrought qualified him to apply the Characters to himself. Tho, had not Jesus Christ taken on him the Character of the Messiah, Christianity wou'd have had no Dependance on the Prophecies of the old Testament; as still it has not any natural, intrinsick Dependance.

A. That Miracles, on which Christians for much rely, have no Relation to Doctrine, nor can prove any Proposition true, much less prove a false Interpretation a true ene.

Q. How is this Objection answered? and

A. 1. That Miracles, circumstantiated as Christ's were, give Authority to him with worketh them, and are Ground for receiving a Person as a divine Messenger, his Doctrines as true, and his Interpretations as just, when they are agreeable to the Words, to other Prophecies, and to Events.

2. Christ and his Apostles (who knew what they did) appeal to Miracles as Proof of a divine Mission; John 5. 36. Acts 2. 22. Rom. 1.
4. Christianity, in these Texts, is said to be

Z a bas loor9

fup-

supported on a threefold Foundation, the Miracles of Christ; his Holiness, his Resurrection from the Dead, as bas swobed? day

A. That the Miracles of Jesus Christ (the reported in an bistorical Way) were not true and real Fasts; but are to be interpreted in an allegorical or mystical Sense.

How is this Objection answered Podriw

A. That this is as impudent and Enthuliaftigal a Scheme as ever was invented, and is loaden with innumerable Abfurdities and palpable Falshoods: for instance, it supposes,that Jesus Christ (a Person of eminent Wifdom and Sanctity) does frequently appeal, for Proof of his divine Mission, to Works he never did; tho' he appeals to their Eyes and Ears .- that the People, when they ask, whe ther the Meliah wou'd do greater Miracles than Jefus, mean not real, but imaginary Miracles that Jesus sent John's Disciples to tell their Master of Cures they had not feen that those converted to Christ upon seeing the Sick made whole, the Blind to fee, the Dead to live, had no real Ground for their Conversion, having never feen such Sights .- that the Mulcitudes who brought their Sick to be cured, and came themselves to be healed, had really feen no Cures wrought as Motives to expect more.—that the Lepers, who returned to give Thanks to Christ thanked him for Nothing .- that the frequent Aftonish-

Aftonishment of the People was wholly groundless what the Jewish Council were frighted with Shadows, and fat gravely to confult at bout other own Fancies. And when they perfecured Jefus for healing on the Sabbath-Day, persecuted him for no Action done that the Eagerness of the People to make him a King, on the Sight of his Works, was without Foundation, having feen no extraordinary ones done that when his Enemies argued, that he did his Works by the Help of Belzebub, there were no real Facts done. to drive them to this wretched Shift .- that the lame Man cured by Peter, did not either walk or leap; and if he praifed God, bit was for nothing; that the Council and People were both deceived, in thinking that a notal ble Miracle had been wrought .- that when Simon-Magus wou'd have purchased a Power to confer the Holy Ghoft, he offered Money for what he had before. that the People of Lyfra faw nothing in Paul and Barnabas more than common, tho' they thought them to be Gods.-that the wonder of all the People at the Apostles speaking in all Languages, was a groundless wonder. Finally, it supposes, that Conversions to Christianity in Jerusalem, and in all Nations, were made without the Apoll tles giving any real Proofs of a divine Mission? and so the most amazing Effects were produced without any adequate or proportionable Caufe. These and such like are the Absurdi-

 Z_3

Minofile

ties of this Romantick Scheme; which yet is:
Infidelity can fwallow and digest an abrid shaw

XIV. Q. Which is the fourteenth Objection tion?

A. That, notwithstanding all the pretended Evidence Jesus Christ gave of his divine Misfion, many of his Brethren, and the Rulers did not believe on him; which doubtlefs they wou'd have done, had his Proofs been fatisfactoryona largang

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. That fome both of his Brethren, and of the chief Rulers did believe on him; which is a stronger Proof of his divine Mission (as they wou'd never have done it, against the Principles of Education and every worldly Interest, without Evidence) than the Unbelief of others can be of Imposture: in regard their Unbelief may be accounted for, partly by their Prejudices against his Person, they knew whence he was; and against his Doctrines and Pretensions, which were cross to their Notions and Expectations; partly by their Paffions and vile Affections, they loved the Praise of Men, and of them fought Glory. Unbelief is no Proof, either that Christ's Doctrine was not Excellent; or that he wrought no Miracles to confirm it; his Enemies confess both. John 7. 3, 4, 6.

fte W

Bp. of London's Pail, Let. 1. p. 27-35.

ferves also to be considered, that the Gospel having Evidence sufficient to convince and perswade Minds not obstinate, it is a proper Touchstone whereby Mens Dispositions may be tried,
whether they be curable or no.

Rot

A. That the new Testament was not declared canonical by any inspired Men; nor the whole of it by uninspired Men till the seventh Century. Besides many of the Books are Occusional, and not wrote as a general and perpetual Rule to Mankind.

Q. How is this Objection answered?

A. 1. That if Jesus Christ was a real Prophet, sent by God to instruct the World, what he delivered, in the Name of God, is a

proper Canon, or Rule of Action.

2. Those Doctrines and Precepts of Christ, if designed as a Rule to Men, when faithfully wrote by those who heard them, and who were assisted in an extraordinary Manner by God, become obligatory, or a Canon to all, in every Age, to whom they come with proper Evidence.

3. If the Apostles were inlightned and assisted by an unerring Spirit to lead Men into all Truth necessary to form and preserve the Christian Church; what they taught, under the Instuence of that Spirit, was a Canon to those Churches amongst whom they ministred. Doctrin's and Rules of general Concern, are

of general Obligation. Directions fuited to particular Circumstances oblige in like Circum.

stances.

feven hundred Year after Christ the Researches and Writings of the A. postles were del vered occasionally, it was under the Conduct of Providence, and the Influence of the Spirit; and they carry Marks of Genuineness and Credibility beyond what a fludied System wou'd have done, wrote without any particular Occasion. And the thefe Pieces were wrote at feveral Times, and were not known to be the Apostles at first by some Christians; yet when they came to be known as such, they became Obligatory, or a Part of the Canon orthind and lo 219

Q. But if Christ and his Apostles have not declared any Books canonical, who besides

have a Right to do it?

A. Every Man has this Right, who believes these Books contain a true Account of Christ's Gospel; and that his Gospel was a Revelation

from God.

As to their being declared canonical late, we may observe, that they cou'd not be col-lected into one Volume before they were all wrote. Nor cou'd they be so declared by the last surviving Apostle, who probably had never feen some of them, being wrote at diftant Places. Moreover, if a Book appear to be wrote by a commissioned Apostle and an inspired Person, it needs not any one's Approbation. of food thou'd in fo extraordinary

ing

fer no

be

de

pe

vi

m-

A.

m.

n-

ks

at

te

9,

1

If the Evidence of two or three Books being wrote by such Persons was not clear till seven hundred Years after Christ, they cou'd not be declared canonical sooner; and their being so declared then, shews that new Evidence appeared, or the Evidence in being appeared then satisfactory to some, which was not so before. Where the Evidence is less convincing, a proportionable less Degree of Assent to the divine Authority of the Book is due. But the Volume of the new Testament becomes a Canon, not because established by any humane Authority, but because written by Persons authorized and qualified to be Teachers of the Christian Church.

It is then vain and ridiculous to be nibling at the Authority of this or that Book. The Point lies here; Had our Apostles the Holy Ghost? had they the Care of the Churches? did they write Epistles to them for their Direction and Incouragement? Are the Books we now have theirs, lesser Errors excepted? We do not affirm that every Word is a

We do not affirm that every Word is a Rule as that in 2 Tim. 4. 13. about St. Paul's Cloak, and other such occasional Passages, no way relating to Faith and Practise) but as far as they contain the Gospel of Christ; that is, in every thing wherein they can be or ought to be a Rule; as far as they state or defend its Principles, give and inforce its Precepts. For it is a Supposition exceeding incredible, "that "God shou'd in so extraordinary a Manner "reveal"

The Christian Catechism. 274

reveal the Gospel to the Apostles, and yet leave them at a loss how to Support it; or leave them to support it by false Argues ments *."

XVI. Q. Which is the fixteenth Objection?

A. That as there was no need of Inspirarion to write the History of the Things the A-postles saw and heard; so several Marks of Frailty appearing in the Books of the old and new Testament, shew that the Authors of them did not write under the Influence and Direction of an unerring Spirit.

Q. How is this Objection answered? That the Writers of the Scriptures of the old Testament were inspired, or that they wrote by the Direction and under the Influence of the unerring Spirit of God; the Authors of Writers of the new Testament do testify. As, Rom. 3. 2. Unto the Jews were committed the ORACLES OF GOD. Mere human Writings deferve not fo grand a Character as Oracles of God. 2 Tim. 3. 15, 16. All Scripture is given by Inspiration from God, and Scriptures as Timothy had been instructed in, and which were able to make wife unro Salvation, thro' Faith in Christ. Heb. 1. 1. God Spoke by the Prophets: They did not publish

in

Chandler's Vindic Chap. 4. dosaf ones brow

their own Inventions, Conjectures and Reasonings. 2 Pet. 1, 20, 21. Holy Men of old spoke

as they were moved by the Holy Ghaft.

The Lord Jesus recommends the Writings of the old Testament to our Use. John 5. 39, 40. Search the Scriptures, for—they testify of me. If they cestified beforehand of Christ, they were wrote by the Inspiration of a forfeeing infallible Spirit. Luke 16. 29, 31. They have Moses and the Prophets, -if they bear not them, neither wou'd they be persuaded, the one rose from the Dead. Can it be said of any but divine, inspired Writings, that they have more Authority and greater Efficacy than a Message brought by one sent from the other World? Luke 24. 44, 45. All things must be fulfilled, which are written in the Law of Mo-Ses, in the Prophets, and in the Pfalms concerning me. All these Books, called in ver. 45. the Scriptures, must be wrote by the Assistance of an all-feeing Spirit, otherwise they cou'd not possibly have foretold so many things concerning Christ, so many hundred Years before he appeared.

I may add, it was the Sense of the Jewish Church, that their sacred Books were wrote by inspired Men. Ex. 25. 1—. Jehovah spake unto Moses. Isa. 1. 1. The Vision of Isaiah, &c., Jer. 1. 2. The Word of Jehovah came to Jeremiah, &c. Psal. 147. 19, 20. He shewed his Word unto Jacob, his Statutes and his Judg-

ments unto Ifrael. He bath not dealt fo with

any Nation * A Annie La Pranticulation

2. The Lord Jesus promised to his Disciples the Spirit, to bring all Things (of Necessay and Importance) to their Remembrance; and to lead them into all Truth, (necessay to the Ends of their Office, in such Measures, and at such Times as shou'd be necessay) John 14.26. ch. 15.26. ch. 16, 13. He also promised to indue them with Presence of Mind, and to surnish them with Apologies, when called before Councils. Mat. 10. 10. Mark 13. 11. It is not ye who speak, but the Hely Ghost. Luke 24.49. Acts 1.4, 5. I send you the Promise of my Father. Ye shall be indued with Power from on High—Ye shall be baptized with the Holy Ghost.

faithful and powerful Saviour; but we have Proof that these Promises of an infall ble Spirit to the Apostles were made good. For on the Day of Pentecost, about ten Days after our Lord's Ascension, the Spirit was poured on the Apostles, and they were indued with miraculous Gifts and Powers: The visible Estects of which were, that they became quite new Men in Understanding, Holiness and Courage. Illiterate Fishermen talk readily in all Languages, with surprizing Address and Bold-

^{*} Amongst the Jews, no one, no not a King, durst assume the Character of an inspired Writer, to whom it did not belong.

Grot. de Verit. L. 1. c. 15.
ness,

ness, before Kings and Councils, with Strength and Clearness of Argument, and upon Page

and Doctrines wholly new .

Now supposing these Gifts of Knowledge, Prophecy, Interpretation, &c. to be perm nent Habits; then from this original Fund or Stock of Illumination received at first, the Apostles wou'd be inabled to preach and write without being liable to any Miffake in Doctrine, Precept or Fact, as far as the Ends of their Office, the Instruction and faving of Souls, required. And tho' in the writing Hiftory, of things they faw and heard, there was not the fame Necessity of extraordinary Afsistance, as in other Cases; yet their Gifts being habitual, and the Spirit's Influence not fuspended, or his Light quenched, when writing Matters for which their natural Abilities were sufficient, they may even, in these Cases, be said to write by the Spirit, who had, in fo extraordinary a Manner, inlightned them t.

Tues and orned A a come a A a best 4. La

† For the several kinds and Degrees of Inspiration suitable to the several different Occasions for it. See Smith of Pro-

phecy

les nd

ad

ds ho e h e

It wou'd not detract from the Inspiration of the Scriptures, shou'd we except from the extraordinary Inslutance of the Spirit,

Alls 2.3, 4, 5. 1. Cor. 2.4,—16. cb. 12. 4, 11, 28, 30. cb. 14.1,—6, 22, 37. 2 Cor. 3. 6. cb. 6.4—10. cb. 11. 21, 33. cb. 12. 2—13. Gal. 1. 1. cb. 2. 15. cb. 3. 2. Epb. 3. 5, 6. 1 Theff. 4. 8. 1 Pet. 1. 10, 11, 12. 2 Pet. 1. 19. cb. 3. 15, 16 1 John 2. 20, 27.

278 The Christian Catechilm win bank of the Christian Catechilm win bank you film segard both the old and new Tellisment even the Historical and Epistolary Parts, do contain evenious Predictions affin uttering

The Unifian Catechine.

Predictions of Events not depending upon a Chain of macerial Caules, but on the Actions of free Agents, and which were punchally fulfilled, the Writers must be inspired by a

ed to the Difpertainique gairsons againsolaret

Then as to Dollrines and Precepts, the Prophets and Apostles (Men of Integrity, and Sanctity, who durst not lie) utter them in the Name of God, and as Commands of the Lord Jesus. And many Doctrines of the new Testament the Apostles could know nothing of, much less perfectly comprehend the whole grand System of Christian Truths, and preach

Spirit, the following Cases, (1) Speeches which relate to the common Occurrences of Life; as Rom. 15. 24. 1 Cor. 1. 10. 10. 10. 10. 7. 2 Cor. 1. 15. 16. cb. 11. 17. John 6. 19. Acts 7. 16. cb. 19. 7. (2) Speeches which concern Cases of human Produces as 1 Cor. 7. 12, 25, 26, the Apolle speaks and writes only by the ordinary Affishance of the Spirit, (3) Speeches about Points declared to be unrewaled, as the Day of Judgment, and restoring the Kingdom to Ifrael; a Cor. 10. 11. cb. 15. 52. 2 Cor. 5. 3. 1 Thest. 4, 14. 2 Thest. 2, 2. 1 Pet. 4, 5. 2 Pet. 3. 4. Heb. 9. 26. In these, Slips of Memory, or Mistakes of Judgment may be allowed possible, without any Prejudice to the Authority of the Christian Canon.

See Louis of Inspiration, and Dr. Whith's Preface to his Annotations.

The old Testament abounds with Predictions of both mearer and remoter Events, concerning both Persons and Kingdoms, which were exactly accomplished.

See Allix's Reflections.

20

and write about them with Copioulness, Freedom and Afterned, and in perfect Confidency one with shother, except by the Illuminate on and Affishance of the divine Spirit 10 ob a noun guidaged son saney 3 to snoithing

ry and some Laws, particularly the Abrogation of the Jewis Ceremonies, were televeed to the Dispensation of the Spirit, partly,
in regard the Apostles themselves were dunable at first to bear that Abrogation, and the
Rest of the Jews were much less able; partly,
as not proper to be preached till after the
Death, Resurrection and Ascension of Christ,
on which Events those Doctrines depended.

As to the Book of Psulms, many of these are plainly prophetical, as Psul. 2. 16. 22. 24. 40. 50. 72. 110. With others, which must be wrote by Inspiration. And the same divine Spirit breathes in the Devotional ones; which all pious Men have consessed to be in a strain above all human Composures. The Jews, and since them the Christians have constantly used the Psalms as a Part of their Temple, Synagogue and Family Worship; which they

[†] For Instance, Doctrines concerning the Effects of Christ's Death, his State of Exaltation and Dominion, his Return to Judgment, and the Manner of it; the State of the Dead; the Resurrection and Order of it; the Advocateship of Christ; his giving up the Kingdom to the Father; the Interpretation and Application of the old Testament Types and Shadows.

wou'd not have done, had they not thought them composed by inspired Men *.

5. There was as much need of the Aids of an unerring Spirit in Writings defigned for the Perpetual Use of the Christian Church. as in Preaching to the Age then present. That the Writings of the new Testament were intended for future Ages, the Nature of the Cafe fpeaks; for otherwise the Church had been without a Canon. The Being and Benefit of the Church depends upon the Being and Truth of Christis and the Apostle's Dectrine, which could be preferred only by Writing, as the Jewist Canon was.

1 Chron. 6. 31, 32. cb. 16. 1, 40, 42. Ezra 3. 10, 11, Mat, 26 200 Gal 3. 16. In what Degrees the Spirit of God affilted in composing the Pfalm, and what was left to the Compoler's Invention, is as impossible, as it is needless for us to determine. But it was an Assistance which did not exclude the Use of Hatural Faculties, or begained Talonis t Tim. 4. 74. 2 Tim.s. 6. St. Pour's arguing in a ffrong, rational, argumentative Way, is fure no Reason for denying his Inspiration; or that he had the whole Scheme of Christian Truths infufed into his Mind by immediate Revelation, covers God to ur. The dorblig of the Form, Sieuzing

Masser's prove a God. Storms and Thunder no Objection The Sun proves a God. Birds, Beatls, Man's Body, Mar, seaf prove a God.

Mouen prove a God. Water, it Quanties, the Sea, Rivers, prov a God . dir. its Properties prove a God. Bire. (The

3. Mens Conferences prove a God Rar Rhe Hvorid was f.

mal, " animered. P. 12. 1, 9. for the r. their P. 31. 1. 4. put the Fi gure 5.) P. 114. I. 9. 10. r. Souls. Necessity P. 123. I. 3 from the bottom. dele of. P. 134. I. 8. r. World P. 217. 1. 1. dele the. P. 265, 1. ult. r. Pref.

wou'd not have done, had they not thought

to unerring Spirit in Writings defigned for the Perperual Use a thatChristian Church.

in Preuching to the Age then present fact the Winter and Age the Name of the Comment of the Comm

had been without Hopnon oThe Being and Benefit of the Church depends upon the Be-

CONTENTE NITES

CHAP. I.

. pal Chron. 6. 31

THE Existence and Perfections of God proved: 19
SECT. I. Religion defined. God defined. The
Being of God not proved by the Scriptures; but by the
visible Creation.

SECT. II. Proofs of a God from the Effects to the Capfe. Three Postulata. Six ways of proving a God. Three infisted on.

1. The Being and Building of this World proveth a God. Plain marks of Defign in all its Parts. Every Object difcovers God to us. The Earth, its Matter, Form, Situation, Motion prove a God. Water, its Qualities, the Sea, Rivers, prove a God. Air, its Properties prove a God. Eire. The Heavens prove a God. Storms and Thunder no Objection. The Sun proves a God. Birds, Beafts, Man's Body; Man's Soul prove a God.

2. The general Confent of Mankind proveth a God. 1

3. Mens Consciences prove a God.
SECT. III. An Objection, "That the World was Eternal," answered.

SECT. IV. The Perfections of God infinite and absolutely necessary, in fix Propositions.

1. God's Eternity proved.

2. His Independency and Immutability is beweil restained.

3: His absolute Necessity.

4. His Immateriality. The material World cannot be God. refe conndered.

5. The Unity of God to door lenom 6. The Intelligence of God. The Being of a God is the Foundation of all rational Satisfaction and Comfort about Spirite, Justice, Goodac trofmood and Comfort.

2. From the Office P. P. P. Dand growing litner

The Providence of God proved. SECT. L. Providence defined. Arguments for it from the Nature of God. 1. From his Wildom. 2. From his Omnipresence. 2. From his Power.

SECT. II. Arguments from the visible World.

1st. The Whole is supported, tho' the Parts change.

2d. From the Political World.

ad. From remarkable Distinctions between the Righteous and Wicked; or from the moral World.

4th. From Miracles and Prophecies;

5th. From the Dependance of great Things on small

SECT. III. Objections against Providence answered.

- 1. Three Objections against the Soveraignty of Providence.
- 2. Three-against the Wisdom of Providence.

2. Four-against the Holiness of Providence.

4. Five against the Justice of Providence.

3. Eleven Objections against the Goodness of Providence

C H A P. III.

Proofs of the Immortality of the Soul. SECT. I. Conscious Life effential to the Soul.

SECT. II. Arguments from the Nature of the Soul.

1. The Soul is a distinct Being from the Body. It confiders the Body; moves it; hath a distinct Interest from it; has a common Sensibility; remains insire when the Body is maimed, and loseth several Parts.

An Objection answered.

2. The Soul is not material. All Matter does not think.

Thought

Thought cannot arise from any Mode of Matter.

The Faculties and Actions of the Soul are far above
Matter, shewed in several Instances.

The Arguments put into logical Form.

3. The Soul is one, indivisible, living Being. Conscioufness considered.

SECT. III. Moral Proofs of the Soul's Immertality.

pose God will extinguish rational Beings. It is inconfishent with his Wisdom, Justice, Goodness, Heliness.

z. From the Office and Powers of Conscience.

3. From Mens Desires, Expectations and growing Fitness.

4. From Mens Hopes and Fears.

ıl

5. From the Importance of this Doctrine to Mens Interests:

6. From the universal Belief of it. bood to stored ...

7. From the Testimony of the Scriptures.

8. From the Absurdity of the Doctrine of Annihilation.

E From the VI A P. D

Proofs of a future Judgment. 122 SECT. I. 1st. Argument. Man, in his Nature and Condition, is an accountable Being God is a moral Governor.

Virtue is rewardable, Vice punishable.

2d. Arg. From the Being and Powers of Conscience.

3d. Arg. From the Wisdom, Justice, Holines, Goodness of God.

4th. Arg. From the abfurd Consequences of the Supposition, that there will be no future Judgment. Lucion's Parable.

5th. Arg. From the Necessity of it to clear up Providence. SECT. II. Realons for one general, publick Day of Judgment.

The Truth and divine Authority of the Christian Reve-

SECT. I. Four Religions, Mahametan, Jewis, Pagan, Christian.

R alons for not being a Mahometan. 138

Remarkable Particulars concerning the Jown of Sect. HI. Reasons for not being a Pagan: Or, The Mecessity

Necessity and Usefulness of divine Revelation; As it sets great Truths in the clearest Light. As it giveth the plainest Rules of Practise. As it inforceth these by the strongest Mo. tives.

Hinderances to Mens forming a just Scheme of Religion without Revelation. Great are the Advantages of such a Scheme ready drawn up, and supported with divine Authority.

How far God and his Will may be known by the right

Use of Mens natural Faculties.

God as Rector and Judge will deal with Men according to their Behaviour. As Proprietor and Benefactor he may bestow his free Gists as his Wisdom directs. An Evil Being having gained an Ascendancy over Mankind makes a divine Interposition more needful. Christians have great Advantages above Indians. The Law and the Light of Nature distinguished. How far Reason is a sufficient Guide. The Sufficiency of Reason no Argument against the Usefulness of Revelation. Advantages of Revelation from Mr. Reinolds. Bars to the right Use of Reason.

SECT. IV. The Necessity of Christ's Coming: Or, Rea-

Six ends of Christ's Coming.

2. To give a plain, well attefted Rule of Life.

3. To propound powerful Motives to Holinefs.

4. To teach Men how to worship God.

6. To offer to God an acceptable Sacrifice in an obedient

Life and Death. Jest ylgo staw collacti

SECT. V. The Necessity and Usefulness of Teachers is Subordination to Jesus Christ. The Gentile Philosophers, on many Accounts, disqualified for this Office.

SECT. VI. Marks of a Religion coming from God found in the Christian Revelation.

2. Its Doctrines are agreeable to Reason. A List of the Christian Doctrines. Objections against the Doctrine of the Resurrection of the Body answered.

2. Its practical Duties are confonant to our natural Notions of God, are perfective of Men, and conducive to their

Happiness. The Usefulness of Positive Institutions.

3. Its

ed 3

God

gina

Mi

Mi

The TOON TENTS.

3. Its Motives are proper and powerful. that he will ! 4. The Manner in which Duty and Vintue are recommended and in which Religion is to be propagated, is worthy of God, and fuitable to Men. SECTO VII Politive Proofs of the Truth and divine Original of the Christian Religion a treat maintained auty I. There was fuch a Performs Jefus Christy has am 12 II. He wrought uncontestable Miraeles. The Nature of Miracles opened The Characters and Circumstances of the Miracles of Christ. Rules by which to Judge of Miracles I III. God wou'd never have concurred with and supported an Impostor of Much less have raised him from the Dead The Miracles of Christ not wrought by the Aflittance of sag having gained an Attendancy over Mankind matiring live The Refurrection of Christ proved aciticonnal tol The Evidence of it is recorded by a great Number of creat dible Writers. The Report of it obtained early Oreda as mongit Men of all Ranks gra on noise & your and sall This Evidence confifted, in escaping out of his Grave, when covered, fealed, and guarded; in his being feen and converted with forty Days, by great Numbers; in his induing his Apostles with miraculous Gifts and Powers; and give ing them great Success: gaine 2 wind to the xind Objections to Christ's Refurrection answered is a r. That a Refurrection is against the Course of Nature, a 2. That Christ appeared only to chosen Witnesses of 3. That he arose before the predicted Time! in the 4. That the Disciples fole him away. and make of 5. That it was only an airy Spectre which appeared. I 6. Some of the Witnesses were only weak and frighted Sher, V The Necessity and Usefulness of TeachnameW 7. That one Fact cannot prove another of some and 8. That at this Day we have no living Witnesses to attest Secr. VI. Marks of a Religion coming from the at 9. That the Disciples might be Enthusiasts. 3 343 at and 10. That most Countries had the Testimony of only 2 fingle Apolitical and the same and the Do. sister signif IV. The Prophecies of the old and new Testament have had an exact Accomplishment and a small lander 202 A Lift of shole Prophecies to switch and the chief

V. The Gospel of Jesus Christ had such Specess and Power,

that

that great Numbers, in the first and following Ages, have died for Christ. Four great Disadvantages attended the first publishing of the Gospel. Upright Men may die in defence of Errors, but not to attell Fads, known to be fale. 210

VI. The new Testament contains the Revolation of God by Jefus Christ; is a credible History; and the Writers had an Extraordinary Inspiration from God. What Books are canonical. Marks of genuine Writings all found in the new Testament. Characters of the Founder of Christianity, and his Affociates, from Mr. Reinglds Proofs of the Inspiration of the Apoltles. Evil Spirits, or Evil Men not the Authors of the Scriptures. Good Men the Writers, not the proper Authors of the new Testament. A Summary of the Evidence for Christianity, from Mr. Lardiner.

COL

ih

SECT. VIII. Excellencies of the Christian Religion. 228 I. As to its Author. Who was indued with the Spirit

of Power, Wildom, Lave, 2000 stilda to or blood so we

II. As to its Nature. C to the Perfections of God It is fuitable to the Office of a Redeemer.
to the Case of a sinful World. to the Cafe of a redeemed World.

III. As to its Tendency and Influence; which is Con the Mind of Man.

Excellent on Societies on our Preparation for Death. IV. As to its general Properties. Twelve eminent ones.

C H A P. VI vs ob or any 239

Objections against Revelation in general, and against the Christian Revelation in particular, stated and answered. 1. Objection. The fufficiency of Reason:

And. Granting the bare Sufficiency of Reason does not overthrow the Usefulness and Benefit of Revelation. Unfair Methods used by the Defenders of the Sufficiency of Reason. Several Presumptions against them.

2 Object. That Mojes was not the Author of the Books

which go under his Name.

Ans. The facred Writers, and the whole Jewish Nation attest he was. They have all the Marks of genuine Writings. The few Additions made by later Prophets is no Argument against Moses's being the chief Author of them.

3 Object. Mofer had not divine Anthority.

Anf. The Proofs he gave of it were as firing as cou'd well be given; both Miracles and Prophecies.

4 Object. The unufual and ufelels Ceremonles of the Traus Curil in a checking in

cou'd not be from God.

Anf. The Authority of Mufes being established, the Tear. if Laws must be divine. They are opposed to the idolatious Cultoms of the Countries round them ; or are Commemora. tive of great Events; or Significative of moral Duty a or typical of a future and more perfect Dispensation of Religio under the Meffiah.

5 Object. God's entring into a Covenant of Peculiarity with

the Jews is unaccountable.

Anf. To account for it is not difficult; in regard, it was a proper Means to abolish Idolatry; to make God known to all the World; to establish proper Keepers or Depolitaries of God's Oracles; and to diftinguish the People amongst whom the most remarkable and emment Prophet and Saviour was the Office of a Redeemen Meridian of 1 to arife.

6 Object. The Immorality of the Yewish Law, in the Case of the Slaughter of the Canaanites, and of putting to Death Idolaters.

Ans. It was God who imploy'd the Arms of Israel to root out an incurable Nation; and gave them a well attefted Commission to do it. And idolaters in Ifrael were Rebels and Traitors, who forfeited all Right to live in Cansan; and who cou'd scarce possibly be fincere and conscientious Converts to Idolatry.

7 Object. Prophecy is an unreasonable Dispensation.

Anf. If God forfee all Events, it is wife and kind in him to foretel fuch as Men have a great Interest in. It tends to convince Men of a Providence, to support good Men, and deter the Wicked; and answers many other great Parpole,

8 Object. The Book of Daniel foretells Events with too much Clearness to be a Prophecy.

Anl. So that it feems either Darkness or Clearness will furnish ill minded Men with an Objection to Prophecy, as best serveth a present Forn. But this Book has all internal and external Marks of a Book wrote at the Time when it pro. fesseth to be wrote, and by the Author whose Name it bears.

9 Object. The Scriptures are corrupted.

THE CONTENTS.

Anf. This cannot be proved as to any material Point: to

io Objed. The Prophecies in the old Testament are applie

to Christ only in an allegorical Sense.

Anj. This is an unfair and falle Account. Numerous Prophecies are literally fulfilled in Jefus Christ Vet other Schures may be applied by way of Accommodation, as find other Events than those first described.

not only a Prophet, but the Prophet foretold in the antier

Oracles.

Asf. It is allowed. And Christ claimed, and supported his Claim to both these Characters.

12 Object. That Miracles have no Relation to Doctrine.

And They are a proper and covincing Proof of the Authority of the Preacher, and consequently of the Truth of his Doctrine.

13 Olive Christ's Miracles were not real Facts.

Anf. None but wild Enthusiasts can seriously make such an Objection.

14 Object. Why did not the Jewish Priests and Rulers be-

lieve in Christ?

Anf. For the same Reason which keeps all vicious, worldly Hypocrites from becoming sincere Converts.

15 Objett. The Books of the new Testament have not been

declared Canonical by any inspired Writer.

Anf. It is sufficient that they were wrote by the Disciples of Christ, who had an extraordinary Measure of the Spirit promised to and conferred upon them.

16 Object. There was no need of Inspiration to write a

History of what they saw and heard.

2 7 6 W. W.

Anf. There was need of divine Illumination to deliver infallibly the Christian Dodrine; and a special Degree of Inspiration for Prophecy; and in reporting historical Facts the Spirit was promised to bring all needful Things to their Remembrance.

20 JU 66

FINIS.